THE POSITIVE SCIENCES OF THE ANCIENT HINDUS

BRAJENDRANATH SEAL



Direction Q121 ---- .I484



• •

.

•

.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2016

https://archive.org/details/positivesciences00seal

. .





THE POSITIVE SCIENCES

OF THE

ANCIENT HINDUS



BY

BRAJENDRANATH SEAL, M.A., Ph.D.

LONGMANS, GREEN AND CO. 39 PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON FOURTH AVENUE & 30TH STREET, NEW YORK BOMBAY, CALCUTTA, AND MADRAS

1915

LONDON: PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND SONS, LIMITED, DURE STREET, STAMFORD STREET, S.E., AND GREAT WINDMILL STREET, W

b

ι

FOREWORD

THE following pages comprise a series of monographs on the Positive Sciences of the Ancient Hindus. In the present state of Indian chronology it is not possible to assign dates to the original sources from which the materials have been drawn. Practically the main body of positive knowledge here presented may be assigned to the millennium 500 B.C.-500 A.D. Colebrooke's account of Hindu Algebra, and Hœrnle's of Hindu Osteology, have made it unnecessary for me to write separate monographs on these subjects. The former, however, requires to be brought up to date. The progress of Indian Algebra (mainly in Southern India) after Bháskara, parallel to the developments in China and Japan, is a subject that remains for future investigation.

The chapter on the "Mechanical, Physical, and Chemical Theories of the Ancient Hindus" appeared in Dr. P. C. Ray's *Hindu Chemistry* (Vol. II.), and that on the "Scientific Method of the Hindus" as an appendix to the same work.

My direct aim in the present work is to furnish the historians of the special sciences with new material which will serve to widen the scope of their survey. The Hindus no less than the Greeks have shared in the work of constructing scientific concepts and methods in the investigation of physical phenomena, as well as of building up a body of positive knowledge which has been applied to industrial technique; and Hindu

FOREWORD

scientific ideas and methodology (e.g. the inductive method or methods of algebraic analysis) have deeply influenced the course of natural philosophy in Asia—in the East as well as the West—in China and Japan, as well as in the Saracen Empire. A comparative estimate of Greek and Hindu science may now be undertaken with some measure of success—and finality.

These studies in Hindu Positive Science are also intended to serve as a preliminary to my "Studies in Comparative Philosophy." Philosophy in its rise and development is necessarily governed by the body of positive knowledge preceding or accompanying it. Hindu Philosophy on its empirical side was dominated by concepts derived from physiology and philology, just as Greek Philosophy was similarly dominated by geometrical concepts and methods. Comparative Philosophy, then, in its criticism and estimate of Hindu thought, must take note of the empirical basis on which the speculative superstructure was raised.

I have not written one line which is not supported by the clearest texts. The ground trodden is, for the most part, absolutely new. Fortunately, the Sanskrit philosophico-scientific terminology, however difficult from its technical character, is exceedingly precise, consistent, and expressive. I may add that I have occasionally used (perhaps with a questionable freedom) scientific terms like isomeric, polymeric, potential, etc., in a broad sense, as convenient symbols to express ideas nearly or remotely allied.

BRAJENDRANATH SEAL.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I.

THE MECHANICAL, PHYSICAL, AND CHEMICAL THEORIES OF THE ANCIENT HINDUS.

THE SANKHYA-PATANJALA SYSTEM.

Prakriti, the Ultimate Ground-The Original Constituents and their Interaction - The Starting-point - Beginning of Evolution-Formation of Wholes or Systems-Collocation of Reals-The Formula of Evolution-The Conservation of Energy (and of Mass)—The Transformation of Energy—The Doctrine of Causation, a Corollary from the Conservation and Transformation of Energy-The Principle of Collocation-The Storing-up and the Liberation of Energy -Chain of Causation-Fixed Order-Time, Space, and the Causal Series-Space as Extension and Space as Position-The Causal Series-The Dissipation of Energy (and of Mass)-Their Dissolution into the Formless Prakriti-The Evolution of Matter-The Genesis of the Infra-atomic Unit-potentials and of the Atoms-Cosmo-genesis, a Bird's-eye View-Chemical Analysis and Synthesis—Elements and Compounds .

1 - 56

CHEMISTRY IN THE MEDICAL SCHOOLS OF ANCIENT INDIA.

Physical Characters of the Bhútas—The Mahá-Bhútas— Mechanical Mixtures—Qualities of Compounds—The extant Charaka and Susruta—Succession of Medical Authorities—Preparation of Chemical Compounds— Chemical Compositions and Decompositions—Organic Compounds — Poisons — Formation of Molecular Qualities in Chemical Compounds—Chemistry of Colours — Parináma-váda versus Árambha-váda — Measures of Time and Space—Bháskarácháryya, a Precursor of Newton in the Conception of the Differential Calculus and its Application to Astronomy —Measures of Weight and Capacity—Size of the Minimum Visibile (Size of an Atom)

56 - 85

PAGES

CONTENTS

VEDÁNTIC VIEW.

Mává-Evolution of different Forms of Matter-Pañchí-	PAGES										
karana	85-92										
THE ATOMIC THEORY OF THE BUDDHISTS	92-93										
THE ATOMIC THEORY OF THE JAINS.											
General Properties of Matter-Atomic Linking	93-98										

THE NYÁYA-VAISESHIKA CHEMICAL THEORY.

Theory of Atomic Combination—Chemical Combination
Mono-Bhautic Compounds—Hetero-Bhautic Compounds—The Theory of Dynamic Contact (Vishtambha)—Oils, Fats, Milks—Mixtures like Soups, Solutions, etc.—Chemical Action and Heat—Light-Rays
—Theory of Reflection, Refraction, etc.—Arrangement of Atoms in Space—The Foundations of Solid (Co-ordinate) Geometry—Three Axes of Váchaspati
—Graphic Representation of the Constitution of a Bi-Bhautic Compound (with "complex contact")
98-121

CONCEPTION OF MOLECULAR MOTION (PARISPANDA) . 121-123

ADDENDA: EMPIRICAL RECIPES OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY.

Searing	of	Rocks-	Hardening	of	Steel	—Prepa	ration	of	
Cer	nen	ts-Nour	ishment of	Pla	nts.				124-128

CHAPTER II.

HINDU IDEAS ON MECHANICS (KINETICS).

Analysis of Motion—Motion considered in Relation to its Causes—Cause of Motion, or Force—The Concept of Vega—Causes of Pressure and of Impact—Illustrations of Combination of Forces—Composition of Gravity with Vega—Motion of a Particle in the Case of a Composition of Forces—Typical Cases of Curvilinear Motion—Vibratory Motion—Rotatory Motion—Motion of Fluids—Interesting Examples of Motion ascribed to Adrista—Measurement of Motion—

CONTENTS

PAGES

CHAPTER III.

HINDU IDEAS ON ACOUSTICS.

CHAPTER IV.

HINDU IDEAS ABOUT PLANTS AND PLANT-LIFE.

Classification of Plants—Elementary Ideas of Plant Physiology—Characteristics of Plant-Life—Sexuality— Consciousness

CHAPTER V.

HINDU CLASSIFICATION OF ANIMALS.

CHAPTER VI.

HINDU PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOLOGY.

. 202-243

CHAPTER VII.

HINDU DOCTRINE OF SCIENTIFIC METHOD.

PAGES

Doctrine of Scientific Method-Test of Truth-Perception -Observation and Experiment-Results in the Different Sciences-Fallacies of Observation-Doctrine of Inference - The Chárváka View - The Buddhists, their Analysis and Vindication of Inference-Causality and Identity of Essence-How to ascertain Concomitance-Specific Cause and Specific Effect-Canon of the Method of Subtraction-The Joint Method of Difference (Pañchakáraní)-Proof of the Method-Doubt and its Limits-The Nváva Doctrine of Inference-Definition of a Cause-Unconditional Antecedent-The Doctrine of Anyathásiddha-Elimination of the Irrelevant Factors-Nyáya Objection to the Method of Difference-The Nyáya Analysis of the Causal Relation Continued-Co-effects-Synchronousness of Cause and Effect-Plurality of Causes-The Nyáya Ground of Inference -Vyápti or Unconditional Concomitance-Ascertainment of Vyápti-Upádhi-Process of disproving suspected Upadhis - Tarka or Úha - Difference between the Nyáya Method and Mill's Joint Method of Agreement-Other Instances of Vyápti-Relation of Causality to Vyápti-Plurality of Causes-Scientific Methods as subsidiary to General Methodology and the Ascertainment of Truth-Hypotheses -Conditions of a Legitimate Hypothesis-Nirnaya or Verification of a Hypothesis-The Deduction Method-Navya Nyáya and its Significance in the History of Thought-Applied Logic-The Logic of the Particular Sciences-The Scientific Methods as applied to Therapeutics-The Scientific Methods as applied to Grammar and Philology-Conclusion . 244-295

viii

THE POSITIVE SCIENCES

OF THE

ANCIENT HINDUS

CHAPTER I.

THE MECHANICAL, PHYSICAL, AND CHEMICAL THEORIES OF THE ANCIENT HINDUS.

I PROPOSE in this paper to give a synoptic view of the mechanical, physical, and chemical theories of the ancient Hindus. A chronological survey, even if the materials for it were available, would be here of little account. The origins of Hindu natural philosophy in the speculations of the Bráhmanas and the Upanishads, or in the mythology of the Puránas, do not come within the scope of the present exposition, which relates to the results of systematic thought as directed to the phenomena and processes of Nature. I have therefore confined myself to an account of natural philosophy as expounded in the principal systems of thought. The Sánkhya - Pátañjala system Hindu accounts for the Universe on principles of cosmic evolution; the Vaiseshika-Nyáya lays down the methodology of science, and elaborates the concepts of

mechanics, physics, and chemistry. The Vedánta, the Púrva-Mímámsá, and in a less degree the Bauddha, the Jaina, and the Chárváka systems, make incidental contributions on points of special interest, but their main value in this regard is critical and negative. The principal authorities followed in this account-the Vyása-Bháshya on Pátañjali's Sútras, the Samhitá of Charaka, the Bháshya of Prasastapáda, the Vártika of Udyotakara, and the Vrihat Samhitá of Varáhamihira -all centre round the Hindu Renaissance, the beginnings of the anti-Buddhist reaction in the fourth, fifth, and sixth centuries of the Christian era. Whenever I have made use of later authors, e.g. Kumárila, Śańkara, Śrídhara, Váchaspati, Udayana, Bháskara, Jayanta, Varavara, Raghunátha, Vijñána-bhikshu, etc., I have taken care to see (except where the opposite is expressly mentioned) that no idea is surreptitiously introduced which is not explicitly contained in the earlier authors.

THE SANKHYA-PATANJALA SYSTEM.

This system possesses a unique interest in the history of thought as embodying the earliest clear and comprehensive account of the process of cosmic evolution, viewed not as a mere metaphysical speculation but as a positive principle based on the conservation, the transformation, and the dissipation of Energy.

Prakriti: the Ultimate Ground.—The manifested world is traced in the Sáňkhya to an unmanifested ground, Prak*riti*, which is conceived as formless and undifferentiated, limitless and ubiquitous, indestructible and undecaying, ungrounded and uncontrolled, without beginning and without end. But the unity of Prakriti is a mere abstraction; it is in reality an undifferentiated manifold, an indeterminate infinite continuum of infinitesimal Reals. These Reals, termed Gunas, may by another abstraction be classed under three heads: (1) Sattva, the Essence which manifests itself in a phenomenon, and which is characterised by this tendency to manifestation, the Essence, in other words, which serves as the medium for the reflection of Intelligence; (2) Rajas, Energy, that which is efficient in a phenomenon, and is characterised by a tendency to do work or overcome resistance; and (3) Tamas, Mass or Inertia, which counteracts the tendency of Rajas to do work, and of Sattva to conscious manifestation.

The ultimate factors of the Universe, then, are (1) Essence, or intelligence-stuff, (2) Energy, and (3) Matter, characterised by mass or inertia.

These Gunas are conceived to be Reals, substantive entities—not, however, as self-subsistent or independent entities ($\pi v \pi \pi$), but as interdependent moments in every real or substantive Existence.

Even Energy is substantive in this sense. The infinitesimals of Energy do not possess inertia or gravity, and are not therefore material, but they possess quantum and extensity (परिमाग-परिच्चिन्नन).

The very nature of Energy is to do work, to overcome resistance (रजग्रल उपष्टम्भकं), to produce motion. All Energy is therefore ultimately kinetic; even potential Energy (अनुद्रूतवृत्तिज्ञाक्ति) is only the Energy of motion in imperceptible forms. The Original Constituents and their Interaction.— Every phenomenon, it has been explained, consists of a three-fold arche, intelligible Essence, Energy, and Mass. In intimate union these enter into things as essential constitutive factors. The essence of a thing (Sattva) is that by which it manifests itself to intelligence, and nothing exists without such manifestation in the Universe of Consciousness (समग्टिवृद्धि). But the Essence is only one of three moments. It does not possess mass or gravity, it neither offers resistance nor does work. Next there is the element of Tamas, mass, inertia, matter-stuff, which offers resistance to motion as well as to conscious reflection (तम: गुरू वरणकम).

But the intelligence-stuff and the matter-stuff cannot do any work, and are devoid of productive activity in themselves. All work comes from Rajas, the principle of Energy, which overcomes the resistance of matter, and supplies even Intelligence with the Energy which it requires for its own work of conscious regulation and adaptation. (जन्मान्याभगम् जहज्जाराभ्यां स्वकाय्योंपजनने राजसाहज्जार: सहकारी भवति। लोकाचार्य्य - तत्त्वचय-छचित्रप्रकरण।)

The Gunas are always uniting, separating, uniting again. (जन्मोन्यनियुना: सब्रें, नैमामादिसम्प्रयोगो वियोगो वा उपलभ्यते।) Everything in the world results from their peculiar arrangement and combination. Varying quantities of Essence, Energy, and Mass, in varied groupings, act on one another, and through their mutual interaction and interdependence evolve from the indefinite or qualitatively indeterminate to the definite or qualitatively determinate. (एते गुणा: परस्परोपरक्षप्रविभागा: संयोगविभागधर्माण: इतरेतरोपाश्रपेण उपार्क्तितमूर्त्तेयः ।—व्यासभाष्य ।) But though cooperating to produce the world of effects, these divers moments with divers tendencies never coalesce. In the phenomenal product whatever Energy there is is due to the element of Rajas, and Rajas alone; all matter, resistance, stability is due to Tamas, and all conscious manifestation to Sattva. (परस्पराङ्गाङ्गित्वेर्डाप असंभित्वज्ञाक्तिप्र-विभागा:—व्यासभाष्य । अन्योन्याङ्गाङ्गिभावेन उत्पादितेर्डाप द्रव्ये प्रकाज्ञगुरूः सन्तस्यैव क्रियागुरू: रजसएव स्थितिगुर्यास्तमसएव—विज्ञानभिष्ठु, योगवार्क्तिक on व्यासभाष्य, *ibid*. नैषां ज्ञाक्तय: संकीय्येनो—वाचस्यतिमिश्र, तत्त्ववैज्ञारदी on व्यासभाष्य, *ibid*.)

The nature of the interaction is peculiar. In order that there may be evolution with transformation of Energy, there must be a disturbance of equilibrium, a preponderance of either Energy or Mass-resistance or Essence over the other moments. The particular Guna which happens to be predominant in any phenomenon becomes manifest in that phenomenon, and the others become latent, though their presence is inferred by their effect. For example, in any material system at rest the Mass is patent, the Energy latent, and the conscious manifestation sub-latent. In a moving body, the Rajas, Energy, is predominant (kinetic), while the Mass, or rather the resistance it offers, is overcome. In the volitional consciousness accompanied with movement, the transformation of Energy (or work done by Rajas) goes hand in hand with the predominance of the conscious manifestation, while the matter-stuff or Mass, though latent, is to be inferred from the resistance overcome. (प्रधानवेलायाम् उपदर्शितसत्तिधाना गुग्रात्वेऽपि च व्यापारमात्रेण प्रधानान्तर्नीतानुमितास्तिताः-व्यासभाष्य।)

The Starting-point.—The starting-point in the cosmic history is a condition of equilibrium or equipoise consisting in a uniform diffusion of the Reals. The tendencies to conscious manifestation, as well as the powers of doing work, are exactly counterbalanced by the resistances of the inertia or Mass: the process of cosmic evolution (परिषाम) is under arrest. (सह्यरजस्तमसा साम्यावस्था प्रकृति:—Pravachana, Sutra 61, Chap. I. साम्यावस्था अन्यूनानतिरिक्तावस्था अन्यूनाधिकभावेन असंहतावस्था अकाय्यावस्था इति निष्कषे:—विज्ञानभिष्ठ, *ibid.*)

Beginning of Evolution.-The transcendental (nonmechanical) influence of the Purusha (the Absolute) puts an end to this arrest, and initiates the process of creation. Evolution begins with the disturbance of the original equilibrium. How this is mechanically brought about is not very clear. A modern expounder of the Sánkhya supposes that the particles of Sattva, Rajas, and Tamas possess a natural affinity for other particles of their own class, and that when the transcendental influence of the Purusha ends the state of arrest, the affinity comes into play, breaks up the uniform diffusion, and leads to unequal aggregation, and therefore to the relative preponderance of one or more of the three Gunas over the others. Thus commences formative combination among the Reals, and consequent productive activity. (संहननम् आरम्भकसंयोगः न्यूनाधिकभावेन जन्योन्यं संयोगविशेष:-Sutra 66, Chap. I., प्रवचनभाष्य--विज्ञानभिष्ठु । पृथिवीव्यक्तीनां पृथिवीत्वेनेव सत्त्वव्यक्तीनाम् रक्तनातीयतया रक्ता। खजाती-योपष्टम्भादिना वृद्धिहासादिनं च युक्तम् ibid., Sutra 128, Chap. I.)

Formation of Wholes or Systems-Collocation of Reals. - Creative transformation accompanied with

6

evolution of motion (परिस्पन्द) and work done by Energy (क्रिया) cannot take place without a peculiar collocation of the Reals (Gunas). To form wholes or systems (समुद्रय) it is essential that one Guna should for the moment be preponderant and the others co-operant. And this cannot be without an unequal aggregation which overthrows the original equilibrium (गुणवेषम्य, न्यूनाधिकभाव)—in other words, without unequal forces or stresses coming into play in different parts of the system. (गुणविमह्दे—वाचस्पति। व्यक्तं सक्रियं परिस्पन्दवत् ... समुदय: समवाय: । स च गुणानां गुणप्रधानभावमन्तरेण न सम्भवति । न गुणप्रधान-भावो वेषस्यं विना। न च वेषम्यमुषमद्योपमह्ते कभावाट्टते।—वाचस्पति, कौमुदी on Káriká 16.)

The Formula of Evolution-Differentiation in Integration. - Evolution (परिखाम) in its formal aspect is defined as differentiation in the integrated (संसृष्टविवेक). In other words, the process of Evolution consists in the development of the differentiated (वैषम्य) within the undifferentiated (साम्यावस्था), of the determinate (विज्ञेष) within the indeterminate (ज्ञविशेष), of the coherent (युतसिद्ध) within the incoherent (अयुतसिद्ध). The evolutionary series is subject to a definite law which it cannot overstep (परिणामक्रमनियम). The order of succession is not from the whole to parts, nor from parts to the whole, but ever from a relatively less differentiated, less determinate, less coherent whole to a relatively more differentiated, more determinate, more coherent whole. That the process of differentiation evolves out of homogeneity separate or unrelated parts, which are then integrated into a whole, and that this whole again breaks up by fresh differentiation into isolated factors for a subsequent redintegration, and so on *ad infinitum*, is a fundamental misconception of *the course of material evolution*. That the antithesis stands over against the thesis, and that the synthesis supervenes and imposes unity *ab extra* on these two independent and mutually hostile moments, is the same radical misconception as regards *the dialectical form* of cosmic development. On the Sáňkhya view, increasing differentiation proceeds *pari passu* with increasing integration within the evolving whole, so that by this two-fold process what was an incoherent indeterminate homogeneous whole.

The different stadia in the order of cosmic evolution are characterised as follows :---

- The inconceivable, the unknowable, the formless, of which no character can be predicated (মান্তক্র), including Prakriti, or the Reals in a state of equilibrium.
- (2) The knowable, the empirical universe, cosmic matter of experience, things as matter or stuff of consciousness (form), comprising Mahat, the intelligible Essence of the cosmos, evolved by differentiation and integration within the form-less, characterless, inconceivable Prakriti.
- (3) Individual but still indeterminate stuff bifurcating into two series—Subject-experience and Object-experience, comprising on the one hand the indeterminate unity of apperception, or the empirical Ego, as the co-ordinating principle of the Subject-series (जस्मिता), and on the other hand

(though through the mediation of this subjective modification, जारेसनता or Ahankára) the indeterminate material potencies, the subtile vehicles of potential Energy (तन्माच, सूख्लभूत), the ultimate subtile constituents of the Object-series (the material world). The previous stadium, the cosmic matter of Experience (लिज्ज, महत्), evolves within itself, by differentiation and integration, an individuated but still indeterminate stuff in two co-ordinated series, Subject and Object, though the latter is mediated through the former.

- (4) Determinate stuff (निज्ञेष) evolved within the indeterminate by further differentiation and integration, viz., in the series of Subject-experience, sensory and motor stuff; and in the Object-series, a corresponding atomic matterstuff actualising the material potencies in the form of specific sensible Energies. The latter includes the different classes of Paramánus, the different kinds of atomic constituents of different kinds of gross matter (स्प्रट्रभूत).
- (5) Coherent and integrated matter-stuff, individual substances, characterised by generic and specific properties, which however are not rigidly fixed, but fluent, being subject to a three-fold change and constantly evolving. (जयुत्तसिद्वाययवभेदानुगत: समूह: द्रव्यमिति पतच्चल्डि:-व्यासभाष, Sutra 44, Chap. III. सामान्यविशेषसमुदायो द्रव्यं-व्यासभाष, *ibid*. सामान्यविशेषात्मा जन्वयो धर्म्मी, *ibid*. धर्म्मिखा धर्म्मलक्षणावस्यापरिणाम:)

(6) And so the cosmic series moves on in ascending stages of unstable equilibrium (fatzsititum) until the reverse course of equilibriation and dissipation of Energy (tzsititum and timutation), which even now constantly accompanies the evolution and transformation of Energy, completes the disintegration of the Universe into its original unmanifested ground, the unknowable Prakriti.

The order of Cosmic Evolution according to the वासभाष (Sutra 19, Pada II.) is shown below in a tabular form :--

Prakriti, the unmanifested unknowable ground (गूद्मयक्रमलिङ्ग)

Cosmic matter of experience (महत, लिझ)

SUBJECT SERIES (अविशेष). --> OBJECT SERIES (अविशेष):

evolved in the cosmic matter of experience but through the mediation of the individuated mindstuff आस्मिता (Ahańkára).

Individuated indetermin- Individuated but indeterate mind-stuff (unity of minate matter - stuff apperception, empirical (subtile material poten-Ego (ज्ञरिसता). cies (तन्मात्र).

10

Determinate mind - stuff (चिश्रोष), sensory and motor psychoses, etc. (ज्ञानेन्द्रिय, कर्म्मोन्द्रिय and मनः). Determinate matter-stuff (चिग्नोष), atomic and molecular constituents of gross matter (परमाग्रु— स्यूऌभूत).

Coherent and integrated matter-stuff (जयुतसिद्धावयवः समूह: सङ्घात: द्रव्यं).

Individual substances, with generic and specific characters subject to constant change or evolution, *e.g.* inorganic objects composed of atoms or molecules (परमाणु), vegetable organisms (नृष्ण), animal organisms (ज्ञरोर). (ज्ञ युत-सिद्वाचयन: सङ्घात: ज्ञारोरं नृष्ठ: परमाणुरिति-व्यासभाष, Sutra 44, Pada III.)

तत्र तत् संसृष्टं विविच्यते क्रमानतिवृत्तेः, तथा घड़विशेषाः लिङ्गमात्रे संसृष्टा विविच्यने, परिणामक्रमनियमात्, तथा तेषु ऋविशेषेषु भूतेन्द्रियाणि संसृष्टानि विविच्यने, न विशेषेभ्यः परं तत्त्वानरमस्ति तेषानु धर्म्मलक्षणावस्थापरिणामा व्याख्यायिष्यने । (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 19, Pada II.)

N.B.—The usual order given in the Sáňkhya-Pátañjala compendiums is as follows :—Prak*ri*ti, Mahat, Ahaňkára—and then the bifurcation, viz. 11 organs (sensory, motor and common sensori-motor) from Rájasic Ahaňkára, and Tanmátras from Támasic Ahaňkára—and finally the Paramánus of the Sthúla Bhútas. This is literally correct, but misses the significance of the doctrine of "differentiation within the integrated," according to which the Tanmátras are all evolved, through the medium of Ahaňkára, in the cosmic matter of Experience (Mahat).

The Conservation of Energy (and of Mass)-the Transformation of Energy.—The Gunas (Reals), though assuming an infinite diversity of forms and powers, can neither be created nor destroyed. The totality of the Mass (Tamas), as well as of Energy (Rajas), remains constant, if we take account both of the manifested and the unmanifested, the actual and the potential. But the individual products of the evolutionary processthe concrete phenomenal modes resulting from the combined action of the original Mass, Energy, and Essence-are subject to addition and subtraction, growth and decay, which are only due to changes of collocation, and consequent changes of state from the potential to the actual (in other words, from the future to the present and from the present to the past, in a time series)-changes which are illusorily ascribed to the Reals themselves. The different collocations of Mass and Energy give birth to the divers powers of things, the various forms of Energy which may be classed as like and unlike; indeed, the course of Evolution from the Reals conforms to a fixed law, not only as regards the order of succession, but also as regards the appearance (and mutual relations) of like and unlike Energies. And this transformation is constantly going on-the course of Evolution is not arrested for a moment.

गुणास्तु सर्चधर्म्मानुपातिनः न प्रत्यस्तमयन्ते नोपजायने व्यक्तिभिरेव अतीताऽनागतव्ययागमवतीभिः गुणान्वयिनीभिः उपजनापायधर्म्मेका इव प्रत्यवभासन्ते (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 19, Pada II.) परिणामिनित्यता गुणानाम्। सर्च्चमिदं गुणानां सन्निवेशविशेषमाचम्। (ibid., Sutra 13, Pada IV.) एते गुणाः तुल्पजातीयातुल्पजातीयशक्तिभेदानुपातिनः (ibid., Sutra 19, Pada II.) परिणामक्रमनियमात् (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 19, Pada II.) क्रमान्यत्वं परिणामान्यत्वे हेतुः (Patañjali, Sutra 15, Pada III.) प्रकृतिः परिणमनशीला छ्रणमप्यपरिणम्य नावतिष्ठते ।

The Doctrine of Causation, a corollary from the conservation and transformation of Energy—the Principle of Collocation—the Storing-up and the Liberation of Energy:—

The Sánkhya view of causation follows at once as a corollary from this doctrine of the conservation and transformation of Energy. As the total Energy remains the same while the world is constantly evolving, cause and effect are only more or less evolved forms of the same ultimate Energy. The sum of effects exists in the sum of causes in a potential (or unevolved) form. The grouping or collocation alone changes, and this brings on the manifestation of the latent powers of the Gunas, but without creation of anything new. What is called the (material) cause or sum of material causes is only the power which is efficient in the production, or rather the vehicle, of the power. This power is the unmanifested (or potential) form of the Energy set free (उद्रतवृत्ति) in the effect. But the concomitant conditions are necessary to call forth the so-called material cause into activity. When the favourable combination or co-operation of concomitants is wanting, there is no manifestation of the

effect. The question is-what is the aid which the concomitant conditions render to the determination (and production) of the effect existing in potency in its material cause? First there is the merely mechanical view as illustrated by some commonplace examples, e.g., the manifestation of the figure of the statue in the marble block by the causal efficiency of the sculptor's art, or of the oil in the sesamum-seed by pressing, or of the grain of rice out of the paddy by the process of husking. In these cases the manifestation of an effect is only its passage from potentiality to actuality, a stadium in the process of evolution from possible (future) existence to actual (present) existence; and the concomitant condition (सहकारिशक्ति) or efficient cause (निगिन्नकारण), the sculptor's chiselling, the pressing, the husking, is a sort of mechanical or instrumental help to this passage or transition. कार्य्यशक्तिमल्लमेव उपादान-कारणत्वम् । सा इाक्तिः कार्य्यस्य छनागतावस्था एव । स एव विशेषः (उत्पत्तेः प्राक् कारणे विशेषः) अस्माभिः कार्य्यस्य अनागतावस्था इत्युच्यते । (विज्ञानभिष्ठु-प्रवचनभाष्य, Sutra 115, Chap. I.) अभिव्यक्तिः वर्त्त-मानावस्या। कारखव्यापारोऽपि कार्य्यस्य वर्त्तमानल्ख्यणपरिणाममेव जनयति। यथा ज़िलामध्यस्यप्रतिमायाः लैङ्गिकव्यापारेण अभिव्यक्तिमात्रं तिलस्यतैलस्य च निष्पीडनेन थान्यस्थतरहुलस्य च खत्रघातेन । (विज्ञानभिष्ठु-प्रवचनभाष्य, Sutra 129, Chap. I.)

These mechanical examples of the Kapila-Sáňkhya have the merit of simplicity, but the Patañjali-Sáňkhya brushes them aside, and explains causation on the basis of the conservation and transformation of Energy advancing it as the liberation of potential Energy existing stored up in a Guna collocation (the sum of material causes), the liberation following on the action of the proximate efficient cause, or concomitant condition (निमित्रकारण).

The causal operation of concomitant conditions (efficient causes) lies only in this, that they supply a physical stimulus which liberates the potential Energy stored up in a given collocation. Everything in the phenomenal world is but a special collocation of the ultimate Reals (Energy, Mass, and Essence). The sum of (material) causes potentially contains the Energy manifested in the sum of effects; and in the passage from potency to actualisation, the effectuating condition (the concomitant cause), when it is itself accomplished, is only a step in the evolutionary series, which adds a specific stimulus, and renders determinate that which was previously indeterminate. When the effectuating condition is added to the sum of material conditions in a given collocation, all that happens is that a stimulus is imparted which removes the arrest, disturbs the relatively stable equilibrium, and brings on a liberation of Energy (जद्रतन्तिशक्ति) together with a fresh collocation (गुणसत्निवेशविशेष). सञ्चीमदं गुणानां सत्निवेशविशेषमात्रम् इति परमार्थतो गुणात्मानः (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 13, Pada IV.) सतन्त्र फलस्य निमित्तं वर्त्तमानीकरणे समर्थं न अपूर्धोपजनने । सिद्धं निमित्तं नैमित्तिकस्य विशेषानुग्रहणं जुरूते। नापूर्वमुत्पादयति। (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 12, Pada IV.).

Describing the production of bodies ("organic vehicles") for individual souls out of matter of Prak*ri*ti, under the influence of their merit and demerit, as concomitant conditions, Patañjali points out that nonmaterial concomitants like merit and demerit do not supply any moving force or Energy to the sum of

material conditions, but only remove the arrest (the state of relatively stable equilibrium) in a given collocation, even as the owner of a field removes the barrier in flooding his field from a reservoir of water. This description is intended to represent the super-physical influence of non-material concomitants (or causes) like volition, merit and demerit, etc., but the causal operation of a material concomitant condition is essentially the same; there is the same reservoir of stored-up Energy in a given collocation, the same condition of arrest or relatively stable equilibrium, the same liberation of the stored-up potential Energy which flows along the line of least resistance; the only difference being that in the case of material concomitants the stimulus which removes the arrest is physical, instead of being transcendental as in the case of non-material causes like will, merit and demerit, etc.

The Vyása-Bháshya helps us to a clear mental representation of the details of this process :—As the owner of many fields can irrigate, from a field which is already flooded, others of the same or a lower level, without forcing the waters thereto with his hands, and merely by making an opening in the barrier or dyke, on which the waters rush in by their own force; or, further, as the same person cannot force these waters, or the earthy matters held in solution therein, into the roots of the rice plants, but only removes the obstructive grasses and weeds, on which the fluids of their own power enter the roots ;—such is the action of an effectuating condition (fनमित्र) added to a sum of material causes or conditions. निमिन्नम् अप्रयोजनं प्रवृत्तीनां वरणभेदस्तु ततः छोत्रिकवत् । (Patañjali, Sutra 3, Pada IV.) न हि धम्मीदि निमित्तं यथा छोत्रिकः लेदारात् अपां पूरणात् लेदारं पिआवयिषुः समं निम्नं वा निम्नतरं वा नापः पाणिनाप-लमीति आवरणं तु आसां भिनत्ति तस्मिन् भिन्ने स्वयमेवापः लेदारालरम् आआवयन्ति ।

Chain of Causation—Fixed Order.—The order of Evolution with the transformation of the Energies follows a definite law. The unalterable chain of causes and effects in the phenomenal world illustrates this fixed order. But though the cosmic order is one and fixed, it comprehends divers series arising from different combinations of the original Gunas, which constitute subordinate or particular laws of cause and effect (क्रमान्यत्वे परिणामान्यत्वे हेन्दु:, Patañjali, Sutra 15, Pada III.).

What we call the qualities of things are only modes of Energy acting in those collocations. योग्यतावच्छिना धर्म्मिणः इाक्तिरेव धर्मीः--स च फल्ठप्रसवभेदानुमितसद्वावः । (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 14, Pada III.) ते खल्बमी धर्म्मा वत्तेमाना व्यक्तात्मानः, अतीताऽनागताः सुख्सात्मानः सञ्चीमिदं गुणानां सन्निवेशमात्रमिति परमाथेतो गुणात्मान: । (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 3, Pada IV.). And these various Energies are sometimes actual (kinetic), sometimes potential, rising to actuality, and sometimes sub-latent, subsiding from actuality into sub-latency. In fact, the original Energy is one and ubiquitous, and everything therefore exists in everything else, potentialiter (सच्चें सच्चात्मकामिति), without prejudice to the generic and specific differences of things (जात्मनुच्छेदेन सब् सच्चीत्सनं). Inorganic matter, vegetable organisms, and animal organisms are essentially and ultimately one (जलभूम्योः पारिणामिकं रसादिवैश्वरूप्यं स्थावरेषु दृष्टं तथा स्थावराणां जङ्गमेषु जङ्गमानां स्यावरेषु) so far as Mass and Energy are

C

17

concerned, but the varied forms of Energy and the generic and specific qualities (or properties) of things, which are but modes of Energy, follow a definite unalterable law in the order of their appearance and succession, under conditions of space, time, mode, and causality, and hence all effects do not manifest themselves at once. देशनालानारनिमित्तापवन्धात् न खलु समाननालम् जात्मनामभिव्यक्तिः (*ibid.*, Sutra 14, Pada III.) यो यस्य धर्म्मस्य समननारो धर्म्मैः स तस्य क्रमः। पिएडः प्रच्यवते घट उपजायते इति धर्म्मपरिणा-मक्रमः। लक्षणापरिणामक्रमः धटस्य जनागतभावात् वर्त्तमानभावक्रमः, तथा पिएडस्य वर्त्तमानभावात् जतीतभावक्रमः। (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 15, Pada III.).

Time, Space, and the Causal Series.—A Tanmátra (infra-atomic particle of subtile matter) is conceived by our understanding to stand in three relations—(1) position in Space (देशावच्छिन्न), (2) position in the Timeseries (कालावच्छिन्न), and (3) position in the Causal-series (निमिन्नावच्छिन्न).

These three relations are the work of the intuitive stage of knowledge (निर्धिकल्पप्रज्ञा) as opposed to the conceptual (सविकल्पप्रज्ञा). But this is not the pure relationless intuition of Reality (निर्धिचारा निर्धिकल्पप्रज्ञा), which may be termed intellectual intuition, but the intuition that imposes its forms on the Real substrate (सविचारा निर्धिकल्पप्रज्ञा) or in other words empirical intuition.

तत्र भूतसृष्ट्सेषु अभिव्यक्तधर्म्मकेषु देशकालनिमित्तानुभवावच्छिन्नेषु या समा-पत्तिः सा सविचारा इत्युच्यते । तत्रापि एकबुद्धिनिग्रीह्यमेव उदितधर्म्मविशिष्टं भूतसूख्समालम्बनीभूतं समाधिप्रज्ञायामुपतिष्ठते । (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 44, Pada I.).

Infinite Time is a non-entity objectively considered, being only a construction of the understanding

(बुद्धिनिम्माण) based on the relation of antecedence and sequence, in which the members of the phenomenal series are intuited to stand to one another. These phenomenal changes as intuited by us in the empirical consciousness fall into a series, which the understanding conceives as order in Time. The Time-series, then, is a schema of the understanding for representing the course of Evolution. The schema of the understanding supervenes on the phenomenal world as order in Time, and hence in the empirical consciousness the Time-series appears to have an objective reality, and to form a continuum. As there is an ultimate and irreducible unit of extensive quantity (परिमाण) in the Gunas or infinitesimal Reals of Prakriti, which are without constituent parts, so the moment may be conceived as the ultimate and irreducible unit of this Time-continuum as represented in the empirical consciousness. A moment therefore cannot be thought of as containing any parts standing in the relation of antecedence and sequence. If change is represented by the Time-series, a moment as the unit of time may be supposed to represent the unit of change. Now all physical change may be reduced to the motion of atoms in space, and we may therefore define the moment as representing the ultimate unit of such change-viz. the (instantaneous) transit of an atom (or rather a Tanmátra) from one point in space to the next succeeding Even an atom has constituent parts (the point. Tanmátras), and hence an atom must take more than one moment to change its position. The motion of that which is absolutely simple and without parts from

c 2

one point in space to the next must be instantaneous, and conceived as the absolute unit of change (and therefore of time, au). If this is held to be an irreducible absolute unit, it will follow that what we represent as the Time-continuum is really discrete. Time is of one dimension. Two moments cannot co-exist; neither does any series of moments exist in reality. Order in Time is nothing but the relation of antecedence and sequence, between the moment that is and the moment that went just before. But only one moment, the present, exists. The future and the past have no meaning apart from potential and sub-latent phenomena. One kind of transformation to which a thing is subject is that it changes from the potential to the actual, and from the actual to the sub-latent. This may be called the change of mark (लक्षणापरिणाम) as opposed to change of quality (धर्मपरियाम) and the change due to duration or lapse of time (ज्ञवस्यापरिखाम). The present is the mark of actuality, the future the mark of potentiality, and the past of sub-latency, in a phenomenon. Only one single moment is actual, and the whole Universe evolves in that one single moment. The rest is but potential or sub-latent.

छणतत् क्रमयोने सिंख वस्तु समाहार इति वुडि समाहारः मुहू चिरोचादयः। स खल्वयं कालुः वस्तु शुन्यो वुडि निम्मीणः शब्द ज्ञानानुपाती लौकिकानां व्युत्पित-दर्शनानां वस्तु खरूप इव अवभासते। छणस्तु वस्तुपतितक्रमावल्टम्वी। क्रमश्च छणानन्नर्य्यात्मा। तं कालु विदः कालु इति आचछते योगिनः। न च डौ छणी सह भवतः, क्रमश्च न डयोः सहभुवोरसम्भवात् पृष्ठे स्माटु चरभाविनो यदा-नन्तर्य्यं छणस्य स क्रमः। तस्मात् वर्चमान एवेकः छणः न पृष्ठींचरछणाः सन्तीति तस्माचास्ति तत्समाहारः। ये तु भूतभाविनः छणाः ते परिणामान्विता वास्थ्येयाः। तेनेकेन छण्णेन कृत्स्रो लोकः परिणाममनुभवति, तत् छणारूदाः
खत्वमी धर्म्माः । यथाऽपकर्षपर्य्वनं द्रव्यं परमाणुः एवं परमापकर्षपर्य्वनः कालुः छणुः । यावता वा समयेन चलितः परमाणुः पृर्धदेशं जद्यात् उत्तरदेशम् उप-सम्पद्येत स कालुः छणुः, तत्प्रवाहाविच्छेदस्तु क्रमः । (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 52, Pada III.).

Vijñána-bhikshu points out that this does not amount to a denial of Time. It means that Time has no real (or objective) existence apart from the "moment." But the latter is real, being identical with the unit of change in phenomena (गुणपरिणामस्य खणन्तवचनात्). But even this is real only for our empirical (relative) consciousness (चुनियतद्शेन), which intuits the relation of antecedence and sequence into the evolving Reals (Gunas), in the stage of "empirical intuition" (सचिचारा निर्धिकन्स्प्राज्ञा). The "intellectual intuition" (निर्धिचारा निर्धिकन्स्प्राज्ञा), on the other hand, apprehends the Reals as they are, without the imported empirical relations of Space, Time, and Causality.

Space as Extension and Space as Position.—Space must be distinguished as Desa (locus, or rather extension) and Dik (relative position). Space (Dik) as the totality of position, or as an order of co-existent points, is wholly relative to the understanding, like order in Time, being constructed on the basis of relations of position intuited by our empirical (or relative) consciousness. But there is this difference between Space-order and Time-order: there is no unit of Space as position (Dik), though we may conceive a unit of Time, viz. the moment (www, regarded as the unit of change in the phenomenal or causal series (परमाणुक्रिया—गुण्यपरिणामस्य अण्लवचनात्—योगवात्तिक, Sutra 51, Pada III.). Spatial position (Dik) results only from The Causal-Series.—The relation of Cause and Effect has been already explained. It only remains to add that the category of causality is mediated through the schema of order in Time. The empirical intuition first superimposes relations of antecedence and sequence on changing phenomena (the evolving Gunas or Reals), and the understanding out of these relations creates order in Time. The empirical intuition then intuits the phenomenal series of transformations of Energy in this Time-order, and in so doing imports the relation of cause and effect into the course of Nature. (नायेनारयभावादोनां घ्रयघटितत्वात्—योगवात्तिन, Sutra 51, Pada III.)

The Dissipation of Energy (and of Mass)—their Dissolution into the Formless Prakriti.—Cosmic Evolution (परिखाम) is a two-fold process, creative as well as destructive, dissimilative as well as assimilative, katabolic as well as anabolic (ज्ञनुलोमसगे and विलोमसगे, विसदृशपरिखाम and सदृशपरिखाम). In one aspect there is the aggregation (unequal aggregation) of Mass and Energy, with consequent transformation of Energy, resulting in the creation of inorganic as well as organic matter, and the genesis of worlds. The successive steps of this process may be described as (1) unequal aggregation with storing-up of Energy in a certain collocation, under a state of arrest (*i.e.* in a state of relatively stable equilibrium), (2) a stimulus removing the arrest and disturbing the equilibrium, and (3) liberation of the Energy, moving on to a fresh collocation, fresh aggregation, arrest, and equilibrium. The process of the world thus moves on from equilibrium to equilibrium, and the result of that process is the development of a coherent determinate heterogeneous whole (लिङ्ग, जविशेष, विशेष, जयुतसिद्धावयवसमूह, युतसिद्धावयवसमूह) in what is essentially an incoherent indeterminate homogeneous whole (जलिङ्ग).

But there is a second aspect of this evolutionary process. Unequal aggregations are unstable; there is a constant tendency in things to go back to the original stable equilibrium, the state of uniform equal diffusion of Reals. This process is called the resolution of like to like (सद्शपरिणाम), consisting in assimilation and dissipation, and being the exact opposite of the process of "differentiation in the integrated" which has evolved the Cosmos. The collocations of Mass, Energy, and Essence are always breaking up, and the Energy, as well as the Mass, however slowly, however imperceptibly, are being dissipated, *i.e.* dissolved into the original formless Prakriti, a state of permanent equilibrium and arrest, from which there is, and can be, no return, except under the transcendental influence of the Absolute at the commencement of a new creative cycle. Not that there is a destruction of the Mass or Energy,

but a dissipation or dissolution into a condition of equal uniform diffusion from which there is no return. This is not the phenomenon of kinetic Energy disappearing and becoming potential or sub-latent, for in such cases there is restitution or reconversion by natural means. When this reverse current of assimilation (and dissipation) prevails over the current of dissimilation (and integration), the Universe will disintegrate more and more, until it disappears in the formless Prak*ri*ti, its unknowable source and ground. (*Vide* तस्तवय and कोमुद्द).

The Evolution of Matter (नान्मानिक सृष्टि). — The ultimate constitution of Matter is a question of the profoundest interest in the Sánkhya-Pátañjala system. Three stages clearly stand out in the genesis of Matter :—(1) the original infinitesimal units of Mass or inertia, absolutely homogeneous and ubiquitous, on which Energy does work, when the original equilibrium comes to an end (भूतादि—तामसाहङ्कार); (2) the infra-atomic unit-potentials, charged with different kinds of Energy, which result from the action of Energy on the original units of Mass (तन्माच); and (3) the five different classes of atoms, the minutest divisions of which gross matter is capable, but which are themselves complex Tanmátric systems (स्वटभूतपरमाणु).

The first stadium, Bhútádi, is absolutely homogeneous and absolutely inert, being devoid of all physical and chemical characters (रुपादिभिरसंयुत्ते) except quantum or mass (परिच्चिन्नत्व, परिमाण); and this admits neither of addition nor of subtraction, can neither be created nor destroyed. The second stadium, Tanmátra, represents subtile matter, vibratory, impingent, radiant, etc.,

instinct with potential energy. These "potentials" arise from the unequal aggregation of the original Mass-units in different proportions and collocations with an unequal distribution of the original Energy (Rajas). (तन्मात्र-रूपादेः किं कारणम् इति चेत् खकारणद्रव्याणां न्यूनाधिकभावेन जन्योऽन्यं प्रति संयोगविशेष एव---खजातीयोपष्टम्भादिना वृद्धिहासादिकं च युक्तं।) The Tanmátras possess something more than quantum of Mass and Energy; they possess physical characters, some of them penetrability (अवकाशदान), others powers of impact or pressure, others radiant heat, others again capability of viscous and cohesive attraction. In intimate relation to these physical characters they also possess the potentials of the energies represented by sound, touch, colour, taste, and smell; but being subtile matter, they are devoid of the peculiar forms (विशेष) which these "potentials" assume in particles of gross matter like the atoms and their aggregates. In other words, the potentials lodged in subtile matter must undergo peculiar transformations by new groupings or collocations to be classed among sensory stimuli-gross matter being supposed to be matter endued with properties of the class of sensory stimuli, though in the minutest particles thereof the sensory stimuli may be infra-sensible (जतीन्द्रिय but not जनुडूत). (तस्मिंससिंस्तुतन्मात्राः ते च जविशेषिणः। ते च पदार्थाः शानघोरमूढ़ास्यैः स्यूलगतशव्दादिविशेषैः शून्या एकरूपत्वात् । तथाच शानादिविशेषशून्यशव्दादिमस्त्रमेव भूतानां शब्दादितन्मात्रत्वम्।)

The Tanmátras, then, are infra-atomic particles charged with specific potential energies. First, the "potential" of the sound-stimulus is lodged in one class of particles, Tanmátras which possess the physical energy of vibration (परिस्पन्द), and serve to form the radicle of the ether-atom (जाकाशपरमाए); then the potential of the tactile stimulus is lodged in another class of Tanmátras, particles which possess the physical energy of impact or mechanical pressure in addition to that of vibration, and serve to form the radicle of the air-atom (Váyu Paramánu); next, the potential of the colour-stimulus is lodged in a third class of Tanmátras, particles which are charged with the energy of radiant heat-and-light, in addition to those of impact and vibration, and serve to form the nucleus of the lightand-heat corpuscle; then the potential of the tastestimulus is lodged in other Tanmátras, particles which possess the energy of viscous attraction, in addition to those of heat, impact, and vibration, and which afterwards develop into the atom of water; and, lastly, the potential of the smell-stimulus is lodged in a further class of Tanmátras, particles which are charged with the energy of cohesive attraction, in addition to those of viscous attraction, heat, impact, and vibration, and which serve to form the radicle of the earth-atom.

षड़विश्रेषाः तद्यथा शच्दतन्मात्रं स्पर्शतन्मात्रं रूपतन्मात्रं रसतन्मात्रं गन्ध-तन्मात्रच इत्येकडित्तिचतुस्पचलुरूणाः शच्दादयः पचाविशेषाः । (व्यासभाष, Sutra 19, Pada II.) आहङ्कारात् शच्दतन्मात्रं ततश्चाहङ्कारसहकृतात् शच्दतन्मात्रात् शच्दस्पर्शगुणं स्पर्शतन्मात्रम् । एवंक्रमेण एककेनगुणवृद्धा तन्मात्राणि उत्पद्यने । (प्रवचनभाष, Sutra 62, Chap. I.).

शब्दादीनां मूर्त्तिसमानजातीयानाम् एकः परिणामः पृथिवीपरमाणुः तन्मात्रा-वयवः । भूतालरेषु छपि स्नेहीम्प्यप्रणामित्वाश्वकाशहानानि उपादाय सामान्यम् एकविकारारम्भः समाथेयः । (व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 14, Pada IV.) तद्यथा । गन्धतन्मात्रं वर्ज्जयित्वा चतुस्तन्मात्राणां स्नेहजातीयानाम् एकः परिणामः जल-परमाणुः तेषां च महाजलादिः । एवं गन्धरसौ वर्ज्जयित्वा शौम्प्यजातीयानां चितन्मात्राणां तेजोऽणुः तेभ्यः महातेजखादिः । एवं गन्धरसरूपाणां वर्ज्जनात् हाभ्यां वाय्यणुः तेभ्यः महावाय्वादिः । एवं श्रब्दतन्मात्रादहङ्कारांशसहकृतात् ज्ञाकाशाणुः तेभ्यः महाकाशादिः ।

विज्ञानभिष्ठु remarks : अत्र द्शैने खयं सिद्धानः शब्दादितन्मात्रपचके काठिन्यस्नेहादिव्यद्भ्याः पृथिवीत्वादिज्ञातयः सन्ति । (योगवात्तिक, Sutra 14, Pada IV.).

Before explaining the genesis of atoms it is necessary to say something about Ákása, which is the link between the infra-atomic particles (Tanmátras) and atoms (Paramánus). Ákása corresponds in some respects to the ether of the physicists, and in others to what may be called proto-atom (protyle). In one aspect Ákása is all-pervasive (विभु), and devoid of the property of impenetrability which characterises even the infra-atomic potential units (Tanmátras). In another aspect Ákása is described as having originated out of the mass, or inertia, in Prakriti (Bhútádi) when the latter became charged with the first potential (the sound-potential). Vijňána-bhikshu, in the Yoga-Vártika, boldly tackles the difficulty. Ákása, he explains, has two forms-original and derivative, non-atomic and atomic. The original Ákása is the undifferentiated formless Tamas (mass in Prakriti, matter-rudiment-Bhútádi), which is devoid of all potentials, and is merely the all-pervasive seat or vehicle of the ubiquitous original Energy (Rajas). This Ákása must not be confounded with vacuum, which is merely negative (जावरणाभाव-un-occupiedness), though it must be conceived as all-pervasive, occupying the same space as the various forms of gross matter (समानदेशकम्-अवकाशखरूपम् आकाशं-योगवात्तिक), and therefore devoid of the property of impenetrability (म्हीनगासमानदेशत्वं-योगवासिक) which characterises atomic matter. But when the original equilibrium (साम्यावस्था) comes to an end, unequal aggregations form collocations in different groups and proportions of the three Gunas (न्यूनाधिकभावेन अन्योऽन्यं संयोगविशोपः संहननं-विज्ञानभिष्ठु, प्रवचनभाष्य and योगवात्तिक). The transformation of Energy now begins-working on a collocation of mass (with Essence) (गुणानरोपसनृद्धं सदंशत:---विज्ञानभिषु, योगवात्तिक); it first gives rise to the soundpotential (ज्ञचननयोग्याधरूपेण परिणमति-विज्ञानभिष्ठ्), and the atomic Ákása (proto-atom, protyle) is but an integration of the original unit of mass charged with this vibrationpotential. This vibratory (or rather rotary) ether-atom (जाकाज्ञागु) is integrated, limited (परिच्छित्र), and as such cannot occupy the same space with other (subsequently integrated) atoms. But this proto-atomic integration of Akása (जाय्याजाश) is formed everywhere, and, itself residing in the ubiquitous non-atomic Ákása (कारणाकाश-जनकाइारूपमाकाइां), forms the universal medium in which air or gas atoms, light-and-heat corpuscles, and other atoms move and float about.

वायोरावरणं । यदि हि खवकाशरूपम् खाकाशं न स्यात् तदा मूत्तैद्रव्येषु स्याच्यादिषु खनस्तेनआदिप्रवेशो न स्यात् (विज्ञानभिष्ठु, योगवात्तिक, Sutra 40, Pada III.) खाकाशं हि कार्य्यकारणरूपेण द्विविधम् । तत्र कारणाकाशं तमोगुणविशेपतयैव व्यवद्वियते । तदानीम् खाकाशताव्यच्नकशव्दादिगुणविशेषा-भावात्। तच्च कारणाकाशं गुणानरोपसमृद्वं सदंशतः आदी शव्दनननयोग्यार्थरूपेण परिणमते । ततन्त्र अणुसङ्घातेन पृधिवीवदेव महाभूताकाशम् अहङ्कारापेछया परिचिद्वं वायोरावरणम् उत्पद्यते (विज्ञानभिष्ठु, योगवात्त्रिक, Sutra 40, Pada III.).

The Genesis of the Infra-Atomic Unit-Potentials (Tanmátras) and of the Atoms.—The subject of the

28

genesis and the structure of the Tanmátras and the Paramánus was a fascinating one to these ancient thinkers, and a wide divergence of views prevailed. I will here notice several typical views—those of the Vishnu Purána, Parásara, Patañjali, and a certain school of Vedántists reported in the तद्यनिरूपण.

I. A famous passage in the Vishnu Purána explains the genesis and the structure of the Tanmátras and of the Bhútas (Paramánus) in the following manner :—-

The first Tanmátra originated from the rudimentmatter (Bhútádi), the individuated but still indeterminate potential-less Mass in Prakriti, under the action of Energy (उपष्टम्मत: परिणमयन्ति—प्रवचनभाष्य, Sutra 62, Ch. I.) by a process of disintegration and emanation (निकुन्नेशरा— निष्णुपुराण—एकविकारारम: — व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 14, Pada IV. निकारणभाव: निकोणितासभावा व्यापिता इति यावत्, योगवान्तिक on Pátañjali's Sutra—मनोनचिन्तं निकारणभाव: etc.) in the menstruum, or surrounding medium of the unindividuated cosmic mass (Mahat, महतावृत:).

This first subtile matter, the first result of "Massdisintegration" and Energy-transformation, is charged with the sound-potential, the potential of vibration or oscillation (परिस्यन्द्). It is called the sound-potential (श्रव्दतन्मात्र).

This is typical of the genesis (and structure) of the other Tanmátras (kinds of subtile matter). In each of the remaining cases, an atomic Mass charged with actual specific energies (भूतपरमाणु) disintegrates and emanates, and thus evolves a form of subtile matter (a kind of Tanmátra) under the action of Energy, and always in the same menstruum or surrounding medium

The genesis and structure of the Tanmátras and the Bhúta-Paramánus are worked out below :---

- The super-subtile inviduated Mass (rudimentmatter, Bhútádi), under the action of the original Energy (रज्ञ:) disintegrates and emanates (निकुरुते) in the menstruum or surrounding medium (समावरण) of Mahat cosmic super-subtile Mass, and evolves a form of subtile matter (तन्मात्र), which becomes charged with the sound - potential (vibration-potential, परिस्मन्द), and is called the unit of sound-potential (श्रन्दतन्मात्र).
- This subtile matter, the Mass, charged with sound-potential, receives an accretion of Mass from the rudiment-matter (Bhútádi), and by condensation and collocation evolves the Ákása Bhúta, the atomic Ákása, the proto-atom charged with the specific Energy of the soundstimulus (actual vibratory motion). (स रव भूतादि: श्रान्द-तन्मावात् सहितीयात् शन्दगुराजम् आजाशं ससज्जे। तथाच आहङ्कारशन्द्रतन्मावाभ्यां मिल्लिया आजाशं सुज्यते—योगवात्तिक on the Vishnu Purána passage, Sutra 14, Pada IV.)
- 3. This proto-atom, the atomic Ákása, charged with its actual specific Energy, again disintegrates and

emanates, under the action of the original Energy and in the menstruum of the rudimentmatter (super-subtile Mass), and thus evolves another kind of subtile matter (Tanmátra) which becomes charged with the touch-potential (the potential of impact or mechanical pressure— मयागित, बहनशोऌत्व) in addition to the soundpotential (vibration-potential—परिस्यन्द) and is called the unit of touch-potential (स्पश्तेतन्मात्र).

- 4. Next, this subtile matter, the Mass charged with touch- (and sound-) potential, *i.e.* with the potentials of vibration and impact, receives an accretion of Mass again from the rudimentmatter (Bhútádi), and by condensation and collocation evolves the Váyu Bhúta, a kind of gaseous matter or air of which the atoms are charged with the actual specific energy of the touch-stimulus, *i.e.*, with actual energy of impact in addition to the actual energy of vibratory motion.
- 5. Next, the atom of Váyu, so charged with the actual specific energy of impact and vibration, again disintegrates and emanates, under the action of the original Energy, and in the same menstruum or surrounding medium of the rudiment-matter (super-subtile Mass-Bhútádi), and thus evolves another kind of subtile matter (Tanmátra), which becomes charged with the heat-potential (क्रीच्य-रूप, heat-and-light potential) in addition to the impact-potential and the vibration-potential, and is called the unit of colour-potential (रूपतन्मात्र).

- 6. Now this subtile matter, this radiant matter, charged with light-and-heat potential, and also with impact- and vibration-potential, receives an accretion of Mass again from the rudiment-matter (Bhútádi), and by condensation and collocation evolves the Tejas Bhúta, the light-and-heat corpuscle, which is charged with the specific Energy of the colour-stimulus, *i.e.* radiates actual heat and light (fafatter) in addition to manifesting the energy of impact (impingency) and of vibration (or oscillation).
- Next, this atom or light-and-heat corpuscle disintegrates, and emanates as before a form of subtile matter charged with the taste-potential (रसतन्मात्र), in addition to the three potentials already generated, and also with the physical potential of viscous attraction.
- 8. This subtile matter charged with the tastepotential and with the potential of viscous attraction condenses, and collocates as before into the water-atom, which manifests the actual specific energies of viscous attraction and the taste-stimulus.
- 9. The viscous water-atom charged with the actual specific energy of the taste-stimulus disintegrates, and emanates as before a form of subtile matter charged with the smell-potential in addition to the four potentials already generated and also with the potential of cohesive attraction.
- 10. This subtile matter charged with the smellpotential and with the potential of cohesive

attraction condenses, and collocates as before into the earth-atom, which manifests the actual specific energies of cohesive attraction and the smell-stimulus.

Vijňána-bhikshu, in the Yoga-Vártika, briefly summarises the Vish*n*u Purá*n*a process as follows :----

Bhútádi as radicle in conjunction with Mahat produces the sound-potential, which as radicle in conjunction with Bhútádi produces Ákása, which as radicle in conjunction with Bhútádi produces the touchpotential, which as radicle in conjunction with Bhútádi produces Váyu, which as radicle in conjunction with Bhútádi produces the colour-potential, which as radicle in conjunction with Bhútádi produces Tejas, and so on.

In this brief summary he does not bring out the force of चित्रुच्चेगण: (the disintegrating process) and the distinction between the genesis of subtile and gross matter (Tanmátra and Bhúta).

> यथा प्रधानेन महान्, महता स तथावृतः । भूतादिस्तु विकुष्ठीर्णः सगै तन्मात्रिकं ततः । ससर्जे शब्दतन्मात्रात् आकाशं शब्दऌछ्एणं । शब्दमात्रं तदाकाशं भूतादिः स समावृण्गोत् । आकाशस्तु विकुष्ठीर्णः स्पर्शमात्रं ससर्जे ह । बऌवान् अभवत् वायुः तस्य स्पर्शो युणो मतः । (विष्णुपुराण)

II. A famous passage in Parásara takes another view of the genesis and structure of the Tanmátras and the Bhúta-Paramánus. Krishnapáda, in the तस्वनयदिवरण, represents the scheme as follows :---

The Tanmátras originate from one another in one

linear series, and each Bhúta originates in a separate line from its own Tanmátra :---

भूतादि	Bhútádi.
शब्दतन्मात्र 	Sound-Tanmátra—as a radicle or centre surrounded or encircled by Bhútádi generates Áká <i>s</i> a—
स्पर्शतन्मात्र	Touch-Tanmátra—as a radicle or centre encircled by sound-Tanmátra with Ákása-atom as a help generates Váyu—
रूपतन्मात्र	Colour-Tanmátra — as a radicle or centre encircled by touch-Tanmátra with Váyu-atom as a help generates Tejas—
रसतन्मात्र	Taste-Tanmátra—as a radicle or centre encircled by colour-Tanmátra with Tejas-atom as a help generates Ap—
गन्धतन्मात्र	Smell-Tanmátra—as a radicle or centre encircled by taste-Tanmátra with Ap-atom as a help generates Prithivi.

The genesis of a Bhúta-Paramánu (atom) from the subtile matter of a Tanmátra is not here so simple as in the view of the Vishnu Purána. The latter speaks of condensation and collocation, but in the passage under reference a Tanmátra is supposed to act as a radicle, as the centre of a system, surrounded or encircled by Tanmátras of the immediately higher order in the medium of their own Bhúta.

Thus an atom of Ákása has the following structure :---



AN Атом оf А́ка́sa. Sb = Śabda-Tanmátra (vibration-potential). Bh = Bhútádi.

An atom of Váyu is constituted as follows (this takes place within the surrounding medium of Ákása) :---







Sp = Sparsa-Tanmátra (impact-potential). Sb = Śabda-Tanmátra (vibration-potential). An atom of Tejas (heat-and-light corpuscle) has the following structure :---



Vávu

AN ATOM OF TEJAS. Rp = Rúpa-Tanmátra (light-potential). Sp = Sparsa-Tanmátra (impact-potential).

and so on.

A chemist will be disposed to push his chemical processes into the region of subtile matter. He may translate आवरण as a menstruum, and सहायक as a catalytic agent. In this case an atom of Váyu will be considered as generated from the impact-Tanmátra as a radicle, in the menstruum of vibration-Tanmátra, with Ákása-atoms as a catalytic agent.

छवायं क्रमः—भूतादेः शञ्दतन्मात्रं जायते, शञ्दतम्मात्रं भूतादिरावृष्णोति, तत छात्काशं जायते, ततः अस्मात् शञ्दतन्मावात् स्पर्शतन्मात्रं जायते, स्पर्शतन्मात्रं शब्दतन्मात्रमावृष्णोति, एवं शब्दतन्मात्रावृतात् आकाशसहायकात् स्पर्शतन्मात्रात् वायुज्ञीयते, ततः अस्मात् स्पर्शतन्मात्रात् रूपतन्मात्रं जायते, रूपतन्मात्रं स्पर्शतन्मात्रात् तन्मात्रमावृष्णोति, एवं स्पर्शतन्मात्रात् वायुस्तहायकात् रूपतन्मात्रात् तेजो जायते—and so on. अयं क्रमः तत्त्वयविवरणे कृष्णपादेरुत्तः । वरवरमुनि, तत्त्वयभाष्य (अचित्प्रकरण) ।

A slight variation of the above view is ascribed to a certain school of Vedántists in the নহ্লনিছণত. The scheme may be represented as follows :---

A Bhúta-atom is evolved by integration (condensation and collocation, स्यूलार्स्यारूप) from the corresponding Tanmátra (subtile matter). This is the same view as that of the Vishnu Purána.

The Tanmátras again evolve from one another in a lineal series, as in Parásara's view. But the process of this generation is somewhat more complex. A Tanmátra first disintegrates and emanates in a surrounding medium (a menstruum) of the Tanmátra just preceding it in the order of genesis, and, with the help of its own Sthúla Bhúta as a sort of catalytic, generates the Tanmátra next in order; *e.g.*, the infra-atomic impact-particles (स्पर्शेतन्मात्र) disintegrate or emanate, in a surrounding " atmosphere" of the vibratory subtile matter (श्रन्दतन्मात्र), and then, with the help of their own atomic integration Váyu, gas, generate the Tanmátra next in order, the subtile matter of radiant light-and-heat (तेत्र:).

III. Patañjali's view, as expounded in the আমসাম্ব and योगवात्त्रिक, is as follows :---

(a) The order of genesis of various forms of subtile matter (potentials) :---

- (1) Bhútádi, the rudiment-matter, original Mass, acted on by Rajas (Energy) produces the soundpotential (vibration-potential), जन्यान्याभ्याम् जहज्जाराभ्यां खकार्य्यजनने राजसाहज्जार: सहकारीभवति ।
- (2) This subtile vibration-potential, as a radicle, with accretion of rudiment-matter (Bhútádi), condensing and collocating, and acted on by Rajas, generates the subtile touch-potential

(impact-potential), which is impingent as well as vibratory (oscillating).

- (3) This subtile impact-potential, again, as a radicle, with accretion of rudiment-matter (Bhútádi), condensing and collocating, and acted on by Rajas, generates the subtile light - and - heat potential (रूपतन्मात्र) which radiates light and heat, in addition to being impingent and vibratory.
- (4) Next, the light-and-heat potential, as a radicle, with accretion of rudiment-matter (Bhútádi), condensing and collocating as before, generates the subtile taste-potential, which is charged with the potential of the taste-energy and of viscous attraction, in addition to being vibratory, impingent, and radiant.
- (5) Lastly, the subtile taste-potential as a radicle, with accretion of rudiment-matter as before, condensing and collocating, generates the subtile smell-potential, which is charged with the potential of the smell-energy, and also of cohesive attraction, in addition to being vibratory, impingent, and radiant.

(b) The order of genesis of the Bhúta-Paramánus (forms of atomic matter).

The five classes of atoms are generated as follows :---

- The sound-potential, subtile matter, with accretion of rudiment-matter (Bhútádi), generates the Ákása-atom.
- (2) The touch-potentials combine with the vibratory particles (sound-potential) to generate the Váyuatom.

- (3) The light-and-heat potentials combine with touch-potentials and sound-potentials (*i.e.* with impact particles and vibratory particles) to produce the Tejas-atom.
- (4) The taste-potentials combine with light-andheat potentials, touch-potentials, and soundpotentials (*i.e.* with radiant, impingent, and vibratory particles) to generate the Ap-atom; and
- (5) The smell-potentials combine with the preceding potentials (*i.e.* with particles of taste-energy and with radiant, impingent, and vibratory particles) to generate the Earth-atom.

The Ákása-atom possesses penetrability, the Váyuatom impact or mechanical pressure, the Tejas-atom radiant heat-and-light, the Ap-atom viscous attraction, and the Earth-atom cohesive attraction.

Vijñána-bhikshu in one passage gives the following scheme of the genesis of the Bhútas :---

A radicle of sound-potential with rudiment-matter gives Ákása-atom (Bhútádi), a radicle of touch-potential with Ákása-atom gives Váyu-atom, a radicle of lightand-heat potential with Váyu-atom gives Tejas-atom, a radicle of taste-potential with Tejas-atom gives Apatom, and a radicle of smell-potential with Ap-atom gives Earth-atom. On this view, an atom

> of Akasa = Bh(Sb)of $Vayu = \{Bh(Sb)\}(Sp)$ of $Tejas = \{Bh(Sb)\}(Sp)$ Rp

Bhútas and Paramánus—Cosmo-genesis and its successive stages :—

The "five Bhútas" stand for a classification of substances on the basis of their generic properties, resulting, as the Sáňkhyas hold, from the structural type of their constituent atoms—a classification more physical than chemical, or properly speaking chemicophysical, unlike the purely chemical classification of the so-called elements of modern chemistry. A Paramánu, again, is a type of atoms corresponding to each Bhúta class, and indeed one and the same kind of Paramánu may comprehend atoms of different masses, if only these should agree in their structural type.

Cosmo-genesis: a Bird's-eye View .- Out of the allpervasive rudiment-matter (Bhútádi) appeared Ákása (ether), first as a Tanmátra (subtile matter) charged with the potential energy of sound (vibration-potential), and then as an atomic integration of a mono-Tanmátric structure (the Ákása-atom-आकाज्ञागु) also ubiquitous and all-enveloping. In the next stage we find a new kind of Tanmátras, systems of the infra-atomic vibratory particles, so arranged as to manifest a new form of Energy, that of impact or mechanical pressure, and these Tanmátras (स्पर्शतन्मात्राणि) combining with the vibrationpotentials (Ákása-Tanmátra) produced a new kind of atom, the di-Tanmátric Váyu-atom, which by aggregation formed a gaseous envelope composed of impinging (driving) vibratory particles (Váyu). Next appeared the third class of Tanmátras, infra-atomic systems of the impinging vibratory particles, which by their collocation developed a new form of Energy-the energy

of radiant heat-and-light. These Tanmátras (रूपतन्माचारिण) combining with the potentials (Tanmátras) of vibration and impact, produced a new kind of atom-the tri-Tanmátric Tejas-atom, the light-and-heat corpuscle, which by aggregation enveloped the gaseous world in huge flames. In the next stage we have the fourth class of Tanmátras, new and complex infra-atomic systems of the radiant impinging vibratory particles, which evolved the energy of viscous attraction, as well as the potential energy concerned in the taste-stimulus. These Tanmátras (रसतन्माचाणि) combining with the three previous ones, gave rise to another class of atoms, the tetra-Tanmátric Ap-atom, and the flaming gases were thus precipitated into cosmic masses of viscous fluid matters (Ap). Finally appeared the fifth class of Tanmátras, infra-atomic systems of the viscous radiant impinging vibratory particles which developed new forms of Energy-the energy of cohesive attraction, as well as the potential energy concerned in the stimulus of smell. These Tanmátras (गन्धतन्मात्राणि) uniting with the other four kinds of infra-atomic subtile particles, formed another class of atoms, the penta-Tanmátric Earth-atom. Thus the viscous fluid matters were condensed and transformed into the Earth-Bhúta, comprising the majority of the so-called elements of chemistry.

The Puránas, in their own fanciful way, conceive that, in the course of cosmic evolution, each succeeding Bhúta appeared within an outer envelope of its immediate predecessor, with a total mass (or volume?) a tenth less than that of the latter. वियत् तत्राप्पेकदेशगतो वायुः प्रकल्पितः । चिन्तयेत् वहिमप्पेवं मरुतो न्यूनवर्त्तिनं ॥ व्रबाग्डावरणेष्वेषां न्यूनाधिक-विचारणा । वायोर्देशांशतो न्यूनो वहिवायौ प्रकल्पितः ॥ पुराणोक्तं तारतम्यं दशांशैभूतपञ्चके ॥ (पच्चदशी-भतविवेक, Slokas 72, 81, and 82.)

Examples of the different Bhútas :---

- 1. Ákása. This is ubiquitous.
- 2. Váyu. Various substances composed of di-Tanmátric atoms—kinds of Váyu—must have been formed in the gaseous envelope, in the second stage of cosmic evolution, out of the proto-atoms of Ákása; but they have either suffered a fresh transformation into substances of a more complex atomic structure, or have dissipated into 'the mono-Tanmátric Ákása, out of which they took their rise. The one familiar example now surviving is atmospheric air. Water-vapour (नाष) is but water (Ap), and smoke, fumes, etc., but earth-particles in gaseous diffusion.
- Tejas. Various classes of Tejas-corpuscles substances with tri-Tanmátric atomic structure, *i.e.* two grades subtler than the ordinary elements of chemistry (which are of a penta-Tanmátric structure)—are even now known (तेजो भौमादिभेदेन बहुविर्ध । भौमं दियं सौदर्य्यं साकरजं—वरवरसुनि, तह्यत्रयभाष).

First, there is fire, or the light and heat emitted by the burning log of wood or lamp (ज्ञाग्न:-दोप:-भौमं तेज्ञ:).

Now it is important to note that the flame of a burning log of wood (इन्धन) or of an oil-lamp is not pure Tejas, a pure mass of light-and-heat corpuscles. There is chemical union with Earth-particles (particles of the hard penta-Tanmátric substance) acted on by Energy; and then the Tejas-corpuscles, light-and-heat particles which are latent (absorbed) therein, come forth as flame (पार्थिवीपष्टम्भेन तदनुगतात् तेजसः जग्निभवति-विज्ञानभिषु, प्रवचनभाष्य, Sutra 110, Chap. V.). Then there is the light of the sun and the stars (दियं सौरं जादित्यादि) which are flaming masses of molten viscous matters (जलमात्रेन्धनं तेजः दिव्यं तच मूच्यादि-तत्त्वत्रयभाष्य, अचित्प्रकरण) or of molten earthy matters (सूर्य्यादोनि सच्चीणि तेर्जासि पार्थिवद्रव्यसङ्ग्रेनेव खवस्थिनानि-विज्ञानभिष्ठु, प्रवचनभाष्य, Sutra 13, Chap. III.). There is also the lightning, which liberates a kind of Tejas latent in the aqueous particles and vapours, under the action of Energy, in the same way as an ordinary fire liberates the Tejas latent in the wood or other fuel. Next there are the stores of animal heat derived from the break-up of the nutritive material (जोदयें). Lastly there comes the peculiar form of the Tejas Energy (radiant Energy) stored up in the metalliferous ores and igneous rocks which have been formed in the subterranean heat. Here earthy matters are mixed up, but the radiant Energy predominates in the विज्ञानभिष्ठ, प्रवचनभाष, Sutra 19, Chap. III.). Aniruddha, a late Sánkhya commentator, notes in reference to "igneous bodies" that the greater part of their mass is derived from the Earth Bhúta, though the Tejas particles determine the peculiar chemical combination which produces them; and this must also be his view of the composition of the metals (तत्र आपि (तैनसे शरोरे आपि) वहुतरपाधिवावयवावष्टम्भकालं, अल्पाले चानुपभोगात् — आनिरुद्धवृत्ति, Sutra 112, Chap. V.).

- 4. Ap. This viscous fluid of a tetra-Tanmátric structure has but one pure example, viz., water, though the various organic acids, the juices of fruits and the saps of plants, are supposed to be transformations of watery radicles combined with different kinds of earthy accretions.
- Lastly, the Earth Bhúta, the hard full-formed matter, with its penta-Tanmátric atoms, comprises by far the majority of the so-called chemical elements.

The question is,—how does one and the same Bhúta, of the same formal structure, comprise different kinds of elements, with different atomic masses and different characteristic properties? And the answer is not far to seek. The properties of a thing are only the energies that are manifested in the particular collocations of the three Gunas—Mass, Energy, and Essence; and a tri-Tanmátric or a penta-Tanmátric atom, *i.e.* an atom composed of three or five kinds of Tanmátras, may differ from another of the same class in respect of the number of constituent Tanmátras of any particular kind, as also of their collocation or grouping, and therefore in mass as well as in generic and specific characters.

The Sáńkhya-Pátañjala conceives the properties (or energies) of substances to result from the grouping or the quanta of the Tanmátras, or the Gunas themselves,

44

and hence any radical differences in substances of the same Bhúta class must characterise their atoms, though in an infra-sensible form. In the Nyáya-Vaiseshika, on the other hand, the atoms of the same Bhúta class are alike in themselves, homogeneous; and the variety of substances comprehended under the same Bhúta is ascribed merely to the different arrangements or groupings of the atoms ($\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{\overline{\mathbf{c}}}$) and not of their components, for components they have none.

As a typical and familiar instance of the variety of characteristic properties (or energies) that may result from variations in accompaniment or grouping, the Sánkhya-Pátañjala points to the various kinds of fruit acids and juices, all originating from one and the same Bhúta (water) with different accretions of earthy matters (भूविकारा:). In the same way, though we speak of only five classes of Tanmátras and atoms, the infinite variety of the world results from the infinitely varied collocations of the three original Gunas which underlie Tanmátra and atom alike. (सत्तरजस्तमसां अपकषेकाष्टापनः संघातः परमागुरिति-न्यायवात्तिक, उद्योतकर, reporting the Sánkhya view. जयुतसिद्धावयवः संघातः परमागुरिति-व्यासभाष्य, Sutra 44, Pada III. परमाणुः सामान्यविशेषात्मा अयुतसिद्धावयवभेदानुगतः समुदायः -- च्यासभाष्य, Sutra 44, Pada IV. योग्यतावच्छिन्ना धर्म्मिणः शक्तिरेव धर्मः-ibid., Sutra 14, Pada III. यो धर्म्मेषु जनुपाती सामान्य-विशेषात्मा सोऽन्वयी धर्मी-ibid., Sutra 14, Pada III.)

स्यादेतत्—कर्षं एकरूपार्खां गुणानां अनेकरूपा प्रवृत्तिः—इत्यत आह परिणामतः सलिलवत् । यथा वारिदविमुक्तं उदकं एकरसं अपि तज्ञहूविकारान् आसाद्य नारिकेल-ताल्ठी-विख्व-चिरविख्व-तिन्दुकामलक-कपित्थ-फलरसतया परिणामात् मधुराम्चलवणतिक्तकटुकपायतया विकख्पते, एवमेकैकगुणसम्भवात् प्रधानं गुणं ज्ञाश्रित्य ज्ञप्रधानगुणाः परिणामभेदान् प्रवर्त्तयन्ति । तदिदमुक्तं प्रतिप्रतिगुणाश्रयविशेषात्, एकैकगुणाश्रयेण यो विशेषस्तस्मात् इत्यर्थः । (वाचस्पति--कौमुदी--on Káriká 16.)

If we take a unit of rudiment-matter (Bhútádi) for the unit of mass (*cf.* the mass of an electron with a charge of motion, etc.), and represent the first Tanmátra by t_1 such units, and if further t_2 , t_3 , t_4 , t_5 units of mass (Bhútádi) be successively added at each accretion to form a fresh Tanmátra, then the second, third, fourth, and fifth Tanmátras will respectively contain $t_1 + t_2$, $t_1 + t_2 + t_3$, $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4$, and $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5$ units of mass.

Also the Váyu-atom (bi-Tanmátric system) will contain $t_1 + (t_1 + t_2)$, *i.e.* $2t_1 + t_2$ units of mass; the Tejas-atom (tri-Tanmátric system) will contain $t_1 + (t_1+t_2)+(t_1+t_2+t_3)$, *i.e.* $3t_1+2t_2+t_3$ units; the Apatom (tetra-Tanmátric system) $t_1+(t_1+t_2)+(t_1+t_2+t_3)$ $+ (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$, *i.e.* $4t_1 + 3t_2 + 2t_3 + t_4$ units; and the Earth-atom (penta-Tanmátric system) $t_1 + (t_1+t_2) + \dots + (t_1+t_2+t_3+t_4+t_5)$, *i.e.* $5t_1+4t_2+3t_3$ $+ 2t_4 + t_5$ units.

If t units of mass be added to the first Tanmátra to form the atom of Ákása, the latter will contain $t_1 + t$ units of mass.

In other words, the numbers representing the massunits (Tamas) in the different classes of atoms (gross matter) will form an ascending series, viz. $t_1 + t_1$, $2t_1 + t_2$, $3t_1 + 2t_2 + t_3$, $4t_1 + 3t_2 + 2t_3 + t_4$, and $5t_1 + 4t_2 + 3t_3 + 2t_4 + t_5$.

Now if a follower of the Sánkhya-Pátañjala were

asked to account for differences among Paramánus of the same Bhúta class, he would perhaps suppose t_1 to vary from a_1 to β_1 , t_2 from a_2 to β_2 , t_3 from a_3 to β_3 , t_4 from a_4 to β_4 , and t_5 from a_5 to β_5 .

Therefore the mass-units contained in the Váyuatoms of the different possible Váyu substances would be represented by $2a_1+t$, $2a_1+1+t$, $2a_1+2+t$, $2\beta_1+t$, in A. P. with unity as common difference, there being $2(\beta_1-a_1)+1$ possible Váyu substances.

The mass-units contained in the Tejas-atoms of the different possible Tejas substances would be represented by the series $3a_3+2a_2+a_3$, $3a_1+2a_2+a_3+1$, $3\beta_1+2\beta_2+\beta_3$, increasing in A. P. by unity as common difference, there being $3(\beta_1-a_3)+2(\beta_2-a_2)+(\beta_3-a_3)+1$ Tejas substances possible.

The mass-units contained in the Ap-atoms of the different possible Ap substances would form the series $4\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, $4\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 1, \dots, 4\beta_1 + 3\beta_2 + 2\beta_3 + \beta_4$, there being $4(\beta_1 - \alpha_1) + 3(\beta_2 - \alpha_2) + 2(\beta_3 - \alpha_3) + (\beta_4 - \alpha_4) + 1$ Ap substances possible.

The mass-units contained in the Earth-atoms of the different possible Earth substances would form the series in A. P., $5a_1 + 4a_2 + 3a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5$, $5a_1 + 4a_2 + 3a_3$ $+ 2a_4 + a_5 + 1, \dots, 5\beta_1 + 4\beta_2 + 3\beta_3 + 2\beta_4 + \beta_5$, there being $5(\beta_1 - a_1) + 4(\beta_2 - a_2) + 3(\beta_3 - a_3) + 2(\beta_4 - a_4) + (\beta_5 - a_5) + 1$ Earth substances possible.

Size (परिमाण).—As to size or volume, the Sáňkhya accepts only two kinds—the infinitesimal, which is also without parts (ज्ञणु—fनरवयव), and the non-infinitesimal, which consists of parts (महत्—सावयव). The latter varies from the excessively small (the so-called Anus, Tanmátras, and Paramánus) to the indefinitely great (परममहत, — विभु. — e.g. Ákása).

The Gunas alone are infinitesimal, with the exception of those ubiquitous ones that evolve into Ákása-atoms and Mind-stuff (आकाज़ाण, and जनःकरण); all the rest of the evolved products (whether subtile or gross matter) are non-infinitesimal.

Vijňána-bhikshu notes that all the Gunas (Reals) cannot be ubiquitous. If this were the case, that disturbance of equilibrium, that unequal aggregation with unequal stress and strain with which cosmic evolution begins, would be impossible. The Gunas, which give rise to Ákása and Mind-stuff, must be held to be ubiquitous, and this will suffice for the ubiquity of Prakriti. (न च अस्महर्शने गुणास्थप्रकृते: विभुत्वमेवायगम्यते इति वाच्यम् । अन्न:करणाकाशहेतुगुणानां विभुत्वेन तदुपपत्ते: । सर्ध्वगुणानां विभुत्वे सति आद्यपरिणामहेतुक्षोभसंयोगाद्यसंभवात् — योगवात्तिक, Sutra 51, Pada III.)

Chemical Analysis and Synthesis—Elements and Compounds.—What, then, is the equivalent in the Sáňkhya-Pátaňjala of the distinction between a chemical element and a chemical compound, or is there none? Did or did not this physical analysis and classification of things lead on to a classification based on chemical analysis and chemical synthesis? These are questions of singular interest, the answer to which will disclose some new points of view from which the ancient Hindu thinkers approached the problems.

Aggregates ($\pi \eta \xi \pi$:) may, in regard to their structure, be divided into two classes: (1) those of which the

48

parts are in intimate union and fusion, being lost in the whole (अयुत्तसिद्धावयवा: समूहा:), and (2) mechanical aggregates, or collocations of distinct and independent parts (युत्तसिद्धावयवा: समूहा:).

A substance is an aggregate of the former kind, and may be divided into two classes : (1) the Bhútas and their "isomeric" modifications (मूत, मूतमेद, and मूतविकार), and (2) chemical compounds (मिलितद्वय, संहतभूताथ). Chemical compounds, again, may be subdivided into two classes: (1) those composed of atoms of the same Bhúta class, i.e. of different isomeric modifications of the same Bhúta, and (2) those composed of atoms of different Bhúta classes. In the first case, there is contact between "isomeric" atoms (सजातीय-संयोग), in the second case between heterogeneous atoms (विजातीय-संयोग). The first contact leads to intimate union (सङ्गः,---सङ्गाख्यः यः संयोगविशेषः तेनैव दृव्याणां विकारो भवति---विज्ञानभिषु, प्रवचनभाष्): the isomeric atoms by a peculiar liberation of Energy (सजातीयोपष्टम्भ-the action of similars on similars) are attracted towards one another, and being riveted, as it were, form the so-called material cause (उपादानकारण) of the compound product. The second kind of contact (that between unlike atoms of heterogeneous Bhútas) begins with a liberation of Energy (उपष्टम्भ), which breaks up each of the Bhútas; and taking particles (or atoms) of one as nuclei or radicles, groups particles of the rest round these radicles in a comparatively free or unattached condition. In this case, one Bhúta, that which serves to furnish the radicles, not necessarily that which is numerically or quantitatively predominant, gets the name of material

49

cause (उपादानकारण), and the others, which by their collocation cause the liberation of Energy (उपष्टम्म, घवष्टम्म, or विष्टम्म), are called efficient causes (निमिन्नकारण)— (एवमेकेकगुणसम्भवात् प्रधानगुणं आधित्रय अप्रधानगुणा: परिणामभेदान् प्रवत्तेवन्नि—वाचस्पति, कौमुदो on Káriká 16). The illustrations given (viz. the Rasas as modifications of Ap, water, with Earth-accretions) show that this process applies not only to the Gunas, but also to the Bhútas. (यथा आकाशादेकरसं सल्टिलं पतितं नानारूपात् संघ्लेषात् भिद्यते—गौड़पाद on Káriká 17. तत्र छाप (तैजसे शरीरे छाप) बहुतरपाधिवावयवा-यष्टम्मात, खत्मने च अनुपभोगात्—छानिस्ड on Sutra 112, Chap. V. जातिसाङ्कर्प्यस्य अस्माकमदोधन्वात् सामग्रीसमवधाने छनेकैरपि इन्द्रियै: एकदा एकवृत्युत्पादने बाधकं नास्ति ।—विज्ञानभिष्ठु, प्रवचनभाष्य ।)

Aniruddha goes so far as to hold that both "isomeric" and "heterogenic" combinations are real cases of constitutive contact. (जारमानसंयोग, e.g. भौतिकवायोदेंहारमानत्मम् — जनिरुद्ध on Sutra 113, Chap. V.). But in the later Sánkhya-Pátañjala the current teaching denied this— बहूनामुपादानायोगात् (Sutra 102, Chap. V.), where विज्ञानभिष्ठु notes—बहूनां भिन्नजातीयानां चोपादानत्नं न दृष्टमिति सजातीयमेवोपादानम्। इतरच भूतचनुष्टयमुपष्टम्मकम् ।

But besides these transformations of substance (द्रव्यान्तर-परिणाम) by "isomeric" or "heterogenic" process, ceaseless changes go on in the characters, the modality, and the states of substances—changes which are due to the unequal distribution of force (or of stress and strain —pressure) among the Gunas, which are in themselves constant. (धर्म्सेऌछ्णावस्थापरिणामा: न द्रव्यानारतः—यथा एका रेखा शातस्थाने शर्म दशस्थाने दश, एकच्चैकस्थाने, यथा चैकत्वेर्धपि स्त्रो माता चोच्यते दुहिता च खसा चेति—गुणिनियत्वेर्धपि गुणानां विमदेवैचित्र्यात्—व्यासभाष, Sutra 13, Pada III.) "Even as the same figure '1' stands for a hundred in the place of hundred, for ten in the place of ten, and for a unit in the place of unit."¹

Now the question is—in these mixed substances does the fusion take place by Paramánus or by larger masses (or lumps)? Now a Paramánu is defined to be the smallest portion of any substance which exhibits the characteristic qualities of that substance; in other words, it stands for the smallest homogeneous portion of any substance. It is not without parts, and there-

• This conclusively proves that the decimal notation was familiar to the Hindus when the Vyása-Bháshya was written, *i.e.* centuries before the first appearance of the notation in the writings of the Arabs or the Greco-Syrian intermediaries. Váchaspati, who comments on the Vyása-Bháshya, composed his Nyáya-Súchí-Nibandha in बद्धवर्युवर्द्द, *i.e.* Samvat 898 or 842 A.D. This cannot be Saka 898, for apart from the decisive use of बत्सर, which by this time had come to signify the Samvat era, Váchaspati's commentator, Udayana, wrote the Lakshanávalí in Saka 906—

तर्काम्बराङ्गप्रसितेव्यतीतेषु श्वात्ततः । वर्षेषुद्यनचन्ने सुवोधां सत्त्रणायलीं ॥

and Udayana, who wrote the Parisuddhi on the Tátparyyatíká of Váchaspati, could not have been a contemporary of the latter, as will also appear from the invocation to Sarasvati in the opening lines of the Parisuddhi. Váchaspati, then, preceded Udayana by 142 years, and must have been himself preceded by the author of the Vyása-Bháshya by a longer interval still, for Váchaspati ascribes the Bháshya to Veda-Vyása himself (चेंद्र यासेन भाषित्रे भाषे याखा निषाखते). The internal evidence also points to the conclusion that the Bháshya cannot have been composed later than the sixth century—cf. the quotations from Pañchasikha, Várshaganya, and the Shashthi-Tantra-Sástra, without a single reference to Ísvara-Krishna—which is decisive. I may add that I remember to have come across passages of a similar import in Buddhist and other writings of a still earlier date.

e 2

fore not indivisible. It is subject to disintegration. In a Bhúta or its isomeric modification, the Paramánu, the smallest homogeneous component particle, is unmixed, and therefore corresponds to the atom of modern chemistry. In a mixed substance (मिलितद्रव्य, संहतभूतार्थ), whether it be an "isomeric" or a heterogenic compound, the qualities are due to the mixture, and therefore its Paramánu (usually called Arambhaka Paramánu), the smallest homogeneous particle possessing its characteristic qualities, must result from the mixture of the Paramánus (in smaller or larger numbers as the case may be) of the component substances. In the Sánkhya view, then, the Paramánu of a mixed substance (Arambhaka Paramánu) corresponds to what we now call molecule. (छपकर्षपर्यमं द्रव्यं परमाणुरिति-व्यासभाष्य । लोष्ट्रस्य हि प्रविभज्यमानस्य यस्मिन्नवयवे अत्यतारतम्यं व्यवतिष्ठते सः अपकर्षपर्य्वनपरमाणुः - वाचस्पति, तत्त्ववैशारदो-Sutra 52, Pada III. नागुनित्यता न निभागत्वं। पृथिवीपरमाणुः जलपरमाणुरित्यादिव्यवहारस्तु पृथिव्यादीनां जयकर्षकाष्ठा-भिग्रायेण्येव ।---विज्ञानभिष्ठु, प्रवचनभाष्य, Sutra 88, Chap. V.) That the Paramánus may form binary molecules (ज्ञ with a forming substances, is acknowledged by the Sánkhyas, as will appear from Gaudapáda: तथा जन्योन्याश्रयाश्च द्वासुकवत्मुसाः । (गौड़पाद, on Káriká 12). Even the Vaiseshikas, with their prejudice against "poly-Bhautic" or "heterogenic" combination, acknowledge that in "poly-Bhautic" compounds the different Bhúta substances unite by their Paramánus (or atoms), though they rigidly insist that in such cases only one atom should be regarded as the "radicle" (उपादान or द्वयारमाक कारण) and the others as co-efficient causes (निमित्तकारण-उपष्टम्भक, e.g. प्रशस्तपाद-एवं समुत्तपत्रेषु चतुर्षे महाभूतेषु महेश्वरस्या-

भिष्यानमात्रात् तैज्ञसेभ्योऽशुभ्यः पार्थिवपरमाशुसहितेभ्यो महदराऽमुत्एद्यते (प्रज्ञासतपादभाष्य—where Śrídhara notes पार्थिवा खवयवा उपष्टम्भकाः and Udayana तैज्ञसानां परमाशुनां पार्थिवाशुसाहित्येन छारउस्य हिरएमयत्वं विविधितं).

It was only in the mediæval Sánkhya-Pátañjala that under the influence of the Nyáya-Vaiseshika doctrine a radical difference was conceived to exist between the structure (or constitution) of a molecule composed of "isomeric" atoms, and that of one composed of heterogeneous atoms. In the former case there was believed to take place intimate union (सङ्घ), in the latter case only a grouping of comparatively free or loosely attached atoms round a radicle atom (यहन), with liberation of Energy (उपष्टम्भ, जनष्टम्भ, or fagma) and the setting up of unequal stress and strain (गुरावैषम्यविमहे ईश्वरकृष्ण). At the same time it was of course admitted that this distinction does not apply to the forms of subtile matter (Tanmátra-सूझमत) which could unite in intimate fusion, whether homogeneous or heterogeneous. For example, the subtile body (मुझ्मज़रोर) which is supposed to be the seat or vehicle of the conscious principle is acknowledged by Vijñána-bhikshu to be penta-Bhautic (पचभतात्मक); in other words, all the five Tanmátras serve as material causes, though the gross body (the animal organism) is stated to be only a "heterogenic" compound with the Earth Bhúta as radicle or base. (आधिष्ठानशरीरं च मुख्सं पचभूतात्मकं वष्ट्यते । तन्मात्रकार्थ्यं यत् भूतपचकं लिङ्गाधिष्ठानंशरीरम् -प्रवचनभाष, Sutras 11 and 12, Chap. III. स्यल्झारीरं पाचिवमेव जन्यानि च भूतानि उपष्टम्भकानि-ibid., Sutra 19, Chap. III.)

But in the original Sánkhya-Pátañjala it appears that the production of a new substance by mixture of unlike Bhútas (चिनातीयसंयोग) was conceded as freely as in the Vedánta, and was conceived as in nowise differing from the formation of a compound of atoms of the same Bhúta class. The Sánkhya analysis of all change into transformations of Energy due to collocations of unchanging Gunas, in other words the prevailing chemico-physical (or physical) point of view, naturally recognises no distinction between collocations of "isomeric" and those of heterogeneous atoms. At bottom they are all collocations of the Gunas. Even Vijñána-bhikshu, who, as one of the latest expounders of the Sánkhya-Pátañjala, has been most affected by the Vaiseshika prejudice against "poly-Bhautic" combination (चिनातीयसंयोग), urges that the qualities of a compound substance are not necessarily the result of similar qualities in the component elements. (सजातीय-कारणगुणस्यैव कार्य्यगुणारम्भकता इति तु तेषां (तार्किकाणां) छपि न नियमः ।) Elsewhere he explains that, so far from the vital activity being independent, it is originated and maintained by the combined operation or fusion of the different sensory and motor reflexes of the living organism, and notes that the united operation of mixed (or miscellaneous) causes, where there is a fit collocation of matter, offers no difficulty to a follower of the Sánkhya-Pátañjala. (जातिसाङ्घयस्य अस्मालमदोपत्वात्, सामग्रीसमचधाने सति अने कैरपि इन्द्रियैः रकदा रकवृत्सुत्पादने वाधकं नास्ति-प्रवचनभाष, Sutra 32, Chap. II.) In the middle of the ninth century we find Váchaspati instancing some "heterogenic" or "poly-Bhautic" compositions as typical examples of evolutionary change

(ufturn) and unhesitatingly accepting the substantive character of the products. In the Kaumudi he describes the various acids and juices of fruits as modifications of the same original water in the presence of different kinds of earthy accretions. The process is peculiar. The water-particle (or atom) serves as a radicle or centre of a system, and the different kinds of the Earth-Bhúta centering round this as a nucleus become the seat of forces, which bring in the development of new energies (and new qualities, e.g. tastes) in the water. (यथा वारिदविमुक्तं उदनं एकरसमपि तत्तद्भूतविकारान् आसाद्य नारिकेल-ताली-विव्व-कपित्यादि-रसतया परिणामात् मधुराम्नलवणतिज्ञकटुकपायतया विकल्पते । इति एकैकगुणसम्भवात् प्रधानगुणं आन्नित्य अप्रधानगुणाः परिणामभेदान् प्रवन्नेयन्ति ।- कौमुदो on Káriká 16.) Váchaspati also points out that different substances may be transformed into one and the same substance (e.g. the production of salt by the cow, the horse, the buffalo, and the elephant, thrown into the salt-factory of Sambara in Rajputana, or of the flame of a candle by the combination of wick, oil, and fire). (परिणामैकालं बहुनामपि एकः परिणामः दृष्टः । तत्वया गवाञ्छ-महिषमातङ्गानां रुमानिछि मानां एकलवणत्वजातीयलक्षणः परिणामः, वक्तितैलानलानां च प्रदीप इति । — तत्त्ववेशारदी on व्यासभाष, Pada IV., Sutra 14.)

Earlier still, *i.e.* not later than the sixth century, the Vyása-Bháshya, noting that inorganic matter, vegetable substances, and animal substances do not differ from one another essentially in respect of their potential energies and ultimate constituents, points out that various bi-Bhautic chemical compounds of water and earth substances, in the shape of saps, acids, and juices, are found in plants in their different parts (जल्भूम्योः पारिणामिकं रसादिवैश्वरूपं स्यावरेषु दृष्टम्). In other words, bi-Bhautic compounds are here placed in the same category as compounds of substances of the same Bhúta class, for here the particles of both the Bhútas are regarded as forming the matter (material cause---उपादानकारण) of the smallest homogeneous portions of the compound substances.

N.B.—Váchaspati naturally interprets this to mean separate modifications of the two Bhútas.

The view of the earlier Sáńkhyas, that atoms of different Bhútas may chemically combine to form molecules of compound substances as much as atoms of different modes of the same Bhúta, comes out clearly in Utpala's brief reference to the Sáńkhya system in his commentary on Varáhamihira's Vrihat Samhitá. (एभ्य: पद्यभ्य: [तन्मात्रेभ्य:] पद्यमहाभूतानि भवन्ति । तेभ्य: शरीरिणां शरीराणि । यत: पद्यमहाभूतमयानि शरीराणि—Utpala, Chap. I., Śloka 7.)

Chemistry in the Medical Schools of Ancient India.

As a matter of fact, long before the fifth century, probably as early as the first century A.D., the prevailing schools of medicine and surgery which were based on the Sáňkhya teaching with a methodology derived from the Nyáya-Vaiseshika doctrine (*cf.* Charaka, Śárírasthána, Chap. I.; Vimánasthána, Chap. VIII.; also Susruta, Śárírasthána, Chap. I.) had founded an elaborate theory of inorganic and organic compounds, which equally admitted iso-Bhautic and hetero-Bhautic com-
binations. Like the Vedántists, Charaka held that each of the gross Bhútas (Mahábhútas) is a peculiar ultra-chemical compound of five original subtile Bhútas. In this sense, every substance is penta-Bhautic, but for purposes of chemical analysis and synthesis, *i.e.* considered with reference to the Mahábhútas, all substances in their chemical constitution belong to one or other of the following classes : mono-Bhautic, bi-Bhautic, tri-Bhautic, tetra-Bhautic, and penta-Bhautic. Compounds of different Bhútas, again, may combine to form more complex substances, and these in their turn higher compounds still, and so on in progressive transformation, as is more specially the case with organic substances and products.

Physical Characters of the Bhútas.—The prevailing physical characters of the different Bhútas and their isomeric modes are enumerated as follows :—

Earth substances : Heavy, rough, hard, inert, dense, opaque, exciting the sense of smell.

- Ap substances : Liquid, viscous, cold, soft, slippery, fluid, exciting the sense of taste.
- Tejas substances : Hot, penetrative, subtle, light, dry, clear, rarefied, and luminous.
- Váyu substances : Light, cold, dry, transparent, rarefied, impingent.
- Ákása substances : Imponderable (or light), rarefied, elastic, capable of sound (vibrations).

गुरुखरकटिनमन्दस्थिराविषदसान्द्र-स्यूल गन्धगुणवहुलानि पाधिवानि। द्रव-सिग्धशोतमन्दमृदुपिच्छिलसररसगुणवहुलानि ज्ञाप्यानि। उष्णतोक्षणसूष्रसल-घुरूखदिषदरूपगुणवहुलानि ज्ञाग्नेयानि। लघुशोतरूछखरविषद सूष्र्सस्पर्शगुण-बहुलानि वायव्यानि। मृदुलघुमूष्रसन्नष्ठणज्ञव्दगुणवहुलानि ज्ञाकाशात्मकानि। Charaka, Śarírasthána, Chap. XXVI.; compare Susruta, Sútrasthána, Chap. XLI.

Charaka points out that the primary qualities or specific physical characters of the five Bhútas are tactile qualities, *i.e.* sensible to touch, *e.g.* hardness (or roughness) for Earth, liquidity (or yielding to pressure) for Ap, impelling or moving force (pressure) for Váyu, heat for Tejas, and vacuum (non-resistance, penetrability) for Ákása.

सरद्रवचलोष्णत्वं भूजलानिलतेजसाम् , जाका ज्ञस्याप्रती घातो दृष्ठं लिङ्गं यघाक्रमम् । लक्ष्यां सर्व्वनेवेतत् स्पर्ज्ञनेन्द्रियगोचरः ।

(Charaka, Śárírasthána, Chap. I.)

(*Cf.* the elaborate enumeration of physical characters quoted in Vijñána-bhikshu, Yoga-Vártika, Sutra 42, Pada I.; also Varavara's commentary on Tattva-traya, Achit-prakarana.)

The Mahábhútas—Mechanical Mixtures.—Susruta notes that each of the gross Bhútas (Mahábhútas) is found mixed up with the other Bhútas—e.g., the Mahábhúta Ákása is the receptacle (or vehicle) of air, heatand-light, and water vapour; the Mahábhúta Váyu, of water vapour, light-and-heat, and even fine particles of earth held in suspension; the Mahábhúta Tejas, of earth particles in the shape of smoke, and also water vapour. (जयोध्यानुप्रविष्टानि संद्वीायेतानि निद्दिशेत्—Susruta, Sárírasthána, Chap. I. जये पुनः जयपा याचछते। जाकाशे पवन-दहन-तोयानि । वायी तेजोऽम्वुनी भूरपि अणुताविशेषेण । भूमिरपि धूमादिरूपेण तेज्ञसि । तेजोद्रव्ये पानीयमपि ।—Dalvana on Susruta, loc. cit.)

Mono-Bhautic Earth substances :--- Charaka and Susruta regard the following as Earth substances : gold, the five Lohas (silver, copper, lead, iron, and tin) and their "rust," arsenic, orpiment, various mineral earths and salts, sand, precious stones. (Charaka, Sútrasthána, Chap. I. ; cf. also Susruta, Sútrasthána, Chap. I. पाधिवा: सुवर्णेरजतमण्मिनुझामनःशिलामृत्कपालादय: । सुवर्णेस्य इह पाधिवत्वमेवाङ्गीक्रियते गुरुत्वकाठियास्प्रैय्यीदिहेनुभि: । सूत्रे आदिग्रहणात् लोहमलसिकतासुधाहरिताललचणगैरिकरसाञ्चनप्रभृतीनां --- Dalvana on Susruta, loc. cit.)

The salts include common salt, saltpetre, etc. Susruta mentions the alkalis, borax, natron, Yavakshára (carbonate of potash), etc. The Audbhida salt, an inflorescence of the soil, stands for *reh* (ज्ञीद्विदं पांशुलवर्ण यज्ञातं भूमित:स्वयम्).

Of these Earth substances some were known to be compounds, *e.g.* the chemical salts of the metals, collyrium, etc. Susruta describes the preparation of the metallic salts. The leaves of the metals were pasted over with the salts, and then roasted (आपस्त्रति, Chikitsásthána, Chap. X.). These metallic salts are therefore mono-Bhautic Earth compounds. Susruta also gives the preparation of mild and caustic alkalis (Sútrasthána, Chap. XI.).

Origin of precious stones.—Some hazarded the guess that the precious stones are rocks (or earths) metamorphosed by natural process in the course of ages (Varáhamihira—कोचित् भुव: स्वभाषात् वैचित्रं प्राहुरूपलानाम् । Utpala notes—रालरूपत्वं प्राप्ताः कालानतरेन ।). Ap substances, simple and compound :---

Susruta, following Charaka, enumerates various classes of Ap substances (द्रवद्रव) as follows: waters, acids, milks, curds, butters, oils (vegetable as well as animal), fats, honeys, molasses, alcoholic liquors, urines, etc.

Pure Ap (Mahábhúta) is tasteless, and the six tastes are developed when the Mahábhúta Ap enters into combination, mechanical or chemical, with other Mahábhútas. Susruta notes that various kinds of Earths are dissolved in the waters of different localities, and where the particles so dissolved are predominantly earthy, the water tastes acid or salt; where predominantly watery, the resulting taste is sweet; where the Earth-particles are mixed up with Tejas, the water tastes pungent or bitter, etc. Such is the case with mechanical mixtures. In the case of bi-Bhautic or tri-Bhautic compounds Charaka mentions that substances with Mahábhúta Ap predominating in their composition taste sweet; with Mahábhútas Earth and Tejas predominating, acid; with Mahábhútas Ap and Tejas predominating, salt; with Mahábhútas Váyu and Tejas predominating, pungent; with Mahábhútas Váyu and Ákása predominating, bitter; and with Mahábhútas Váyu and Earth predominating, astringent. (Charaka, Sútrasthána, Chap. XXVI.; cf. Susruta, Sútrasthána, Chap. XLII.)

In fact, with the exception of Susruta's waters, which are mechanical mixtures, or rather solutions, all these Ap substances are organic products, and, as such, penta-Bhautic, *i.e.* compounded of all the five Mahábhútas, and the particular "taste" which is developed depends on the relative proportion of the Mahábhútas and the predominance of one or more of them in the penta-Bhautic compound in question.

Qualities of Compounds.—The isomeric modes of each Mahábhúta have specific colours, tastes, etc., due to their structure, *i.e.* the arrangement of their atoms, and the physico-chemical characters of compounds, whether of the same or of different Mahábhútas, result from the collocation in unequal proportion of the different forces latent in the atoms of the component substances. Charaka adds that the varied forms (textures) and colours of organic substances, whether vegetable or animal, are derived in the same way. (एवमेतेषां रसानां पट्तन्मुपपन्नं न्यूनातिरेकांचशेषात् महाभूतानाम् । भूतानामिव स्यावरजङ्गमानां नानावर्णाकृतिविशेषा:)

Susruta ignores Charaka's distinction between Mahábhúta and subtile Bhúta, and views every substance as in reality penta-Bhautic, and it is only the relative predominance of a particular Bhúta or Bhútas in any substance that determines its class. (पृथियम्रेजोया य्याकाशानां समुदायात् द्रयाभिनिवृत्ति:। उत्कर्षस् आभियञ्चको भवति इदं पार्थिवं इदं आप्यं इदं तैजमं इदं वायव्यं इदं आकाशीयमिति। --Susruta, Sútrasthána, Chap. XLI.)

The Extant Charaka and Susruta—Succession of Medical Authorities.—The extant Charaka and Susruta, the sources of our present information regarding the progress of scientific knowledge in the medical schools of ancient India, are both redactions of original authorities. The extant Charaka is a redaction by Dridhabala of the genuine Charaka Samhitá, which was

itself a redaction by Charaka of the original work of Agnivesa, the disciple of Átreya Punarvasu as distinguished from Krishnátreya and Bhikshu Átreya, also well-known medical authorities. The extant Susruta is a redaction by Nágárjuna of an original work (Vriddha Susruta) by Susruta, the disciple of Dhanvantari. That Charaka preceded Susruta is almost certain. Nágárjuna was probably earlier than Dridhabala. At any rate, Dridhabala imported into Charaka much of the surgical knowledge which had till then been the traditional heritage of the Susruta school. And in the matter of the surgical treatment of certain diseases, the genuine Dridhabala is often as advanced as Susruta's redacteur himself. The latter was probably identical with the alchemist Nágárjuna (Siddha Nágárjuna), the metallurgist Nágárjuna (author of a treatise on metallurgy, Lohasástra), and the Buddhist Nágárjuna, author of the Mádhyamikasútravritti. Charaka and Susruta continued to receive additions after Dridhabala and Nágárjuna, and even after Vágbhata, but the whole of the extant Charaka is probably much earlier than the commentator Chakrapáni, and the whole of the extant Susruta earlier than Dalvana, the commentator, and Mádhava, the author of Rugvinischaya. The extracts in Vágbhata make it certain that the passages I have quoted or shall quote from the Sútrasthána and Śárírasthána of Charaka and Susruta cannot be later than the sixth century of the Christian era.

Preparation of Chemical Compounds. -The knowledge of chemical compounds and of their preparation

continued to make progress in the Charaka and Susruta schools. The great metallurgist, Patañjali, in his treatise on Metallurgy (लोह्शास्त), gave elaborate directions for many metallurgic and chemical processes, especially the preparation of the metallic salts, alloys, and amalgams, and the extraction, purification, and assaying of metals. Probably it was Patañjali who discovered the use of the mixtures called Vidas, which contained aqua regia or other mineral acids in potentia. Unfortunately Patañjali's magnum opus appears to have been lost, but extracts from it are frequently found in mediæval works on Medicine and Rasáyana which leave no doubt as to its remarkable scientific value. The metallurgist Nágárjuna advanced the knowledge of chemical compounds by his preparations of mercury. The Harshacharita, in the seventh century, relates a fable concerning this Nágárjuna, and speaks of him as a friend and contemporary of Sátaváhana. The relative priority of Patañjali and Nágárjuna is a vexed question in the history of metallurgy. That Nágárjuna's Lohasástra was earlier than the final redaction of Patañjali will appear from the following circumstances :--(1) Chakradatta in his summary of Nágárjuna mentions that the chemical process of testing pure iron must be repeated twice before it can be regarded as decisive, whereas Śivadása Sen's extract from Patañjali shows that the latter directed the process to be repeated seven times; (2) Patañjali in the Abhraka-vidhi (mica operation) adds mercury, which in this particular operation is wanting in Nágárjuna's recipe (cf. Chakradatta, and Patañjali as reported in the Yogaratnákara-samuchchaya); and (3) Nágárjuna is quoted in the earlier compilations, Patañjali in the later.

Chemical Industries .- Early in the sixth century, Varáhamihira in the Vrihat Samhitá gives several preparations of cements or powders called Vajra-lepa, "cements strong as the thunderbolt"; and there was ample use for these in the temple architecture of the Buddhist period, the remains of which bear testimony to the adamantine strength of these metal or rock cements. (प्रासादहम्म्यैवलभोलिङ्गप्रतिमासु कुडपकृपेषु सननभो दातव्यो वर्षेसहस्रायुतस्यायो । Chapter LVI., ibid.) Varáhamihira also alludes to the experts in machinery (यन्तविदः, यन्तज्ञाः) and the professional experts in the composition of dyes and cosmetics (रागगन्धयुक्तिविदः Ch. XVI., also Ch. XV.). I would also refer to the interesting chapter on Perfumery (Ch. LXXVI.) where Varáhamihira gives various recipes for artificial imitations of natural flower-scents, as of the essence of Vakula, Utpala, Champaka, Atimuktaka, etc., arranges compound scents in a sort of scale according to the proportions of certain ground essences used in their preparation, and determines by the mathematical calculus of combination (लोष्टकप्रसार) the number of variations of the different notes in this scale. To these classes of professional experts were due three of the great Indian discoveries in the chemical arts and manufactures which enabled India to command for more than a thousand years the markets of the East as well as the West and secured to her an easy and universally recognised pre-eminence among the nations of the world in manufactures and exports: (1) the preparation of fast dyes for textile fabrics by the treatment of natural dyes like Manjishthá with alum (तुवरी मंनिष्ठा रागबन्धिनो) and other chemicals (e.g. sulphate of iron) also cow-dung (cf. the "cow-dung substitute," Roscoe); (2) the extraction of the principle of indigotin from the indigo plant by a process which, however crude, is essentially an anticipation of modern chemical methods; and (3) the tempering of steel in a manner worthy of advanced metallurgy, a process to which the mediæval world owed its Damascus swords. It was this applied chemistry much more than handicraft skill which gave India her premier position in the Middle Ages and earlier (indeed from Pliny to Tavernier) in exports and manufactures; for in handicraft skill, as in design and workmanship, great as were her merits, India came to be surpassed by her disciples China and Japan.

The Vásavadattá and the Dasakumára Charita in the sixth century allude to the preparation of a mass of fixed or coagulated mercury (पारद्षिराडद्दव काऌधातुवादिनः— वासवदत्ता); a chemical powder, the inhalation of which would bring on deep sleep or stupor (योगच्र्रे, – दशकुमारचरित); a chemically prepared stick or wick for producing light without fire (योगवत्तिका—दशकुमारचरित); and a powder which, like anæsthetic drugs or curare, paralyses sensory and motor organs¹ (स्तम्मनच्र्रेगिव इन्द्रियाणाम्—वासवदत्ता— योन्द्रियकर्म्नोन्द्र्याणं—Darpana). Vrinda (circa 950 A.D.) notices the preparation of sulphide of mercury (रमामृतच्र्णे),

 \mathbf{F}

¹ This last interpretation is doubtful; but in any case the familiar use of a technical term of alchemistry like Stambhanachurnam (fixation-powder) shows that already in the sixth century this branch of knowledge was widely cultivated.

composed of one part of sulphur and half its weight of mercury; and also of cuprous sulphide (पर्पेत्ताम). Chakrapáni (circa 1050 A.D.) mentions the preparation of the black sulphide of mercury "by taking equal parts of mercury and sulphur."

The Rasárnava (circa 1200 A.D.) notices the colours of metallic flames, probably after Patañjali—e.g. copper gives blue flame; tin, pigeon-coloured; lead, pale; iron, tawny; blue vitriol, red. It may be noted that the Rasárnava regards mercury as a penta-Bhautic substance.

The Rasaratna-samuchchaya divides the mineral kingdom (Earth substances, simple and compound) into the following classes: (1) The eight Rasas: mica, pyrites, bitumen, blue vitriol, calamine, etc. (2) The eight Uparasas (useful in operations of mercury): sulphur, red ochre, green vitriol, alum, orpiment, realgar, collyrium, and medicinal earth, to which may be added the eight Sádhára*n*a Rasas, sal-ammoniac, cowrie, cinnabar, rock vermilion, etc. (3) The gems diamond, emerald, sapphire, cat's-eye, sun-stone, moon-stone, pearl, etc. (4) The metals gold, silver, iron, copper, lead, tin, and the alloys brass and bell-metal. Other Earth substances are six salts, three alkalis, mineral earths, and several poisons.

Chemical Compositions and Decompositions—Metallurgic Processes.—In these writings ¹ we frequently

¹ From Patañjali's and Nágárjuna's Lohasástra (*circa* 300-500 A.D. ?) downwards—(practically everything material in the enumeration that follows was discovered by the end of the sixth century A.D.).

come across instances of chemical composition and decomposition by processes, more or less crude, of calcination, distillation, sublimation, steaming, fixation, etc. (भस्मीकरण, ज्रथःपातन, जईपातन, खेदन, स्तम्भन, etc.), e.g. the preparation of perchloride of mercury by taking common salt and mercury (रसकर्पूर—पारदस्य श्वेतभस्मीकरणे चन्द्रिकाकारः); of sulphide of mercury (हिझुल) by taking sulphur and mercury; of Sindúra from lead (तिन्द्र नागसम्भवम्-Amarakosha, sixth century A.D.); of the medicinal compounds Svarnasindúra and Rasasindúra with mercury, sulphur, and gold, where gold may have been fancied to influence the resulting compound in some mysterious way, either as a "dynamic" or as a catalytic; also the extraction by chemical decomposition of mercury from sulphide of mercury (हिङ्गल); of copper from sulphate of copper (तृत्य, सस्यक) by heating this substance with one-fourth of its weight of borax (Rasaratna-samuchchaya,-cf. Bhávaprakása, तृत्यं तु तासोपधानु जिच्चित् तासेण तझवति); of zinc (यङ्गाभ, जसद) from calamine (रसक); of copper from pyrites (माध्यिक, विमल), etc. (though the golden pyrites were supposed to be a semi-metal of gold, containing some gold along with the essence of copper); the purification of mercury by repeated distillation from lead and tin with which it was wont to be adulterated in the market. The various metallurgic processes described are-extraction, purification, killing (formation of oxides, chlorides, and oxy-chlorides for the most part), calcination, incineration, powdering, solution, distillation, precipitation, rinsing (or washing), drying, steaming, melting, casting, filing, etc., to all which each of the known minerals was successfully subjected by the use of apparatus and reagents and the application of heat in different measures (सरपाक, मध्यमपाक, and मृदुपाक)--methods which, if often crude, especially from the absence of independent and isolated mineral acids, were yet in several instances remarkably simple and effective, and which, after all, by the use of various Vidas potentially containing mineral acids (aqua regia, sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid, etc.), virtually accomplished the practical ends kept in view. To these were added several special processes for mercury (e.g. fixation), bringing up the number of mercurial operations to nineteen.

It may be noted that the mixtures called Vidas, which potentially contained dilute mineral acids, were regularly employed not only in killing the metals (forming their oxides and chlorides), but—what is of fundamental importance—for purposes of chemical decomposition of metallic salts, etc., and the extraction and purification of metals.

Organic Compounds.—Organic compounds are either vegetable or animal substances (स्यावर नङ्गम भूत). The molasses, the fermented liquors, the saps and juices of plants, fruit acids, vegetable ashes and alkalis, together with the tissues of plants, are vegetable compounds (ज्योगेंद्र, स्यावर भूत). Honey, milk, curd, butter, fat, bile, urine, and other excreta, together with the organs and tissues of animals, are animal substances. Charaka notices vegetable as well as animal oils. The viscous (oily) substances are classed under four heads—butters, oils, fats, and marrows (मर्पिज्ञेलं यमा मज्जा देहो दृष्टचतुर्विधः). Salt may be either mineral or vegetable salt. Susruta divides poisons into two classes—vegetable and animal, but several poisons expressly termed mineral poisons (भातुन्मि) are included in the first class.

All organic substances, whether animal or vegetable, are penta-Bhautic, being compounded of greater or less proportions of the five Mahábhútas.

Taking the human body, Charaka finds that the foctus is composed of sixteen organic substances, viz. four composing the sperm-cell which comes from the male; four composing the germ-cell which comes from the female; four added by the transformation of the nutritive material; and finally, four kinds of subtile matter, which together form the vehicle of the conscious principle. As to the four organic substances which compose the sperm-cell, or the other four which compose the germ-cell, it is not clear whether in Charaka's view they are also in their turn compounded of less complex organic substances, or whether their constituent elements are inorganic penta-Bhautic compounds.

The tissues that appear in the course of development of the foctus are further transformations (higher compounds) of these foctal substances. All the component substances of the body are penta-Bhautic compounds, though sometimes they are assigned to the particular Bhútas which predominate in their composition, e.g. bile to Tejas, lymph, chyle, blood, fat, urine, sweat, and other secretions to Ap, and skin, flesh, bones, nails, etc., to Earth. (शुन्नं चाय्वग्निभूम्यव्मुण्यपाद्वत् ।—गर्भस्य चलारि चतुर्विधानि भूतानि मातापितृसम्भचानि चाहारजानि चाल्मकृतानि चैच et seq.—Charaka, Śárírasthána, Chap. II., vide also Charaka, Śárírasthána, Chap. VII., cf. Gaingádhara's Jalpakalpataru. पित्तं तृतीयं भूतं शरीरारम्भकतेजःप्रधानपचभूतविका-रात्मकं तेजःखरूपं अग्निसंझम् । कफछतुर्थं भूतमापः शरीरारम्भकसोनप्रधान-पचभूतविकारविशेषः । शरीरं तु पचमहाभूतविकारसनुदायात्मकम् । *Cf.* Charaka, Śarírasthána, Chap. IV., मातृजादयोऽपि अस्य महाभूतविकाराः ।)

Formation of Molecular Qualities in Chemical Compounds.—The Charaka school, which we have seen was an offshoot of the Sánkhya (cf. Charaka, Vimánasthána, Chap. VIII., यषा वादित्य: प्रकाशक: तथा सांख्यवचनं प्रकाशकर्मातंत), supplemented the above account of inorganic and organic compounds with a characteristically Sánkhya explanation of the formation of molecular qualities by chemical combination. In Charaka's view, the colours, tastes, etc., of the molecules of chemical compounds result from the collocation in unequal proportion and unstable equilibrium of the different forces latent in the atoms (Paramánus) themselves. (एवमतेषां रसानां षट्त्वमुपपन्नं न्यूनातिरेकाविशेषात, महाभूतानां। भूतानामिव स्थावरजङ्गमानां नानावर्णाकृतिर्विशेषा: I—Charaka.)

Chemistry of Colours.—As an interesting example of the way in which a follower of Charaka would account for the colours of chemical compounds, I may note the explanation given by the late Gangádhara Kavirája Kaviratna in the Jalpakalpataru, a commentary on the Charaka-Samhitá, published at Calcutta in 1869, premising that the Kavirája's view is pure and genuine Charaka doctrine. Gangádhara begins with a simple statement. The qualities of the atom, he writes, tend to produce similar qualities in the molecule. A molecular quality is therefore the result of the conjunction or opposition, as the case may be, of the atomic tendencies. When, for example, the five Bhútas combine to produce an organic compound (the human body), Tejas, Ap, and Earth tend to produce red, white, and black respectively, but in the body (compound substance) the yellow colour may happen to be produced as the result of these tendencies in that particular. proportion and collocation. The point to note here is that the molecule forms a fresh collocation, redistributes the Mass and Energy, and sets up new forces in the system, which coming into play modify the potencies (or tendencies) in the component atoms, and thus determine the resultant. This is elaborated into a curious but complete theory of the colours of chemical compounds.

The colours (and other qualities) of a simple substance (an isomeric mode of any Bhúta) are the result of the potencies lodged in that particular collocation of Mass, Energy, and Essence. Now when two such substances unite, their colours, etc., tend to be produced, but the combination brings on a fresh distribution of Energy, Mass, and Essence, and the forces thus set free may powerfully modify or even extinguish the separate tendencies or potencies of the component simple substances. For example, when we prepare a collyrium by mixing equal parts of sulphur and mercury (the black sulphide of mercury) we find the resulting compound black. To explain this it has to be remembered that each of the substances (sulphur and mercury) contains Sattva (Essence), Rajas (Energy), and Tamas (Mass) in different proportions, and that predominant Tamas (Inertia, Mass) always produces black, predominant Sattva (Essence) white, and predominant Rajas (Energy) red. Now in the black sulphide of mercury the white of the mercury tends to produce white, and the yellow of the sulphur yellow, and if these tendencies were not obstructed the result would be a mixed colour. But, in the particular collocation in question, the Tamas of the mercury becomes intensive (तोक्ष्ण), and the black of the now intensive Tamas extinguishes the white in the uncompounded mercury, which was due to prevailing Sattva, as well as the yellow of the uncompounded sulphur, which was due to the combined operation of white-producing Sattva and red-producing Rajas. Again, when, with proper apparatus and by the application of heat, we combine mercury and sulphur to produce the red sulphide of mercury, the resulting colour is explained by the fact that in this new collocation the Rajas (Energy)probably of the mercury, though Gangadhara does not specify-becomes intensive (तीहण), and extinguishing both the white-producing Sattva of the mercury and the yellow-producing Sattva-Rajas of the sulphur, imparts a red colour to the compound. In these cases, as also in the formation of red by mixing powdered turmeric with lime, *i.e.* whenever a new colour is produced in the compound, it is to be explained by the dominance of Tamas, Rajas, or Sattva, or their combinations, and the extinction of the uncompounded tendencies (or potencies) by the forces set free in the new collocation. But there are other cases where the colour of the compound is a mixed colour resulting from the colours of the combining substances, e.g., when

sulphide of mercury and calcined tin are mixed, the resulting colour is evidently a mixed one (Pátala, pink), which is easily explained by the colours of the component elements (the red of the sulphide of mercury and the white of the calcined tin); in the same way, in a mechanical aggregate, as in a piece of cloth, the colour is white where the threads are white.

तत्काय्वीरम्भे पृथिव्यादिद्रव्यावस्थागुणा गन्धादयः खऌु खखजातीयं गन्धविशेषादिकं जारभनाणाः यदारभ्यनाणं खखविजातीयं कार्य्यं खारम्भकं कारणज्ज जहङ्कारादिस्यं गुणांशं जभयथा कुत्रचित् हत्वा कुत्रचित् न हत्वा खजातीयं गुग्धविशेषं जारभन्ते । यथा पारदगन्धकयोः संयोगे कज्जली-भूतद्रव्ये पारदस्थः शुक्तः शुक्लविशेषं जारभमार्णः गन्धकस्यपीतः पीतमारभ-माणः पारदस्यतीक्ष्णेन तैक्ष्ण्यमारभमाणेन विरोधिना वध्यते । सर्व्वत्रैव शौकल्पं सच्चगुणगोनिकं तमोगुणगोनिकेन तैक्ष्त्येन विरोधिना वध्यते । गन्धकस्य-पीतस्यौ च लोहितज्ञो रजःसच्चयोनिको वध्येते। तयोः ज्ञुझलोहितवथे तैक्ष्प्यसमानयोनिः कृष्णः अभिव्यज्यते । इति पारदगन्धकोभयात्मके कार्व्यं श्वेतपीतमेऌनेन सम्भाव्यं कार्य्यं यट्वर्णं तत् तीक्षणगुणेन वध्यते, तत्रकारणं शुक्कलोहितं हत्वा । एवं हिङ्गुले पारदगन्धकाभ्यामारभ्यमाखे यन्तविज्ञेभे वहिना पच्यमाने रजीवहुलयोनिकेन तीक्ष्णेन सह्वतमीयोनिकी शुझकृष्णी वध्येते। पारदस्यशुक्तगन्धकस्यपीतमेऌनेन सम्भाव्यं कार्य्यं वर्षां च वथ्यते । रजोवोनिक-लौहित्यं च श्रभिव्यज्यते इति । एवं हरिद्राचूर्णसंयोगेऽपि लौहित्यं खभिव्यज्यते चूर्णस्यतैक्ष्णेन हरिद्रास्यशुङ्गकृष्णवधे । अय अवधे यथा-हिङ्गुलवङ्गभस्मसंयोगे श्वीतलोहितवर्णमेव उभयात्मकं भवति पाटलवर्णं, न च तत्र हिङ्गुलस्य लौहित्यं यङ्गभस्मस्यं शौकल्पंच केनापि वध्यते । एवं शुक्कसूत्रनिस्मितपटस्य शौकल्पमेव । (Jalpakalpataru, Sútrasthána of Charaka, pp. 198-200; edition published by Bhubanachandra Basák, Calcutta, Samvádaratnákara Press, Samvat 1925.) This is quite in a line with Charaka's explanation of the tastes of chemical compounds which has been already noticed.

Parináma-váda versus Árambha-váda.-Charaka's view of the formation of a new quality or a new substance is based on the Sánkhya teaching as to the conservation and transformation of Energy, and brings chemical synthesis in a line with evolutionary change (परिखाम). On this view, a new substance may arise by spontaneous or isomeric change, *i.e.* by the interplay of Energies within the system of any given substance, in the absence of any action from without. New qualities like new substances are only readjustments of the old, and continual changes are going on by spontaneous disintegration and recombination. Opposed to this evolutional view of chemical synthesis is the Nyáya-Vaiseshika doctrine of Árambha-váda, according to which no change of substance or quality, no effect, in short, can take place except by the action of one component element (substance or quality) on another. A binary molecule, for example, cannot possess any "specific quality" (विशेषगुण) of a kind not represented in each of the two component atoms. In the cosmic process no atom can exist free and uncombined with another atom, and every "specific quality" in a substance can be ultimately analysed into the union of two "specific qualities" of the same class in two ultimate particles which cannot be further divided. A single colour, smell, or taste in a single particle, until it can link itself on to another specific quality of its own class in a second particle, cannot characterise any substance formed by the union of these particles as material causes. Hence an Earth-atom cannot unite with an Ap-atom to form a new substance of which

74

both the particles must be equally regarded as material causes. At any rate, such a compound, if effected, would be smell-less, as of the two constituent atoms only one, viz. the Earth-atom, possesses smell. A compound of Earth and Váyu would be smell-less, colourless, and tasteless, and so on. The Nyáya-Vaiseshika does not deny that there may be compounds of different Bhútas, nor does it deny the causal operation of specific qualities as efficient or energising (dynamic) causes (जपटमाक, fनfमचलारण), but it refuses to place these compounds on the same footing as compounds of isomeric modes of the same Bhúta; and it accepts the "material" causality in such cases of only one of the Bhútas, regarding the others as co-efficients (fनfमचलारण).

The earlier Sánkhyas including the medical schools of ancient India brushed all this aside as a distinction without a difference. The Vedántists, as we shall presently see, flouted this doctrine of Árambha-váda. The Jainas, in opposing this Vaiseshika view of atomic combination, hit upon a solution of the problem of chemical affinity. Others, again, found out a via media. They held, as we learn from the reports of Udyotakara in the Nyáya-vártika, and of Váchaspati Misra in the Tátparyyatíká, that a molecule of the structure EA (one atom of Earth and one of Ap) would exhibit some variety of colour and taste resulting from the joint action of the atoms and of their several colours and tastes. But as in the combination EA only the Earth-atom possesses smell, and the Ap-atom is smell-less, and as moreover no quality in a compound substance can result except from the joint action of the

similar (potential) qualities of at least two component elements, it follows that a molecule of the structure EA would not manifest the energy of smell potentially contained in the Earth-atom. Hence, admitting the combination EA for a smell-less compound, the upholders of this view would suppose a molecule of the type E_2A (*i.e.* two atoms of Earth and one of Ap) to explain any bi-Bhautic compound of Earth and Ap (like the plant saps and fruit juices) which exhibits smell in addition to the peculiarities of colour and taste. *Cf.* Váchaspati's comment on Udyotakara's refutation of this view :—

अयमभिसन्धिः । पृथिव्याप्यपरमाणू तावन्नैकं द्वाणुकमारव्यमुईतः तयोः रूपरसस्पर्शवत्वेन तदारम्धसम्भवे अपि गन्धवत्त्वाभावप्रसङ्घात् । एवं पार्थिवाणु-समवेतस्य गन्धस्य एकत्वेन अनारम्भकत्वात् नापि पार्थिवपरमाणुद्वयं एकश्व पाथसीयपरसाणुः इति अणूनामारम्भकत्वे गन्धवत्त्वोपपत्तिः इति साम्प्रते । परमाणूनां बहूनां अनारम्भकत्वात् । तथाहि त्रयः परमाणवः न कार्य्यमारभने ।

Measures of Time and Space—Size of Atoms.—The Siddhánta-Śiromani gives the following measures of Time :—

30 Kshanas (घण) = 1 day, 30 Káshthás = 1 Kalá,

2 Ghatikás = 1 Kshana, 18 Nimeshas = 1 Káshthá, 30 Kalás = 1 Ghatiká, 30 Tatparas = 1 Nimesha, and 100 Trutis = 1 Tatpara.

This makes a Tru*t*i of time equal to $\frac{1}{33750}$ of a second, which is nearly the measure of the Paramánu of time as given in the Vishnu Purána (*vide* Bháskara's Siddhánta-Śiromani—काऌमानाध्याय).

The above measures were in use among the astronomers, but the physicists computed according to the following table given both in Udayana's Kiranávali and Śrídhara's Nyáya-Kandalí :—

30 Muhúrtas = 1 day (24 hours),

30 Kalás = 1 Muhúrta,2 Lavas = 1 Nimesha,30 Káshthás = 1 Kalá,2 Kshanas = 1 Lava.

18 Nimeshas = 1 Káshthá,

छणडयं लवः प्रोक्तो निमेषस्तु लवडयम्, छष्टादशनिमेषास्तु काष्ठा चिंशत्तु ताः कला। चिंशत्कला मुह्त्तैः स्यात् चिंशद्रात्र्यहणी च ते। (Udayana, Kiranávali.)

This makes 1 Kshana of the Nyáya-Vaiseshika equal to $\frac{2}{45}$ of a second. The Nyáya assumes that the unit of physical change (or the time occupied by any single antecedent step in a causal series before the succeeding step is ushered in) is equal to a Kshana (or $\frac{2}{45}$ of a second). The astronomers were familiar with far smaller The astronomical Truti of time measures of time. measures about the thirty-four-thousandth part of a second. This is of special value in determining the exact character of Bháskara's claim to be regarded as the precursor of Newton in the discovery of the principle of the Differential Calculus, as well as in its application to astronomical problems and computations. This claim, as I proceed to show, is absolutely established; it is indeed far stronger than Archimedes' to the conception of a rudimentary process of Integration. Bháskara, in computing the "instantaneous motion" (तात्कालिकी गति) of a planet, compares its successive positions, and regards its motion as constant during the interval (which of course cannot be greater than a Truti of time, though

it may be indefinitely less). This Tátkálika motion is no other than the differential of the planet's longitude, and Bápudeva Śástrí claims that both the conception of the instantaneous motion and the method of determining it plainly show that Bháskara was acquainted with the principle of the Differential Calculus. On the data before him, Mr. Spottiswoode remarks that Bápudeva Śástrí "overstates the case." Bháskara "makes no allusion to one of the most essential features of the Differential Calculus, viz. the infinitesimal magnitude of the intervals of time and space therein employed. Nor indeed is anything specifically said about the fact that the method is an approximate one." "With all these reservations," Mr. Spottiswoode continues, "it must be admitted that the formula he establishes and the method of establishing it bear a strong analogy to the corresponding process in modern mathematical astronomy" (viz., the determination of the differential of the planet's longitude-by no means the first step in transcendental analysis or in its application to astronomy). And Mr. Spottiswoode concludes by stating that mathematicians in Europe will be surprised to learn of the existence of such a process in the age of Bháskara (circa 1150 A.D.-born 1114 A.D.). Mr. Spottiswoode's second objection, that Bháskara does not specifically state that the method of the Calculus is only approximative, cannot be taken seriously. The conception of limit and the computation of errors came late in the history of the Calculi of Fluxions and Infinitesimals. For the rest, Bháskara introduces his computation expressly as a "correction" of Brahmagupta's rough simplification. The first objection (viz. that Bháskara makes no allusion to the infinitesimal magnitude of the intervals of space and time employed) would be more to the point if it were well founded.¹ But it is not, and Mr. Spottiswoode's error was due to the insufficiency of the data supplied to him. As a matter of fact, even Bháskara's unit, the Truti of time (or Paramánu), is exceedingly small, as the very name implies, being about one thirty-four-thousandth of a second of time. And in the passage in which Bháskara describes the process, he distinguishes between Sthúlagati and Súkshma-gati (velocity roughly measured, and measured accurately, *i.e.* by reference to indefinitely small quantities; for Súkshma, as we have seen, has always a reference to the Anu, the indefinitely small); indeed, he expressly mentions that the Sthúla-gati takes only Sthúla-kála (finite time) into consideration, and that the determination of the Tátkálikí-gati (Súkshma-gati) must have reference to the moment (प्रांतचारन्), which is an indefinitely small quantity of time, being, of course, smaller than his unit, the Truti. (Cf. इयं किल स्पूला गति: अध सूक्ता तात्कालिकी कथ्यते.....यदा जासनस्तिथ्यनस्तदा तात्कालिका गत्या तिषिसाधनं कईं युज्यते। तथा समीपचालनच । यदा तु दूरतरस्तिथ्यनः दूरचालनं वा चन्द्रस्य तदा आद्यया स्यूलया कहुं युज्यते स्यूलकालत्वात् । यतन्त्रन्द्रगतिः महत्त्वात् प्रतिख्र यं समा न भवति खतस्तदधं खयं विशेषोडभिहितः ;---nothing can be clearer

¹ It is an error to suppose that infinitesimals are indispensable to the Calculus, but I am here speaking of the earlier Calculi, and not of the modern developments which have made the Calculus independent of infinitesimals. My claim on behalf of Bháskara is limited to the historically earlier imperfect form of the Calculus.

than this conception of "momentary" motion.—Bháskara, Siddhánta-Śiromani, Ganitádhyáya, Gatisphutiprakarana; cf. also प्रतिख्यां सा न समा महस्रतः, *ibid.*; cf. also Goládhyáya, Tátkálikí-karana-vásaná-prakarana, where Bháskara points out that the mode of computing adopted by the Ácháryya (Brahmagupta) is a rough simplification. The idea of resolved components of motion was familiar to the astronomers (cf. एवं सत्याचार्य्या ऌायवार्थ इष्टयटोसम्बग्विन्यो गतिवऌा छर्ने प्राध्वमा: 1 *ibid.*). I may add *en passant* that Bháskara's formula for the computation of a table of sines also implies his use of the principle of the Differential Calculus.

Measures of Weight and Capacity.—The Amarakosha mentions measures of three kinds—weight, length, and capacity (मानं तुल्लाइङ्ग्लिप्रस्थि:).

The Krishnala (Guñjá, Raktiká, the black-and-red berry of the shrub Abrus Precatorius) was employed as a natural measure of weight. Eighty Krishnala berries on the average weigh 105 grains Troy, and this must be taken as the basis of our computation, though in current practice eighty Krishnalas are taken to be equivalent to 210 grains. One Krishnala was supposed to weigh as much as three medium-sized barley seeds (मध्ययत), one of the latter as much as six white mustard seeds (गोरमधेष), one white mustard as much as three Ráji mustard seeds (रात्तमधेष), one of these seeds as much as three Likshas, and one Liksha as much as eight Rajas or Trasarenus.

We now come to conventional measures. One gold Máshá was the weight of five K*rishn*alas of gold, one Suvarna or Tolá weighed as much as sixteen Máshás, and one Pala as much as four Suvarnas or Tolás. A Pala of gold therefore weighs 320 Krishnalas (Manu, Chap. VIII.; Vishnu, Chap. IV.; and Yájñavalkya, Chap. I.).

A Máshá of gold therefore would weigh $6\frac{9}{16}$ grains; a Tolá, 105 grains (in current practice it weighs nearly double, as I have stated); and a Pala, 420 grains Troy.

The measures for silver were the following:-1 silver Máshá = 2 Krishnalas, 1 Dharana = 16 silver Máshás, and 1 Pala = 10 Dharanas. A Pala of silver would therefore weigh 320 Krishnalas. In other words, the Pala was a fixed measure of weight, and was equal to about 420 grains Troy, or double this if we take the Krishnala of current practice.

A Pala, which equals 320 Krishnalas, was subdivided by 4, 16, and 5 successively for gold, and by 10, 16, and 2 successively for silver. A Suvarna (or Tolá) of gold corresponds roughly to a Dharana of silver, and a gold Máshá to a silver Máshá, but the sizes (or volumes) are not the same, and we must not therefore conclude that gold was supposed to be heavier than silver in the proportion of 5 to 2.

We find that 1296 Trasarenus equal one Krishnala. A Trasarenu, as a measure of weight, therefore, is the equivalent of $\frac{7}{6912}$ of a grain Troy, or double this according to current measures.

But the Trasarenu of physics is a different conception. It stands for the minimum visibile, i.e., as the physicists define it, that which is just discernible as a glancing particle in the slanting beams of the morning (or afternoon) sun coming into a dark room through a chink or orifice of a window. This is a measure of size (or rather *stimulus limen*).

Measures of Capacity.—Here the standard was furnished by the Kudava (जुड़न), a vessel described as 3 Angulis long, 4 Angulis broad, and $1\frac{1}{2}$ Anguli deep, with a cubical capacity of $13\frac{1}{2}$ cubical Angulis. 4 Kudavas = 1 Prastha, 4 Prasthas = 1 Ádhaka, 4 Ádhakas=1 Drona, and 4 Dronas=1 Khárí or Bhára.

24 Angulis make 1 Hasta, cubit, which may be taken to be 18 or 19 inches. A Kudava was divided into 4 Palas, and there can be no doubt that originally water of the weight of 4 Palas was found to be actually contained in a vessel of the cubical capacity of a Kudava. If we take the ancient cubit to have been 19 inches, the Kudava would contain nearly 4 Palas of distilled water at 30° Centigrade. On a cubit of 18 inches, the Kudava would contain about $3\frac{3}{7}$ Palas.

The Kudava in current medical practice is supposed to represent a cubical vessel, each side being 4 Angulis. This would give a capacity of 27 cubic inches, if we take the modern cubit of 18 inches. The Kavirájas take a Kudava to contain 8 Palas of water, and as 1 Pala = 320 Krishnalas, and 80 Krishnalas are now taken to be equal to 210 grains Troy, a vessel of a capacity of 27 cubic inches is accordingly supposed to contain about 6,720 grains Troy—which is not very wide of the mark, being about 1.3 per cent. short for distilled water at 86° Fahrenheit or 30° Centigrade.

Size of the Minimum Visibile; Size of an Atom.-The

supposed thickness of the just-discernible mote in the sunbeam (called a Paramánu in Technology, Silpasástra, and a Trasarenu in Natural Philosophy) follows directly from Varáhamihira's table :--- 8 Paramánus make 1 Rajas (or Ratharenu,-cf. the Manasara), 8 Rajas make 1 Válágra (filament of hair), 8 Válágras make 1 Likshá, 8 Likshás make 1 Yúká, 8 Yúkás make 1 Yava, 8 Yavas (the Manasara has 4) make 1 Anguli (superior), 24 Angulis make 1 Hasta (cubit, lesser cubit, 18 inches). The thickness of the minimum visibile (the finest perceptible mote in the slanting sunbeam) is therefore taken to be 3.2^{-20} , or $\frac{1}{349525}$ of an inch. The volume of a spherical Trasarenu (or Paramánu of the Silpasástra) would therefore be $\frac{4}{3}$. π . $3^3.2^{-63}$ of a cubic inch. It may be here noted that such a Trasarenu is supposed in the medical schools to contain 30 chemical atoms (Paramánus of Natural Philosophy) according to one estimate, or 60 according to another. The size of an atom must then have been conceived to be less than $\pi.3.5^{-1}.2^{-62}$ of a cubic inch.¹ (परमाणुरजोवालाग्रलिख्यूकं यवोऽङ्गुलं चेति अष्टगुणानि ययोत्तरम् अङ्गलमेकं भवति संख्या.-Varáhamihira, Vrihatsamhitá, Chap. LVII., Śloka 2. जालानारगते भानी यदणुतरं दर्शनं रजो याति तडिद्यात् परमाखुं प्रथमं तद्व प्रमाणानाम् ।—ibid., Sloka 1.—Cf. Utpala, तत्परमाखुं नाम प्रमाखं जानीयात् । प्रमाखानां सर्व्वेवां तत् प्रथममाद्यं प्रनाखं परमाणुसंज्ञम् । Cf. also चसरेणुस्तु विज्ञेयः चिंशता परमाणुभिः)

The magnitude of a Paramánu is called Párimándalya (पारिमाग्राज्य) in the Nyáya-Vaiseshika, the name suggest-

G 2

¹ Curiously enough, this is fairly comparable (in order of magnitude) with the three latest determinations of the size of the hydrogen atom !

ing that the Paramánus were conceived to be spherical in shape. The Nyáya-Vaiseshika calls a Paramánu a mere point with infinitesimal dimensions, *i.e.* less than any assignable fraction of any finite quantum; but in the Sáňkhya-Pátañjala, a Paramánu, though indefinitely small, had still assignable dimensions, being divisible into Tanmátras, which were themselves integrations of Bhútádi. The diameter of a spherical Paramánu must have been conceived to be less than 3.2^{-20} of an inch (*i.e.* less than the conventional Paramánu with which linear measures begin), and the volume of a Paramánu would, therefore, in accordance with Bháskara's formula, be smaller than $\frac{3}{4}.\pi.3^3.2^{-63}$ or $\pi.3^2.2^{-61}$ of a cubic inch, where $\pi = \frac{3927}{1250}$. The Tanmátras were conceived as smaller still.

That these were conventional measures arbitrarily assumed goes without question, for of course the Hindus had no physical data for a mathematical calculation of these minute quantities. A Válágra (hair-tip, or finest filament of hair), for example, is taken to be 3.2^{-14} of an inch thick, *i.e.* less than onefive-thousandth fraction of an inch in thickness; and a fibril of the networks of Dhamaní or Nádí (nerve) that supply the pores of the skin (papillæ? मुखानि रोमकृप-प्रतिवद्वानि, Susruta) was supposed to be about a thousandth part of the finest hair in thickness, or $\frac{1}{125}$ of the minimum visibile, and, therefore, about 3.10⁻³ 2⁻¹⁴ of an inch thick (cf. सूख्सनाडीप्रचारतः रोम्नः सहस्रभागेनतुल्यासु प्रचरति, Panchadasí); but it is evident that these measures were arbitrarily fixed upon, instead of being arrived at by calculation or actual measurement. Indeed, Charaka My account of the chemistry of the Sáňkhya-Pátañjala, and of the affiliated Yoga and medical schools, has anticipated in several points the views of the Vedánta and the Nyáya-Vaiseshika. The chemical facts, processes, and apparatus are indeed common to all the schools. In the following account of the chemistry of the schools other than the Sáňkhya-Pátañjala, I will confine myself to the theory of the subject, and even of this I will attempt only the briefest outline.

THE VEDÁNTIC VIEW.

The Vedántists believe Máyá to be the "material cause" (जपादानकारण) of the world. The power of Máyá is the power to realise the unreal—to impart practical Reality or mediate existence to that which does not and cannot possess absolute Reality or selfexistence. Máyá is at once real and unreal, while the Brahma (Self) is absolute Reality, absolute Intelligence, and absolute Bliss. The world evolves out of Máyá (मायापरियाम), so that Máyá in the Vedánta replaces the Prakriti of the Sáňkhya. But Máyá, and by implication the world, originate out of Brahma, not by a process of evolution (परियाम), but of Vivarta (selfalienation). The self-alienation of the Absolute, acting through Máyá, produces in the beginning Ákása one, infinite, ubiquitous, imponderable, inert, and all-

pervasive. The world thus begun goes on evolving, in increasing complexity. The other Súkshma Bhútas, classes of subtile matter, evolve from Ákása in an ascending linear order-Ákása giving off Váyu, Váyu giving off Tejas, Tejas giving off Ap, and Ap giving off Earth. Ákása (one, infinite, all-pervasive) has the capacity of sound. Váyu (subtile gaseous matter) emanates from the universal Ákása, and is instinct with the potential of mechanical energy (impact, pressure) (ईरण, प्रणामित्व, वहन, चूहन, - वायोश्व सईचेष्टाहेतुन्वेन सईाविनाभूतत्वात् ---Vidvanmanorañjiní). Tejas (subtile radiant matter) emanates from Váyu, and contains in potentia the energy of light and heat. Ap (subtile viscous matter) is the transformation of Tejas, and is instinct with the energy that stimulates the nerve of taste, and lastly, Earth (subtile hard matter), which is the transformation of Ap, possesses the latent energy of smell.

But the subtile rudiments of matter must be compounded in various ways to give rise to the gross constituent matter of the world. These forms of gross matter are called Mahábhútas. There are five kinds of Mahábhúta (gross matter) corresponding to the five Súkshma Bhútas (subtile matter), and the process by which a Mahábhúta is produced from the Súkshma Bhútas is called Pañchíkarana (quintuplication). All the five Súkshma Bhútas are present as ingredients, though in different proportions, in each Mahábhúta.

The Mahábhúta Earth, gross Earth-matter, is composed of four parts of subtile Earth-matter and one part each of the other forms of subtile matter. The Mahábhúta Váyu is composed of four parts of subtile gaseous matter and one part each of the other forms of subtile matter. And similarly with other Mahábhútas.

Hence if ak, v, t, ap, e, represent the five forms of subtile matter (Ákása, Váyu, Tejas, Ap, and Earth), and AK, V, T, AP, E, stand for the corresponding Mahábhútas, we may represent the constitution of the Mahábhútas as follows :---

 $AK = ak_4 (v_1. t_1. ap_1. e_1), ak_4 being the radicle.$ $V = v_4 (ak_1. t_1. ap_1. e_1), v_4 being the radicle.$ $T = t_4 (ak_1. v_1. ap_1. e_1), t_4 being the radicle.$ $AP = ap_4 (ak_1. v_1. t_1. e_1), ap_4 being the radicle.$ $E = e_4 (ak_1. v_1. t_1. ap_1), e_4 being the radicle.$

In forms of gross or compounded matter the potential energies (or qualities) become actualised. The Mahábhúta Ákása manifests sound; Váyu, sound and mechanical energy; Tejas, sound, mechanical energy, and heat-light; Ap, the energy of the taste-stimulus in addition; and finally, Earth, the energy of the smellstimulus added to the foregoing.

The Pañchadasí characterises the different Mahábhútas by their typical sounds : *e.g.*, Ákása by the echo (hollow booming sound); Váyu (air) by a sibilant sound, hissing, susuration (imitative symbol, Visi); Tejas (fire) by a puffing (or roaring) sound (imitative symbol, Bhugubhugu); Ap (water) by a liquid sound (imitative symbol, Culu-culu, gurgle, plash-plash, glut-glut); and finally, Earth by a splitting or rattling sound, a crack or a thud (symbol, Kad-kada). (Chap. II. Bhútaviveka, Śloka 3, Pañchadasí. *Cf.* also Jayanta, Mañjari.)

Others hold that Ákása (ether) never enters as a

component part, and is always one and indivisible. In this view the four Mahábhútas—Váyu, Tejas, Ap, and Earth—alone are supposed to be compounded, and by a process which may be called quaternion (*cf.* the Neo-Platonist quaternion) :—

$$V = v_3 (t_1. ap_1. e_1).$$

$$T = t_3 (v_1. ap_1. e_1).$$

$$AP = ap_3 (v_1. t_1. e_1).$$

$$E = e_3 (v_1. t_1. ap_1).$$

These compound forms, as before, are supposed to exercise their specific energies actively. Others again hold that the Mahábhútas Tejas, Ap, and Earth alone are compounded by a process named Triv*rit*-karana (triplication). Thus $T = t_2$ (ap₁. e₁), AP = ap₂ (t₁. e₁), $E = e_2$ (t₁. ap₁).

The Súkshma Bhútas are forms of homogeneous and continuous matter, without any atomicity of structure; the Mahábhútas are composite; but even these are regarded as continuous, and without any atomic structure. The Vedánta speaks of Anu (Paramánu) not as an ultimate indivisible discrete constituent of matter, but as the smallest conceivable quantum or measure of matter. In the Sáňkhya doctrine the atomic structure is ordinarily accepted. The Gunas are supposed to be uftfisian and uvy, bounded and indefinitely small in size (except the Gunas giving rise to Ákása and Manas, which are unlimited uvftfisian); and hence the Tanmátras and Paramánus must be conceived to have a discrete structure.

As I have already noted in my account of the

genesis of Tanmátras and Paramánus, various schools of Vedántists (e.g. the Rámánujists and the followers of Nimbárka) combined, in the orthodox fashion of the Smritis and the Puránas, the Vedántic theosophy with the Sánkhya cosmology, especially as regards Prakriti and the order of creation and dissolution. For example, the Vedánta-kaustubhaprabhá, fortifying itself with texts from the Vishnu Purána and the Subala and Gopála Upanishads, contends that, at the cosmic dissolution (Pralaya), each Mahábhúta merges into the one that preceded it in the order of creation by first disintegrating into its own proper Tanmátric form (तन्माचडारा), and that the Mahábhúta Ákása merges into the original Tanmátras, which then lapse into Bhútádi, the super - subtile rudiment - matter, proto - matter (Chap. II., Pada III., Sutra 14).

Parináma — Evolutionary Process. — When the Mahábhútas are once formed, the different kinds of substance are derived from them by the evolutionary process called Parináma (परिणाम, transformation). Matter is constantly undergoing change of state. The effect is only the cause in a new collocation (कारणस्येन संस्थानमाद्रं कार्यम्). Change is of two kind :—

(1) Change by a spontaneous process, without external influence, including isomeric change (खाभाषिक परिणाम). The Vedántists believe in spontaneous disintegration and reintegration. Action from without, impressed force *ab extra*, is not, *pace* the Naiyáyikas, always a condition of change of state (whether of rest or of motion); nor is it necessary that more than one substance should combine to generate another substance or variety of substance (*e.g.* the formation of curds from milk, of ice from water, etc.). All this is directed against the Nyáya doctrine (Árambhaváda).

(2) Change due to combination with other substances (द्रव्यानरसंयोग). Such combination may produce (a) a compound substance possessing like qualities with the constituents (समानजातोयोतपत्ति), or (b) unlike compounds with new qualities, "heteropathic effects" (विजातोयोत्पत्ति). Any new quality thus evolved through (chemical) combination is called Samhata-bhúta-dharma (संहतभतधर्म), e.g. the intoxicating power of the fermented rice and molasses, which does not exist in the ingredients taken separately (मद्यवोजानां प्रत्येकं जाव ज्ञेमानापि समुदायशस्त्रा मदशक्तिः दृश्यते). This Sambhúyakriyá (सम्भयक्रिया, सनुत्यान) corresponds to chemical combination, and the Vedántists, like the Sánkhyas, explain this only as the evolution of the latent Energy (शांक, जनुइतशांक) in a new collocation (संस्यान, अवयव-सन्निवेज्ञ). But, unlike the mediæval Sánkhya, the Vedánta freely recognises the combination of heterogeneous Bhútas. Thus Earth, Ap, Tejas, and Váyu freely combine in different proportions and groupings to produce the variety of substances in the world. For example, the animal organism is a compound of all the five Bhútas (पाच-भौरतक). It is not merely the concomitant or efficient causes that may be heterogeneous to the material cause, as the Naiyáyikas contend, but several heterogeneous substances (or Bhútas) may unite as "material causes" to produce a new substance.

The Vedántists resolve all activity—physical, vital, as well as psychical—into modes of motion, subtile

cosmic motion (परिस्पन्द, सच्चेलोकपरिस्पन्द-Sankara; वायो: परिस्पन्दात्मकत्वात्,---प्राणस्य परिस्पन्दात्मकत्वादेव---यदृढ्वैतं स्यऌं सूर्छ्सं च तत्सईं मनःस्पन्दितमात्रम्-Sankara); but they give a separate substantive existence to the agents, the vital principle (प्राग्त), and the mind (मन:), though these are also evolutionary transformations of the Súkshma Bhútas (forms of subtile matter). What is common to the Nyáya, the Vedánta, and the Sánkhya is that Consciousness or Intelligence (चैतन्य) transcends Matter; but the Naiyáyikas, as pluralists, hold that vital and psychical activities are also immaterial and cannot be resolved into motion (परिस्पन्द). The Vedántists resolve these activities into subtile motion, but ascribe them to a substantive quasi-material Life Principle and Mind, the all-mirroring Intelligence (चेतन्य) alone being immaterial and transcendent; and the Sánkhyas accept the substantive existence of the Mind Principle (मनः) as derived co-ordinately with the Súkshma Bhútas or Tanmátras from individualised Prakriti (Ahankára), but resolve Life into a mere resultant activity of the bodily organs, viz. the organs of sense and movement and the psychic principle (मन:).

पत्त्वीकरणः ---- द्विधा विधाय चैकेकं चतुईा प्रथमं पुनः । खस्त्रेतरद्वितीयांशौयोंजनात् पञ्च पञ्च ते ।

यथा चिसर्गश्रुतौ सृष्टानां भूतानां स्फुटतरव्यवहारनामरूपस्य करणोपायतया चिवृत्करणं श्रुतं तद्वत् भूतपत्त्वक्सर्गश्रुतौ अपि तथा । तदानों पत्त्वीकरणानरं आकाशे शब्दोऽभिव्यज्यते स्फुटतयेति सर्व्वेत्र योजनीयं (विद्वन्मनोरंजिनी) — अस्ति हि शरीरे सर्व्वेषामपि भूतानां कार्य्यसंप्रतिपत्ति: खवकाशव्यूहनपव-नक्केदनकाठिन्यानां सर्व्वेजनानुभवसिद्धत्वात् । अतस्तत्कारणतया पत्रानि भूतानि एकस्मिन् देहे सन्तीति स्थिते तन्तुपटयोरिव खवयवावययित्वमेव पत्त्यभूतदेह- योर्युक्तं। न च स्पर्शेशून्यत्वात् एकद्रव्यत्वात् च आकाशस्य आरम्भकत्वानुप-पत्तिरिति वाच्यं। आरम्भवादस्य अनङ्गीकारात्। रकस्यापि दुग्धावयविनो दय्यारम्भकत्वदर्शनात्। वस्तुतस्तु पद्धानां भूतानां पद्धात्मकावस्य दर्शितत्वात् आरम्भवादस्य निराकृतत्वात् च। तस्मात् सिद्धं शरीरं पाद्धभौतिकमिति। ---Vidvanmanorañjiní.

न तावत समानजातीयमेव जारभते न भिन्नजातीयमिति नियमो वास्ति । समवायिकारणे एव समानजातीयत्वाभ्युपगमः न कारणानरविषय इति तदपि जनैकानिकम् । नापि जनेकमेव जारभते नैकमिति नियमोऽस्ति । जणुमनसो-राद्यकर्म्मारम्भाभ्युपगमात् । एकैको हि परमाणुष्टाद्यं खकर्म्मारभते न द्रव्यानरं संहत्य इत्यभ्युपगम्यते । द्रव्यारम्भे एव जनेकारम्भकत्वनियम इति चेत् न परि-णामाभ्युपगमात् । तदेव तु द्रव्यं विशेषवदवस्यानरं जापद्यानानं कार्य्यं नामाभ्यु-पगम्यते । तच झचित् जनेकं परिणमते मृद्वीजाङ्गुरादिभावेन । छचिदेकं परि-णनते छारादि-दघ्यादिभावेन । नेन्नरज्ञासनं जस्ति जनेकं एव कारणं कार्य्यं जनयति इति ।— Sankara, Sáríraka - Bháshya, Sutra 7, Pada III., Adhyáya 2.

THE ATOMIC THEORY OF THE BUDDHISTS.

The Buddhists recognise four essentials of matter, viz. extension (with hardness), cohesion (with fluidity), heat, and pressure (with motion), and four sensibles, viz. colour, taste, smell, and touch (*vide* Dhammasangani, Attha-sáliní, etc.).

The later Vaibháshikas hold that the Váyu-atoms are touch-sensibles, having impact or pressure for their characteristic property, and by aggregation form the element Váyu; the Tejas-atoms are colourand-touch-sensibles, having heat for their characteristic, and by aggregation form the Tejas Bhúta; the Ap-atoms are taste-colour-and-touch-sensibles with a characteristic viscosity, and form the Ap-element by
aggregation; and finally, the Earth-atoms are smelltaste-colour-and-touch-sensibles possessing a characteristic dryness or roughness (खरत्न), and by their aggregation form the Earth-element. The Bhútas thus originated combine to form aggregates, which are classed as inorganic substances, organisms, and organs. (कामेडष्टद्रव्यकोडणु:, — रूपरसगन्यस्पर्शा इति चत्वारि द्रव्याणि पृथिव्यप्तेजोवायु: इति चत्वारि, — also खरस्नेहोष्णेरणसभावानि भुतानि).

THE ATOMIC THEORY OF THE JAINAS.

Of the nine categories of the Jainas, that of Ajíva (the not-soul or non-Ego) consists of five entities, four of which are immaterial (जमूत्री), viz. merit, demerit, space and time, and the fifth, material (मूर्च, possessing figure). The last is called Pudgala (Matter), and this alone is the vehicle of Energy, which is essentially kinetic, *i.e.* of the nature of motion. Everything in the world of not-soul (the non-Ego) is either an entity (द्रव्य) or a change of state in an entity (पर्य्याय). Pudgala (Matter) and its changes of state (पर्य्याय), whether of the nature of subtile motion (परिस्पन्द) or of evolution (परिखाम), must furnish the physical as opposed to the metaphysical basis of all our explanations of Nature. Pudgala (Matter) exists in two forms-Anu (atom) and Skandha (aggregate). The Jainas begin with an absolutely homogeneous mass of Pudgalas, which by differentiation (भेट) breaks up into several kinds of atoms qualitatively determined, and by differentiation, integration, and differentiation in the integrated (संचातात, भेदात, संघातभेदात -- Umásvátí, Chap. V., Sutra 26), forms aggregates (Skandhas). An Anu has no parts, no beginning, middle, or end. An Anu is not only infinitesimal, but also eternal and ultimate. A Skandha may vary from a binary aggregate (द्वागुक) to an infinitum (जननागुक). A binary Skandha is an aggregate of two Anus (atoms), a tertiary Skandha is formed by the addition of an atom (Anu) to the binary (द्वागुक), and so on *ad infinitum*. The ascending grades are (1) what can be numbered (संस्येय), (2) indefinitely large (जसंस्येय), (3) infinity of the first order (जनन), (4) infinity of the second order (जननानन), and so on.

General Properties of Matter.-The specific characters of the Pudgalas (Matter) are of two kinds, (1) those which are found in atoms as well as in aggregates, and (2) those which are found only in aggregates. Qualities of touch, taste, smell, and colour come under the first head. The original Pudgalas being homogeneous and indeterminate, all sensible qualities, including the infra-sensible qualities of atoms, are the result of evolution (परिणाम). Every atom thus evolved possesses an infra-sensible (or potential) taste, smell, and colour (one kind of each), and two infrasensible tactile qualities, e.g. a certain degree of roughness or smoothness (or dryness and moistness?) and of heat or cold. Earth-atoms, Ap-atoms, etc., are but differentiations of the originally homogeneous Pudgalas. The tactile qualities (खर, चिग्ध, उच्च, शीत) appear first, but qualities of taste, smell, and colour are involved in the possession of tactile qualities. An aggregate (Skandha), whether binary, tertiary, or of a higher order, possesses (in addition to touch, taste,

smell, and colour) the following physical characters: (1) sound, (2) atomic linking, or mutual attraction and repulsion of atoms, (3) dimension, small or great, (4) figure, (5) divisibility, (6) opacity and casting of shadows, and (7) radiant heat-and-light.

Sensible Qualities .- Tactile qualities are of the following kinds: hardness or softness, heaviness or lightness (degrees of pressure), heat or cold, and roughness or smoothness (or dryness and viscosity?). Of these the atoms (Anus) possess only temperature and degrees of roughness or smoothness, but all the four kinds of tactile qualities in different degrees and combinations characterise aggregates of matter from the binary molecule upwards. The Jainas appear to have thought that gravity was developed in molecules as the result of atomic linking. Simple tastes are of five kinds-bitter, pungent, astringent, acid, and sweet. Salt is supposed by some to be resolvable into sweet, while others consider it as a compound taste. Smells are either pleasant or unpleasant. Mallishena notes some elementary varieties of unpleasant smell, e.g. the smell of asafœtida, ordure, etc. The simple colours are five-black, blue, red, yellow, and white. Sounds may be classed as loud or faint, bass (thick) or treble (hollow), clang, or articulate speech.

The most remarkable contribution of the Jainas to the atomic theory relates to their analysis of atomic linking, or the mutual attraction (or repulsion) of atoms in the formation of molecules. The question is raised in Umásvátí's Jaina Sútras (*circa* A.D. 40?)—what constitutes atomic linking? Is mere contact (or juxtaposition) of atoms sufficient to cause linking ? No distinction is here made between the forces that bind together atoms of the same Bhúta and the chemical affinity of one Bhúta to another. The Jainas hold that the different classes of elementary substances (Bhútas) are all evolved from the same primordial atoms. The intra-atomic forces which lead to the formation of chemical compounds do not therefore differ in kind from those that explain the original linking of atoms to form molecules.

Mere juxtaposition (संयोग) is insufficient; linking of atoms or molecules must follow before a compound can be produced. The linking takes place under different conditions. Ordinarily speaking, one particle of matter (पुडल) must be negative and the other positive (विषमगुरुषुक्र); the two particles must have two peculiar opposite qualities, roughness and smoothness (रुझल and चिन्धन, or dryness and viscosity ?), to make the linking possible. But no linking takes place where the qualities, though opposed, are very defective or feeble (जयन्त्रम्). We have seen that, ordinarily speaking, two homogeneous particles, i.e. both positive or both negative, do not unite. This is the case where the opposed qualities are equal in intensity. But if the strength or intensity of the one is twice as great as that of the other, or exceeds that proportion, then even similar particles may be attracted towards each other. In every case change of state in both the particles is supposed to be the result of this linking, and the physical characters of the aggregate depend on the nature of this linking. When particles of equal

96

intensity (negative and positive) modify each other there is mutual action; in cases of unequal intensity the higher intensity transforms the lower, it being apparently thought that an influence proceeds from the higher to the lower. All changes in the qualities of atoms depend on this linking. A crude theory, this, of chemical combination, very crude but immensely suggestive, and possibly based on the observed electrification of smooth and rough surfaces as the result of rubbing. The interpretation of su and furw as dry and viscous must be rejected in this connection as untenable. The Tattvárthádhigama of Umásvátí, which expounds the theory, most probably dates back to the first half of the first century A.D.

Cf. Umásvátí-Tattvárthádhigama, Chap. V.:--

अजीवकाया धर्म्माधर्म्माकाशपुझलाः । द्रव्याणि जीवाश्च । नित्यावस्थि-तानि अरूपाणि । रूपिणः पुझलाः । आकाशादेकद्रव्याणि । निष्क्रियाणि च । पुझलजीवास्तु क्रियावनः । (क्रियेति गतिकर्म्माह) । सर्ब्वेपां प्रदेशाः सन्ति अन्यव परमाग्रोः । संख्येयासंख्येयाश्च पुझलानां । नाग्रोः । स्पर्शेरसगन्धवर्णवन्तः पुझलाः । तत्र स्पर्शोण्डियिः कठिनो मृदुर्गुरुर्लिषुः शीत उष्णः स्निग्धः रूक्ष इति । रसः पञ्चविधस्तिक्तः कटुः कपायोध्द्वोमघुर इति । गन्धो द्विविधः सुरभिरसुरभिन्न । वर्णेः पच्चविधः कृष्णो नीलो लोहितः पीतः शुक्त इति ।

शब्दस्कन्धसौक्ष्म्यस्थौ ल्पसंस्थानभेदतमश्छायातपोद्योतवनन्त्र । तत्र शब्दः मद्र्विधः ततो विततो घनः शुशिरो घर्मों भाषइति । छणवः स्कन्धाश्च । उक्तं च । कारणमेव तदन्यं सूक्ष्मो नित्यश्च भवति परमाणुः । एकरसगन्धवणें द्विस्पर्शः कार्य्यलिङ्ग्रश्च । तत्राणवोऽवद्धाः स्कन्धास्तु वद्धा एव । स्कन्धास्तावत् संघातभेदेभ्य-उत्पद्यन्ते । संघाताद्वेदात् संघातभेदादिति । डयोः परमाण्वोः संघातादद्विप्रदेशः । द्विप्रदेशस्याणोश्च संघातात् त्रिप्रदेशः । एवं संस्थेयानामसंख्येयानामनन्तानां त्रानन्तानन्तानां च प्रदेशानां संघातात् प्रदेशाः । भेदादणुः । भेदादेव परमाणुरुत्पद्यते न संघातादिति । अत्राह । विं संयोगमात्रादेव संघातो भवति । आहोस्विदस्ति कश्चिद्विशेष इति । अत्रोच्यते । सति संयोगे बद्धस्य संघातो भवतोति । क्रिग्ध- रुक्षत्वाडन्धः । न जयन्यगुणानाम् । सिग्धरुष्ययोः पुतल्योः स्पृष्टयोर्वन्धो भवतीति । जयन्यगुणसिग्धानां जघन्यगुणरुक्षाणां च परस्परेण वन्धो न भव-तोति । उक्तं भवता जघन्यगुणवक्त्रीनां सिग्धानां रुष्वेण रुक्षाणां च सिग्धेन सह वन्धो भवतीति । ऊप तुत्यगुण्ययोः किमत्यनप्रतिषेथ इति । ऊत्रोच्यते । न । गुणसाम्ये सदृशानां । गुणसाम्ये सति सदृशानां वन्धो न भवति । तत्यपा । तुत्यगुणसिग्धस्य तुत्यगुणसिग्धेन तुत्यगुणरुष्यस्य तुत्यगुणरुष्वेण इति । उन्नाह सदृशग्रहणं किमपेक्षते इति । ऊपाच्यते । गुणवैषम्ये सदृशानां वन्धो भवति इति । उत्ताह सदृशग्रहणं किमपेक्षते इति । ऊपाच्यते । गुणवैषम्ये सदृशानां वन्धो भवति इति । जवाह किनविशेषेण गुणवैषम्ये सदृशानां वन्धो भवति । उद्योध-कादिगुणानां तुद्धधिकादिगुणानां सदृशानां वन्धी भवति । डिगुणाद्यधिकस्विग्धेन । डिगुणाद्यधिकस्विग्धस्य सिग्धेन । रुक्षस्यापि डिगुणाद्यधिकरूक्षस्य रुक्षेण । एकादिगुणाधिकस्वास्तु सदृश्योर्वन्धो न भवति । छत्राह परमाणुपु स्कन्धेषु च ये स्पर्शादयो गुणास्ते किं व्यवस्थिताः तेषु आहोस्वित्यवस्थिता इति । उत्रोच्यते । अव्यवस्थितायुतः । परिणामात् अत्राह डयोरपि वध्यमानयोगुणवन्न्वे सति कपं परिणामो भवति इति । उच्यते । वन्धे सनाधिकौ पारिणामिकौ । वन्धे सति समगुणस्य समगुणः परिणामका भवति । अधिकगुणो हीनस्येति ।

THE NYÁYA-VAISESHIKA CHEMICAL THEORY: A BRIEF . SUMMARY.

I must content myself here with a brief and rapid sketch of the chemistry of the Nyáya-Vaiseshika, which I shall elaborate in connection with the mechanics and physics of the ancient Hindus in a separate paper.

The relation of the specific characters of molecules (and higher aggregates) to the original atomic qualities is reduced in the Nyáya-Vaiseshika to the following canons: (a) कार्य्यगुर्ख कारएगुरुपृष्ठिकम् । (b) समानजातीयसंयोग: द्रव्या-रम्भक: न विजातीयसंयोग: (here द्रव्य is used in a narrow technical sense, so as to exclude the quasi-compound substances); (c) अपाकजरूपरसगन्धस्यईापरिमायैकावेकपृथक्वगुरुत्वद्रवत्व-संहवेगा: कारएगुरुपृष्ठिका: । (d) रूपरसगन्धानुष्णस्यईाश्वद-परिमायैकावेक- पृथज्ञम्नेहाः समाननात्पारम्भनाः । No separate explanation is necessary, as the canons are embodied in the following exposition.

Theory of Atomic Combination.—Atoms are eternal, ultimate, indivisible, and infinitesimal.

The four kinds of Atoms are Earth, Ap, Tejas, and Váyu atoms, possessed of characteristic mass, numerical unit, weight, fluidity (or its opposite), viscosity (or its opposite), velocity (or quantity of impressed motion-Vega); also characteristic potential colour, taste, smell, or touch, not produced by the chemical operation of heat (जपाकजरूपरसगन्धस्पईपिरिमाग्रैकान्वेकपृथच्चगुरुत्वद्रवत्वस्नेहवेगाः). Ákása has no atomic structure (निरवयव), and is absolutely inert (निष्क्रिय), being posited only as the substratum of sound, which is supposed to travel wave-like in the manifesting medium or vehicle of Váyu (air). Only the other four Bhútas unite (or disunite) in atomic or molecular forms. The orthodox view is that the presence of Earth-atoms is necessary whenever chemical transformation under the operation of heat (पाकजोत्पत्ति) takes place.

Atoms cannot exist in an uncombined state in creation (Śiváditya, Sapta-padárthí, *vide* commentary), where, however, it is noted that still atmospheric air is believed to be monatomic in structure, *i.e.* to consist of masses of atoms in a loose uncombined state (क्तिमितवायुस्तु परमायुसयूह एव जनारद्धद्वाः).

The atoms may combine in one or other of the following ways :---

I. One Earth-atom, by an original tendency, unites with another to form a binary molecule (द्वायुक). In the same way binary molecules of the other Bhútas are formed. The atoms are possessed of an inherent Parispanda (rotary or vibratory motion), and when they unite in pairs, so long as there is no chemical operation under the action of heat-corpuscles, the original qualities of the atoms produce homogeneous qualities in the binary molecules.

The question as to the existence of a triad, a tetrad, a pentad, etc., of atoms is one of the moot points of the Nyáya-Vaiseshika. The orthodox view is that the primordial infinitesimal particles (atoms) start with an incessant vibratory motion (ज्ञनवरतपरिस्यन्दनानापरिमितपवना-दिपरमाखवः, Raghunátha Śiromani; गतिशीलन्तात् पतत्रव्यपदेशाः पतन्तीति, Udayana, Kusumáñjali) and an inherent impulse that drives them to unite in pairs-a sort of "monovalency," as it were, exhausted with the formation of a binary molecule. The binary molecules now combine by threes, fours, fives, etc., to form larger aggregates as well as the variety of elementary substances, the particular collocation in any case being not only determined by physical causes, but also serving to satisfy the ends of the moral law in creation (अदृष्ट, कम्मे). (द्वास्केवेहुभिरारभ्यते इत्यपि नियमो, न डाभ्यां। बहुपुत्वनियमः । कदाचित् चिभिरारभ्यते इति चयणुकामित्युच्यते, कदाचिचतुर्भिरारभ्यते कदाचित् पच्चभिरिति यघेष्टं कत्यना । अदृष्टवज्ञात् तथा तथा तेषां व्यूहो यथा यथा तदारव्थेपु अपरजातयो व्यज्यने । अदृष्टकारिता सर्वभावानां सृष्टिः ।---Śrídhara, Nyáya-Kandalí, पृायिवोनिरूपणन् । Cf. Váchaspati's report, Bhámatí, Chap. II., Pada II., Sutra 2. यदा चतुरखुकमारभते चनुर्खी द्वगुकानामारम्भकत्वात्।) Prasastapáda appears to have originated this view (परमाणुद्यणुकेषु वहुन्वसंख्या-तरारभे त्रणुका-दिल्ह्यणे-Prasastapáda, परिमाणनिरूपणम्); but that another

view was also maintained in the Vaiseshika school is evident from the brief summary of Kanáda's system given in Utpala's commentary on the Vrihatsamhitá, and this indeed also follows from Śrídhara's admissions in the Kandalí. On this view, also, atoms have an inherent tendency to unite; but some unite in pairs, others in triads, others in tetrads, etc. This may happen in two ways—either by the atoms falling into groups of threes, fours, etc., direct, or by the successive addition of one atom to each preceding aggregate.

A triad (Tryanuka), then, holds together three atoms (Anus), not three binary molecules (Dvyanukas), as on the orthodox hypothesis. Similarly with tetrads, pentads, etc. (चतुर्विधा: परमाखव: छितिजलागिनवायूनाम् । डाभ्यां परमाखुभ्यां डाणुकमारभ्यते विभिः परमाखव: छितिजलागिनवायूनाम् । डाभ्यां प्रसलकाय्येद्रव्यस्योत्पत्तिः ।—Utpala, Chap. I., Śloka 7; cf. also Śrídhara's admission, छायचा यदि परमाखवो डाणुकमारभ्य तत्सहिता-स्त्रगणुकमारभन्ने व्यणुकमहितास्तु द्रव्यानरम् तथापि कुता विश्वस्य छाग्रहणम्). Śankara seems to speak of two binary molecules in the Vaiseshika as forming a tetrad (यदापि डे डाणुके चतुरणुकमारेमेत —Śaríraka-Bháshya, Chap. II., Pada XX., Sutra 2, where the Bhámati gives a forced interpretation).

In Prasastapáda's view, these binary molecules are grouped by threes, fours, fives, etc. (चयणुक, चतुरणुक) to form different isomeric modifications. The variety of Earth substances is due to differences in the arrangements of the molecules (*e.g.* their greater or less density, and, above all, their grouping or collocation, च्यूह, ज्वयवसन्तिवेश), which account for the specific characters (ज्वयज्ञाति) manifested by these isomeric substances. सा (पृथिवा) च स्थैयोग्रववयवसन्तिवेशावशिष्टा जपरनातिवहुत्वोपेता । — Prasastapáda, पृषिवीनिरूपणम् । स्थैंय्यं निविड़त्वम् । जादिशव्दात् प्रशिषिऌत्वादिर्पारग्रहः । परमाखादिमु जपरनात्य-भावेऽपि जदृष्टवशात् तथा तथा तेषां व्यूहः यथा यथा तदारअेषु जपरनातयो व्यज्यन्ते ।—Śrídhara, Kandalí, ibid.

स्थैर्थ्यं स्थिरता चिरकालावस्थायित्वमिति यावत् । आदिग्रहणाद्विष्टम्मकत्वं जलादिव्यूहविरोधित्वच्च । अवयवसन्निवेशाः तत्तत्मामान्यविशेषाभिव्यच्चकसंस्था-नविशेषाः । न हि एतत्द्रव्यानारे सम्भवति । जलादीनां यत्किचित्स्पर्शवद्-वेगवद्द्रव्योपनिपातमात्रेणैव भङ्गुरत्वात् ।—Udayana, Kiranávali, ibid.

These original differences in molecular grouping, leading to distinctions of genera and species, however mechanically or physically explained, come also under the operation of moral and metaphysical causes (जदृष्ट, कर्म्म), *i.e.* of ideal ends in the moral government of the Universe, which are superimposed upon the physical order, but which do not come within the scope of Natural Philosophy. An elementary substance thus produced by primary atomic combination may, however, suffer qualitative change under the influence of heat (पाकनोत्पन्ति). The process is as follows :--(1) The impact of heat-corpuscles decomposes the binary (tertiary, or quaternary) molecules into homogeneous atoms possessing only the generic characters of the Bhúta concerned; (2) the impacts of heat-particles continue, and transform the characters of the atoms, determining them all in the same way; (3) the heat-particles continue to impinge, and reunite the atoms so transformed to form binary (or other) molecules, in different orders or arrangements, which account for the specific characters or qualities finally produced. The Vaiseshika holds that there is decomposition into homogeneous atoms, transformation of atomic qualities, and finally

re-combination, all under the influence of heat (पिलुपान). The Nyáya, on the other hand, thinks that the molecules and larger aggregates assume the new characters under the influence of heat without decomposition into homogeneous atoms or change of atomic characters (पिउरपान).

तेषामनुमानेन विनाज्ञः परिकल्पते । सत्वीवयवेषु अन्तर्वहिश्च पाकपूर्वेक-पूर्वेरूपादिविलक्षणगुणोपलवधेरनः प्रवेशः कृशानोरनुमीयते तेन वेगवता वहि-द्रव्येण नोदनात् अभिघातात् वा नूनं घटाद्यारम्भकेषु अवयवेषु क्रिया जायते क्रियातो विभागः विभागात् द्रव्यारम्भकसंयोगविनाशः । तडिनाशात् द्रव्य-विनाशः । पक्काम्त्र श्यामादिगुणानवजहतः रक्तादिगुणानरयोगमनुभवनः-ञदृष्टप्रेय्यैमार्णाः परस्परं संयुज्य द्वाणुकादिप्रक्रमेण तादृशमेव घटादिकार्य्यमारभने। एवं तपनातपदुश्यमानेषु आम्रादिमलेषु एष एव न्यायः । शरीरे अपि उदय्रेण तेजसा पच्यमानेषु अन्नपानादिषु रसमलधातुभावेन परिणामनुपगच्छत्सु प्रायेण प्रतिक्षणमुत्पाद्विनाशी संभवत इति । (Jayanta, Nyáyamañjarí, भूतचतन्य-पृष्ठेपञ्च.) This is the Vaiseshika view, but Jayanta himself inclines to the opposite view : प्रकृतिसुधिरतयेव कार्य्यद्रव्यस्य घटादेः आरम्भात् अनस्तेजःकणानुप्रवेशकृतपाकोपपत्तेः अलं विनाशकत्सनया। पिठरपाकपक्ष एव पेमलः । ibid. The Nyáya view : ये वीजावयवास्ते पूर्वव्यूहपरित्यागेन व्यूहालरमापद्यने व्यूहालरापत्ती च पृथिवीधातुरब्धातुना संगृहीत आलरेख तेजसा पच्यमानो रसद्रव्यं निर्वेत्रैयति स रसः पूर्वेवयवसहितोऽद्भुरादिभावमापद्यते । परमाखवस्थानि वीजानि भवनात्यितन्न प्रतिपद्यामहे। यस्माच्छाल्यादिवोजमुच्छ्नावस्थामादिं कृत्वा यावटुपान्यं शालिवीजकार्थ्यं ताबन्न कदाचित् परमाखवस्थं भवति। यटि तु स्यात् कदाचित् नोपलुभ्येत ।-Udyotakara, Chap. III., Áhnika 1, Sutra 4.

II. Chemical Combination (सम्भूयक्रिया, संहतक्रिया).— Chemical combination takes place either between two or more substances which are isomeric modifications of the same Bhúta, or between substances which are modes of different Bhútas.

- A. Mono-Bhautic Compounds.—The simplest compounds are mono-Bhautic compounds, *i.e.* compounds of different substances which are isomeric modes of the same Bhúta.
 - (a) Mono-Bhautic compounds of the first order. Under the impact or impulse (ज्ञभियात or नोटन) of heat-corpuscles, the substances in chemical contact (जारम्भन संयोग) break up into their atoms. These atoms are homogeneous, possessing only the original physical and chemical characters of the Bhúta concerned. As the specific differences between isomeric substances arise from the arrangement or collocation of the atoms, the substances lose their distinctive marks on decomposition into the latter. (न च परमाएम जपरजातिभेदो विद्यते न च यववीजज्ञालिवीजपरमाणनां कश्चिद्वविशेषः ।---Udyotakara, Chap. III., Ahnika 1, Sutra 4. न च शत्रशोणितपरनाणूनां अग्निद्विशेषः पार्थिवत्वावि-शेषात ।- Śrídhara, Nyáya-Kandalí, प्रियोनि-रूपएन ।) Under the continued impact (or, it may be, impulse) of heat-particles (वेगवता वहिदव्येण नादनात् जभिघातात् वा-Jayanta), these atoms take on new characters. It is heat, and heat alone, that can cause this transformation of the colours, tastes, smells, etc., in these original Bhútaatoms. What particular colours, tastes, smells, or physical characters will be produced in the atoms depends (1) on the

colours, etc., of the constituent substances in contact; (2) the intensity or degree of the heat (खर, मृदु, or मध्यम पाक); and (3) the species of Tejas-corpuscles that impinge on the atoms, or the nature of the impact (चिऌक्षणतेज्ञ:संयोग). (न ब्रूमोर्डगिनसंयोगात् एकस्मात् रूपादय इति छपि नु पृद्वेरूपादिविशेषापेछात् । यद्द्रव्यं पच्यते छग्निसंयोगेन तस्य ये पृत्वेरूपादिवशेषापेछात् । यद्द्रव्यं पच्यते छग्निसंयोगेन तस्य ये पृत्वेरूपादिवशेषार्या यः खगतो विशिष्टानारभते । *Vide* also Udyotakara, III., 2, Sutra 14. *Cf.* also Váchaspati, I., 1, Sutra 4. छास्माकमभेदे छपि उपादानस्य पिठरस्य औष्ण्यापराख्यस्य च वहिःसंयोगस्य पूर्वेरूपादिप्रध्वंसानां कारणानां भेदात् भिन्नज्ञातीया जायने गन्धरूपरसस्यशा इति सिद्वानः ।)

Now when the atoms have all been determined in the same way, they begin to re-combine again under the impact (or impulse) of the heat-particles in binary molecules (or tertiary, etc.), and these in higher aggregates. It seems to be generally held that at the final step one or more atoms of one constituent substance unite with one or more atoms of the other constituent substance or substances to form a molecule of the compound; but the question is not of much significance for mono-Bhautic compounds of the first order, as in these cases the atoms have before this all lost their distinctive characters and become

homogeneously transformed. The compound so produced will possess the new characters of the transformed atoms, so far as taste, colour, smell, etc., are concerned, but as the molecular arrangement or structure (ब्यूह, अवयवसन्तिवेज्ञ) may vary, different compound substances may result from the same components.

(b) Mono-Bhautic compounds of higher orders. Again, mono-Bhautic compounds of the first order may chemically combine to form higher compounds, and as the ultimate Bhúta substratum is the same, the process of decomposition and re-composition will be essentially the same as The only doubtful point is before. whether in this case the component compound substances are broken up only into their constituent molecules, or into the original homogeneous Bhúta-atoms. Some of the later Vaiseshika scholiasts hold that the latter happens in every case of chemical composition, however complex, but the earlier Vaiseshika conceived that in the case of compounds of compounds the decomposition does not proceed so far as the original Bhúta-atoms, but that it is the specifically determined atoms constituting the molecules of the component compounds that are transformed under the impact of the heat-corpuscles; and then

one such transformed atom (one or more according to another version) from the molecule of one component unites with one similarly transformed atom (one or more according to the other version) from the molecule of the other component. Prasastapáda, the great Vaiseshika doctor, holds this view. When, for example, in the fertilised ovum, the germ and the sperm substances, which, in the Vaiseshika view, are both isomeric modes of Earth (with accompaniments of other Bhútas), unite, both are broken up into homogeneous Earth-atoms, and it is these that chemically combine under the animal heat (and biomotor Enery, चाय) to form the germ-plasm (area). But, next, when the germ-plasm develops, deriving its nutrition from the chyle (blood) of the mother, the animal heat breaks up the molecules of the germ-plasm into its constituent atoms (कललारम्भकपरमाखवः), i.e. into atoms specifically determined, which by their grouping formed the germ-plasm, and then these germ-plasm atoms as radicles chemically combine with the atoms of the food-constituents, and thus produce cells and tissues. (समृत्पन्नपाकजैः कललारम्भकपरमागुभिः अदुष्टवज्ञात् उपजातन्नियैः आहा-रपरमाणुभिः सह सन्भूय शरीरानरमारभ्यते इत्येषा कल्पना। पितुः शुक्रं मातुः शोणितं तयोः सन्निपाताननारं

THE POSITIVE SCIENCES OF

जठरानऌसम्बन्धात् झुकझोणितारम्भकेषु परमाणुषु पृर्ध-रूपादिविनाशे सति समानगुणानरोत्पत्ती द्वाणुकादि-प्रक्रमेग कलल्ङारीरोत्पत्तिः । . . . तत्र मातुराहाररसः मात्रया संक्रामति अदृष्टवज्ञात् तत्र पुनर्जेठरानलसम्बन्धात् कललारम्भकपरमाणुषु क्रियाविभागादिन्यायेन कलल्झारीरे नष्टे समुत्पन्नपानजीः कललारम्भनपरमाणुभिः खदुष्टवज्ञात् उपजातक्रियः आहारपरमाणुभिः सह सम्भूय शरीरानार-मारभ्यते इत्येमा जल्पना।-Śrídhara, Kandalí, प्रियोनिरूपणन्।) In this hypothesis (जल्पना) it is assumed that the atoms are similarly transformed. *i.e.* become endowed with the colour, taste, smell, etc., of the product (the cell or tissue) the moment before the chemical combination takes place. Similarly, when milk is transformed into curd, one view is that the transformation takes place (under internal heat) in the constituent atoms of the milk-molecules, atoms specifically determined as milk, and not in the original atoms of the Bhúta (or Bhútas) entering into the composition of milk. (Cf. एवं महादुग्धारम्भकैः परमाणुभिरेव दथ्यारभ्यते । एकमहिन्नेव दघ्यारम्भकैरेव परमाणुभिनेवनीतारम्भः इति दिक्। Nyáyabodhiní, on Annam Bhatta's Tarkasangraha. Cf. Dinakarí-द्वागुकरूपदृग्धनंस-जन्यत्वेर्णप तस्य (द्यः) ।) In these cases the atomic contact is called constituent contact (जारम्भनसंयोग), and all the atoms are equally regarded as material causes (उपादान-कारण or समयायिकारण) of the compound.

108

B. Hetero-Bhautic "Quasi-compounds."-The Nyáya-Vaiseshika maintains that in the case of bi-Bhautic (or poly-Bhautic) compounds, which are only quasi-compounds, there is another kind of contact between the heterogeneous atoms of the different Bhútas, which may be called dynamic contact, and is distinguished in its operations as Upashtambha, Vishtambha, or Avashtambha (उपष्टम्भ, विष्टम्भ, or जनष्टम्भ). In some cases it so happens that the atoms of different isomeric modes of the same Bhúta do not chemically combine under the mere application of heat; they require to be surrounded (and "excited," "energized") by atoms of different Bhútas. For example, in the case of the oils and fats, as well as of plant saps and fruit juices, the Earth-atoms must be dissolved in water (Ap), and it is only when the water-atoms (Ap-atoms) congregate round the former that dynamic intra-atomic forces are set up, and the Earth-atoms (with the water-atoms in dynamic contact) now take on peculiar infrasensible characters (colours, tastes, smells) under the impact of the heat-corpuscles, and then, under further impact, fall into groupings or collocations (of a very peculiar nature, to be presently explained) which determine the nature of the composite substance thus produced. Here it is the water-atoms that are dynamic (उपष्टम्भन), and excite the Earth-atoms, and these substances, oils and fats (तैलवर्ग and च्तवर्ग), as well as acids (जन्नवर्ग) are, because of the Earth-radicles, regarded

as Earth compounds (or Earth substances). (पाकज-तथाविधगन्धरसरूपादिमझिः परमाणुभिद्येणुकादिक्रमेण घृता-दिट्रव्यमारभ्यते । तत्र च उपष्टम्भकतया निमिन्नतामापनाः पानी-यावयवाः । तेषां संयुक्तसमवायेन स्नेहस्तवोपलभ्यते । तैल्ह्यीरादिपु पाधिवत्वसिद्धिः । तैलस्य भौमानलेन्धनत्वात् यृतवत्।--Udayana.) In the above instances, Ap (water) acts as dynamic (Upashtambhaka, उपष्टम्भक), but Tejas and Váyu can also act in the same way on Earthparticles. Conversely, Earth-particles may act dynamically on the atoms of the other Bhútas. For example, in the case of mercury and the metals which are conceived in the Nyáya-Vaiseshika to be igneous bodies (in fact, they are supposed to be formed under the subterranean heat, जानरज), the Tejas-corpuscles are believed to form the radicles, and the Earth-particles are dynamic (उपष्टम्भक). (सुवर्णादि निरनरं ध्मायमानमपि न पूर्वेरूपं जहाति। तेनैव दूव्यानरेण प्रतिवद्धत्वात् उपष्टम्भकोऽपि पार्थिवभागः सदृश्ररूप एवानुवत्तेते, यत्तु पुटपाकादिना रक्तसारता दृश्यते तन्मिश्रीभूताभिभावकतत्तदृद्वयोपगमात् । एतेन पारदादि व्याख्यातम् ।--- Udayana, Kiranávalí, तेजोनिरूपणम् । Cf. also योऽपि तैजसमिन्छति सुवर्णे तेनाप्पत्र पार्थिवोभाग उपष्टम्भक रष्टव्य: ।)

It may be here noted that Gangesa, the author of the Tattvachintámani, conjectures that even gold can be evaporated or made to disappear by the application of intense heat : ज्रय दुतदुततरादे: क्रमश: प्रतोते: प्रवऌानऌसंयोगेन सुवर्णनाशात् तद्द्रवता नश्यति । But Mathuránátha notes here : भस्मप्राक्काऌोच्छेदसमये। (सन्निकषेवादरहस्य).

But while every Bhúta can act dynamically

Oils, Fats, Milks.-Bi-Bhautic quasi-compounds, with Ap as energizer : Oleaginous substances (ज्ञाज्यतैलादयः सेहा:) are divided by Udayana into (1) oils derived from vegetables, (2) butters derived from milk, and (3) fats derived from animals. The medical schools, as we have seen, recognise animal oils as distinguished from vegetable oils. Vegetable fats (e.g. विरङ्ग्राधृत) are also mentioned. Váchaspati and Udayana contend that among oils, fats, milks, etc., differences in flavour and odour imply differences in kind (जाति) and in molecular structure, since it is only a variation in such structure that constitutes a variation in natural kind (जन्मव-सनिवेश :--- तत्तत्सामान्यविशेषाभिव्यञ्च कसंस्थान विशेषा :--- Udayana, Kiranávalí). Mustard-oil, for example, has not the flavour and smell characteristic of sesamum-oil (Taila), and is classed with the latter only by reason of similarity of specific qualities and structure. Judged by the flavour test, Ámikshá (the casein substance formed by mixing milk curd with hot boiled milk) is to be classed with milk substances. So also Takra, whey; but Vájina, the thin fluid that is left after the Ámikshá (casein substance) is separated, cannot be classed as milk. It may be added that the milks and curds, as well as oils and fats derived from different species of vegetables or animals, are supposed to differ in their ultimate structural arrangement, and therefore in kind; but Vallabha thinks that the ghees (clarified butters) prepared from different kinds of milk are of the same kind; in other words, the milks and curds are "polymeric," the ghees (clarified butters) "isomeric," using these terms, as before, in a loose general sense. (.... तैल्ठ गृतवसानां वीज छीरमांसयोनित्वात् । जतएव नारिके-लमेहस्यापि तैलनं रसादिसारूपादपि तु घृतव्यपरेज्ञन्न ।--Udayana, Kiranávalí, पाँचवीर्गिरूपणम् । Vallabha notes that ghees do not differ in kind as milks (and curds) do: जता नाहिमगव्यादिदुग्थेषु दधित्ववत् घृतादिषु जातिभेदएवास्तामिति चेन्न ।--- Vallabha, Lílávatí. Compare Váchaspati, II., 2, 65: ज्ञाज्यतैलादीनां जातिस्तु गन्धेन वा रसेन वा व्यज्यते। ज्ञतरव न सार्पपादीनां तैलत्वमस्ति । तद्यञ्चलयोगन्धरसयोरभावात् । भाझस्तु तैल्हाञ्ड-योगः । छीरजातिरपि रसव्यद्भुवे । छतएवानिछायाः छीरत्वम् । न तु वाजिनस्य तद्व्यच्च कस्य रसभेदस्य वाजिने जभावात् जामिछायां च भावात् ।)

III. Mixtures like Solutions, etc.—A solution (of an Earth substance in Ap or water) is a physical mixture of a peculiar kind, from which evaporation (or precipitation) ordinarily sets the water free. Udyotakara notices gruels, baths, and lyes (alkaline solutions) as mixtures of this class (स्तेन पाककाचिकविवेकावसारादय: प्रमुझा:—Udyotakara). A soup is also a physical mixture. When meat is boiled in water there is the application of heat with chemical changes in the meat, but the combination of meat particles and water particles in the soup is only physical combination and not a chemical one. It is, of course, not a true compound, neither is it a quasi-compound like milk (in which the water particles are "energizers" of the Earth particles). Milk, for example, retains its milky substance when it coagulates or becomes solid (this, of course, is also the case with mono-Bhautic substances whether elementary or compound, e.g. water, which becomes ice), but the substance we call a soup or solution ceases to be a soup or solution the moment it solidifies. (यूषो हि नाम उत्पन्नपालजानां द्रव्याणां कालविशेषानुग्रहे सति द्रव्यानरसंपृकानां पालजोत्पत्ती यः संयोगः स यूष इति । एतेन पाककाच्चिकविवेकाविद्यारादयः प्रसुन्नाः ।-Udyotakara, Vártika, Chap. I., Áhnika 1, Sutra 14. Vide also Váchaspati's comment : उत्पन्नपालजानां तैले सर्पिषि वा पिष्टमांसपिग्रावयवानां द्रव्यानारेण तोयेन संयुक्तानां पालजोत्पत्ती सत्यां न चासी सहसा इतुक्तं कालविशेषानुग्रहे सतीति । स च संयोगभेदएव तोयमांसयोनैत अवयवी विजातीययोरनारम्भकत्वात् । नापि यूषजातीयं तोयसंयोगि द्रव्यं छीरजातीय-मिवेति युक्तम् । तोयविरहे काठिन्पेऽपि छीरवुद्धिव्यपदेशयोस्तादवस्थ्यात् । इह तु काठिन्ये न यूषवुद्धिव्यपदेशी इति सम्बन्धभेद एव तोयमांसयोपूष इति न्याय्यम्। स च अनुभवसिद्धः संयोगिव्यतिरिक्तः ।--Váchaspati, Tátparyyatíká, ibid. For earthy salts in sea-water, vide Kiranávalí: यत् पुनरम्बुधिसिन्धुभेदेन छारादिरपि रसः पाथसे उपलभ्पते स दशमूलकषायस्पेव पार्थिवद्रव्योपाधिकः । कथमन्यया तस्पैव यनपीतमुक्तस्य रसो मधुर एव ।-Udayana, Kiranávalí, जल्लन-रूपएम ।)

Chemical Action and Heat.—The operation of heat is of course universally implied in chemical combinations. Where the application of external heat is

I

wanting, Vátsyáyana, the great doctor of the Nyáya, In the case of combustion we have seen Vijñánabhikshu explain the heat as latent in the Earth substance, the fuel, from which it breaks forth. Udayana points out that the solar heat is the source of all the stores of heat required for chemical change in the world. The change of colours in grasses, for example, is due to Tejas in the form of latent (invisible) heat, not in the form of Agni; and the cold in winter cannot take away this store derived from the sun. (तृणादिविकारो हि यदि रूपादिपरावृत्तिमात्रहेनुः स नूनमीघ्ण्यापेछेण तेजसा कईव्यः । तादृश् च पाके अनिमित्तं हिममिति । न किञ्चिदनिष्टमापद्यते । न हि सौरस्य तेजसः चैलोक्यपालहेतोहिंमादपगमः छमते। अथ विकारो भस्मादिरूपो विवछितः सोऽसिद्ध एव हिमहतेषु तृणादिषु झ विरोधो वाधो वा। अय रूपादिपरावृत्तिमात्रेणेव अग्निः साध्यते तदशक्यम् । तस्य दर्शनस्पर्शन-ग्राह्यस्य योग्यानुपलम्भवाधितत्वात् अतादृशस्य तेजोमात्रस्य निवृत्तेरशक्यत्वात् ञ्चनिष्टत्वात् च I-Udayana, Kiranávalí, मृष्टिसंहारविधिनिरूपणम् ।) Similarly it is under this solar heat that the unripe mango ripens, i.e. changes colour, taste, smell, etc., showing that there is chemical transformation or subtile decomposition and recomposition going on; and this is also the case with the rusting of the metals, which is a combustion due to the solar heat (मूर्य्यपाक) even as the conversion of food into chyle and of chyle into blood are instances of chemical action due to the internal animal heat (जठरानल or जोदयें तेजः). But the kind of contact with heat-corpuscles, in other words, the kind of chemical action (पाक) which transforms colours, is

114

supposed to differ from that which transforms flavour (चिल्रघ्रणतेजःसंयोग and पाक), and this last from that which produces a change of smell, or tactile quality. (पाको नाम विजातीयतेजः संयोगः । स च नानाजातीयः । रूपजनको विजातीयतेज्ञः-संयोगस्तद्पेक्ष्या रसजनको विजातीयः। एवं स्पर्शादी चपि तथा। एवंप्रकारेण भिन्नभिन्नजातीयाः पाकाः कार्य्यवैऌघ्रस्येन कल्पनीयाः । तथाहि तृरणपुञ्च-निक्षिप्राध्यादी उष्णलक्ष्णविजातीयतेजः संयोगात् पृर्ववहरितरूपनाश् रूपालरस्य पोतादेरुत्पत्तिः पृर्व्वरसस्य अक्षस्यैवानुभवात् । क्वचित् पृर्व्वहरितरूपसत्त्वेऽपि रमपरावृत्ति दूृ्र्यते विजातीयतेजः संयोगरूपपालवज्ञात् पृच्चतनाझरसनाज्ञे मधुर-रसस्यानुभवात् । तस्माद्रूपजनकापेछ्या रसजनको विऌछ्ण एवं गन्धजनको विलस्ण रवाङ्गीकार्यः रूपरसयोरपरावृत्ती अपि पूर्व्वगन्धनाशे विजातीयपा-कवशात् सुरभिगन्धोपऌभेः। एवं स्पर्शेजनकोऽपि पाकवशात् कठिनस्पर्शनाशे मृदुस्पर्शानुभवात् । अतएव पार्थिवपरमाणूनामेकजातीयत्वेऽपि पाकमहिम्रा विजातीयद्रव्यानारानुभवः । यथा गोभुक्ततृणादीनां आपरमाखननं भङ्गे तृणा-रम्भकपरमाणुषु विजातीयतेजःसंयोगवंशात् पृच्चेरूपादिचतुष्टयनाशे तदननारं दुग्धे यादृशं रूपादिनं वर्ज्ञते तादृशरूपरसगन्धस्पर्शजनकास्तेजःसंयोगा जायने । तद्त्तरं तादृशरूपादय उत्पद्यनो । तादृशरूपादिविशिष्टपरमाणुभिदुग्धद्यणुकमा-रभ्यते । ततः त्रयणुकादिक्रमेण महादुग्धारम्भइति ।--Nyáyabodhiní on Annam Bhatta's Tarkasangraha.) Heat- and light-rays are supposed to consist of indefinitely small particles which dart forth or radiate in all directions rectilineally with a sort of conical dispersion and with inconceivable They may either (1) penetrate through velocity. inter-atomic (or inter-molecular) spaces as in cases of conduction of heat, which when applied under the pot boils the water or fries the paddy, where there is no chemical action in the pot, no decomposition and recomposition of its atoms, no change in the molecular collocation; or, as with light-rays in cases of translucency or transparency (खन्द्रता), penetrate through the inter-atomic spaces with Parispanda of the nature of

115

deflection or refraction (तिय्येग्गमन, Udyotakara), in the same way as when fluids penetrate through porous commenting on Vátsyáyana's परिस्यन्द्परिमनो, Sutra 47, Áhnika 1, Chap. III.); or (2) impinge on the atoms, and rebound back-which explains reflection (मूच्छेन, जिरणविघट्टन be obstructed by the atoms in their path, which would explain degrees of opacity, the casting of shadows, etc., all these operations being also physical, and unattended by decomposition and recomposition or alteration of molecular grouping; or (3) lastly, strike the atoms in a peculiar way, so as to break up their grouping, transform the physico-chemical characters of the atoms, and again recombine them, all by means of continual impact with inconceivable velocity, an operation which explains all cases of chemical combination. (ज्ञचिन्यो हि तेनसो लावधातिशयेन वेगातिशयः यत् प्राचीनाचलचूड़ावलम्बिनि एव भगवति मयूखनालिनि भवनोद्रेषु आलोकइत्यभिमानो लौकिकानान् ।—Udayana, Kiranávalí, तेजोनिरूपणम्, taken from Váchaspati, Tátparyyatiká, प्रत्यस्नरुख्यसूत्रम् । *Cf.* also चाक्षुपं तेजः वेगवता सावित्रेन तेजसा न प्रतिहन्यते ।—Váchaspati. वत्त्तिदेशे पिखितमपि तेजः प्रसर्पत् प्रासादोदरं व्याप्नोति । तत् कस्य हेतोः पृष्वग्रत्वात् । स्वभावतः प्रसरदपि न स्वपरिमाखानुविधायिनं प्रत्ययमाथत्ते किं तु विषयभेदानुविधायिनम् । —ibid. Cf. स्फटिकाद्यनारितोपऌव्धिरपि प्रसादस्वभावतया स्फटिकादोनां तेजोगतेरप्रतिवन्धकतया प्रदीपप्रभावादेवोपपत्ना।—Udayana, तेजोनिरूपणम्, in reply to the objection : यदि हि प्राप्य गृहीयात् प्रतियातिना स्फटिकद्रव्येण विष्टम्भादप्राप्तं प्रसर्पेज्ञृणादिकं नाददीत तस्मादप्राप्पकारि ततो न तेजसम् ।---Udayana, ibid. Definition of खद्धता-द्रव्यानरा-सम्पृक्तद्रव्यसमवायः खच्छता । दृष्टश्राप्रतीघातः काचाधपटलस्फटिकान्नरितो-पलव्धः । स्यात्यादिषु च पाचकस्य तेजसाष्प्रतीघातात् ।---Udyotakara, Chap. III., Áhnika 1, Sutra 38. आदित्यरइने: स्फटिकान्तरितेर्रीप दास्रेरीवयातात्।-Sutra 47, where Udyotakara notes: कोञ्यमविधातः--- यस्य द्रव्यस्यावयवा न व्यूहाले तस्य जनारावयवैः अव्यूद्यमानस्य योग्भिसम्रन्धः सोग्धियात इति । Váchaspati explains यस्य द्रव्यस्य भर्जनत्रपालादेः जवयवा न व्यूह्यले पृद्वोंत्पन्नद्रव्यारम्भकसंयोगनाशेन द्रव्यानरजनकसंयोगोत्पादनं व्यूहनं तन्न क्रियने तस्य द्रव्यस्य भर्जनकपालादे-रव्यूद्धमानस्य अन्तरावयवैयोंऽभिसम्बन्धो वहेः सोऽप्रतिघातः । Cf. Vátsyáyana on Sutra 47, Áhnika 1, Chap. III. On the other hand, in chemical combination, जनःप्रवेशः कृशानोरनुमीयते । तेन वेगवता वहिंदूव्येण नीदनात अभियातात् वा अवयवेषु क्रिया, क्रियातो विभागः, विभागात् जारम्भनसंयोगविनाशः etc.-Jayanta, Mañjarí, भूतचैतन्यपूर्वेपञ्च। For opacity, shadows, etc., vide छाया तु तेजःपरमाखोरावरखात् भूत्तिमता परमाखुना तेजःपरमाखुराब्रियते । यत्र च अस्य आवरणं तत्र च्यायेति । विरऌतेजःसम्बन्धीनि द्रव्यगुणकर्म्भाणि च्याया इत्यभिधीयते । सच्चेतो व्यावृत्ततेजःसम्बन्धीनि तु तानि तमःसंज्ञकानि ।---Udyotakara, Chap. IV., Áhnika 2, Sutra 25. For reflection and its laws, I quote passages in my Paper on Hindu Physics, to which the student of the history of Optics is referred.)

Arrangement of Atoms in Space.—The Nyáya conceives atomic magnitudes as Párimándalya, a term which indicates a spherical shape. (fनतं परिमग्रउलम्— परिमग्रउलमेच पारिमाग्रउल्पम्— Saňkaramisra). To conceive position in space, Váchaspati takes three axes, one proceeding from the point of sunrise in the horizon to that of sunset, on any particular day (roughly speaking, from the east to the west); a second bisecting this line at right angles on the horizontal plane (roughly speaking, from the north to the south); and the third proceeding from the point of their section up to the meridian position of the sun on that day (roughly

speaking, up and down). The position of any point in space, relatively to another point, may now be given by measuring distances along these three directions, *i.e.* by arranging in a numerical series the intervening points of contact, the less magnitude or distance being that which comes earlier in this series, and the greater that which comes later. The position of any single atom in space with reference to another may be indicated in this way with reference to the three axes. But this gives only a geometrical analysis of the conception of three-dimensioned Space, though it must be admitted in all fairness that by dint of clear thinking it anticipates in a rudimentary manner the foundations of solid (co-ordinate) geometry. (एकाचेऽपि दिश: जादित्योदयदेश-प्रत्यास (७० रा सासरार) हुरुरात्राच्यु गिर्द्यासमार पर्शा आर्तायर प्राय प्रत्यासन्नदेशसंयुक्तो यः स इतरस्माद्विप्रकृष्टप्रदेशसंयोगात् परमार्थाः पूर्विः रवमादित्यास्तमयदेशप्रत्यासन्नदेशसंयुक्तो यः स इतरस्माद्विप्रकृष्टदेशसंयोगात् परमार्थाः पश्चिमः तौ च पूर्व्वपश्चिमौ परमार्थ्यू खपेस्य यः सूर्य्योदयास्तमयदेश-विप्रकृष्टदेशसंयागः स मध्यवर्त्ती । रवमेतयोर्थौ तिर्य्यग्देशसम्बन्धिनौ मध्यस्य आर्जवेन व्यवस्थिती पार्श्ववर्त्तिनी ती दक्षिणोत्तरी परमाणू । एवं मध्यन्दिन-वर्त्तिसूर्य्यसनिकर्षविप्रकर्षौ अपेष्ट्य उपर्य्यधोभावो द्रष्टव्यः । संयुक्तसंयोगाल्याव-भूयसने च सन्निकमेविप्रकमौं पृर्व्वेसंख्यावच्छिन्नत्वं च अत्यत्वं परसंख्यावच्छिन्नत्वं च भयस्तम् ।--- Váchaspati, Tátparyyatíká, Chap. IV., Áhnika 2, Sutra 25.)

The original physical arrangement of atoms is also given. Each (spherical) atom is in contact with six other atoms. एवं दिशोर्ऽपि एकस्या अपि संयोगा एव भागा: सोऽयं परमाणो: पट्केन युगपट्योगो मूर्ज्ञेत्नमात्रप्रयुक्तः न सावयवत्वप्रयुक्तः ।—*ibid. Cf.* also the objection in the Buddhist Káriká, पट्केन युगपट्योगे परमाणो: षड़ंशता । पर्णा समानदेशत्वे पिग्धः स्यादणुमात्रकः ॥ This is the typical primordial arrangement, and variations in the collocation of atoms and molecules (ब्यूह, जवयवसन्निवेश), as we have seen, were conceived to account for the variety of isomeric modes of the same Bhúta, as well as of mono-Bhautic and poly-Bhautic compounds.

The molecular arrangement in the case of bi-Bhautic compounds is very peculiar. Two substances, say Earth and Ap (water), form a quasi-compound first, and each substance breaks up into atoms; one atom of Earth comes into contact with one of Ap. But the two do not form a binary molecule. Instead, this contact of heterogeneous atoms leads to a curious result. The atom of Earth combines with a neighbouring atom of its own class, and forms a binary molecule. Simultaneously the atom of Ap combines with another Ap-atom, and forms a binary molecule. Now the first binary molecule links on to the atom of Ap, and similarly the second binary molecule links on to the atom of Earth. The moment after, the two binary molecules take on the physico-chemical characters of Earth and Ap respectively, and simultaneously with the assumption of these physico-chemical characters, the binary molecules enter into complex contact (संयोगनसंयोग). In all this process work is done only in the first instant, in the contact of an atom of Earth with one of Ap; the resulting contacts, of atom with binary molecule and of the binary molecules with each other, involve no further expenditure of Energy. Thus we get a particle holding two binary molecules (of Earth and Ap respectively) in complex contact, and such particles continue to be formed. In this way the particles of the two substances arrange themselves, and the peculiarity of this molecular arrangement explains the resulting mixed or compound qualities

of this class of quasi-compounds. (संयोगजस्तु (संयोगः) उतपत्रमाचस्य चिरोत्पत्रस्य वा निष्क्रियस्य कारण्णसंयोगिकारणः कारणा-कारणसंयोगपूर्व्वकः काय्यीकार्य्यगतः संयोगः । ... एकस्माच द्वयोरुत्पत्तिः । कयम् । यदा पार्थिवाप्ययोरखोः संयोगे सति ज्ञन्येन पार्थिवेन पार्थिवस्य जन्येन ज्ञाप्येन च ञ्चाप्यस्य युगपत्संयोगो भवतस्तदा ताभ्यां संयोगाभ्यां पार्थिवाप्येद्वणुके युगपदारभ्येते । ततो यस्मिन् काल्ठे द्वाणुकयोः कारणगुण्पूर्वक्रमेण रूपाद्युत्यत्तिः तस्मिन्नेव काल्ठे इतरेतरकारणाकारणगतात् संयोगात् इतरेतरकाय्यीकार्य्यगती संयोगौ युगपदुत्पद्येते । किं कारणम् । कारण्मंयोगिना हि ज्ञकारणेन कार्य्यं ज्ञचत्र्यं संयुज्यते इति न्यायः । ज्ञतः पार्थिवं द्वणुकं कारणसंयोगिना ज्ञाप्येन ज्ञणुना सम्बध्यते । ज्ञाप्यमपि द्वणुकं कारणसंयोगिना पार्थिवेनति---Prasastapáda-Bháshya, गुणग्रन्थे संयोगनिरूपणम् ।)

The whole process may be graphically represented as follows :---



Molecules of a bi-Bhautic Quasi-compound : Graphic formula of complex contact.

E = an atom of Earth.	W = an atom of water (Ap)
	$ \bigvee_{W}^{W} = \begin{cases} a \text{ binary molecule of} \\ water (Ap). \end{cases} $

I will conclude this account of ancient (and mediæval) Hindu chemistry with a note on the conception of molecular (atomic) motion, Parispanda, and the different varieties of such motion which were conceived to account for the physical phenomena of sound, light, and heat. Any attempt to differentiate

120

rigidly between Mechanics and Physics on the one hand and Chemistry on the other at this primitive stage would be an idle affectation. My Paper on Hindu Mechanics and Physics will give a detailed exposition in a separate treatise.

PARISPANDA :---RESOLUTION OF ALL PHYSICAL Action into Motion.

Parispanda sometimes stands for motion molar as well as molecular, but more often for the subtile motion of atoms or molecules. The radical meaning of the term is whirling or rotary motion, a circling motion, but it may also include simple harmonic motion (e.g. vibration). All action, operation, work (क्रिया, च्यापार) is ultimately traced to this form of subtile motion lodged in the atoms or in the matter-stuff. The Vedánta, for example, speaks of a cosmic vibratory motion (सञ्चलोनपरिस्पन्दनम् — Sankara). Ákása, in the Vedánta, as we have seen, is the first stadium in the evolution of Matter, which gives off Váyu, which gives off Tejas, and so on; but Ákása (ether) itself passes through two stages before the emanation of the Súkshma-bhúta Váyu: (1) the motionless ubiquitous primordial matter-stuff (answering to the Sánkhya Bhútádi) called Puránam Kham (पुरागं खं); and (2) a subtile integration, the pure un-quintuplicated Súkshma Bhúta called Váyuram Kham (नायुरं खं), answering to the Sánkhya Tanmátra stage. It is this subtile Ákása, in its Tanmátric integration, i.e. in the derivative form, which is subject to an incessant Parispanda. The gaseous stage of matter (the Vedántic Váyu) is indeed

matter in a state of Parispandic motion (वायो: परिस्पन्दा-त्मजान्तात - Sankara). So also the biomotor and sensorimotor principles apart from the directive intelligence of the Self (प्राणस्य परिस्पन्दात्मकत्वादेव-यद्द्वैतम स्यूलं सूक्ष्मच तत्मई मनःस्पन्दितमात्रम् - Sankara). The Sánkhya also conceives this Parispanda to characterise every process and phenomenon of cosmic evolution (यक्तं सक्रियं परिस्यन्दवत् ----Váchaspati, Kaumudí). Bhútas, organisms, mental organs, as modes "of Prakriti (considered apart from the Intelligence of Purusha) are all subject to this Parispanda (बुद्धादयो देहं त्यजनो देहानारं उपाददते इति तेषां परिस्पन्दः । शरीरप्रियादीनां च परिस्पन्दः प्रसिद्ध एव ।--- Váchaspati on Káriká 10). On the other hand, Prakriti as the Avyakta, the a-cosmic, the un-manifest ground, with resolution only of like to like (सद्वापरिणाम), is devoid of all Parispandic motion (यद्यपि जव्यक्तस्यापि परिणामलखणा क्रिया, तथापि परिस्पन्दो नास्ति ।-ibid. on Káriká 10). The Nyáya-Vaiseshika finds Parispanda in all forms of matter, except Ákása, which in that system is non-atomic and incapable of any change or activity (निष्क्रिय). But all atoms, from those of Váyu downwards, are in incessant The world at bottom is an infinitude of conmotion. tinually whirling (or vibratory) particles (ज्ञनवरतपरिस्पन्द-मानापरिमितपवनादिपरमाणवः -- Raghunátha ; compare also Udayana-Kusumáñjali, Stavaka V.---परमाखवः हि गतिज्ञी-लत्वात् पतत्रव्यपदेशाः पतन्तीति ।). All physical action consists in motion. The Nyáya-Vaiseshika rejects force, power, operation (mfm) except as modes of motion. Jayanta, indeed, states : We do not acknowledge any mysterious power or operation which the senses do not and cannot report to us. But this denial of Force (शक्त) and of unperceived and unperceivable operation (ज्ञतीन्द्रियव्यापार) is put forward as a philosophical (epistemological and metaphysical) proposition to justify the Nyáya analysis of the causal nexus into mere invariable and unconditional antecedence among phenomena without productive power or efficiency (जन्मयासिद्धिशन्मस्य नियतपृष्ठेवक्तिता-Bháshá-Parichchheda). It is not, of course, intended to question the existence of Parispanda, which is of the nature of motion, and which, though subtile and therefore infra-sensible (मूह्स and जनुझतरूप, not जतीन्द्रिय), is the ultimate form of all physical activity. (परिस्पन्द एव भौतिको व्यापारः करोत्पर्थः। छतीन्द्रियस्तु व्यापारः नास्तीति ब्रमहे। ... तस्मात् कारकचक्रेण चलता जन्यते फलम्। न पुनघलनादन्यो व्यापार उपलभ्यते।-Jayanta, Nyáyamañjarí, Áhnika 1.) The effect (no less than the action) is, in all cases of material causation. the resultant of the combined motions of the various (material and efficient) causes involved (e.g. in the case of पाक, समुदितदेवदत्तादिसकऌकारकनिकरपरिस्पन्द एव विशि-ष्टमलावच्छिन्नः पाक इत्युच्यते । . . . ज्ञय व्यापार एवैषः सच्चैः संभूय साध्यते । किं फलेनापराइं वः तडि संभूय साध्यताम् ।-Jayanta, Nyáyamañjarí, Áhnika 1.)

But, in the Nyáya-Vaiseshika, though all action of matter on matter is thus resolved into motion, conscious activity is sharply distinguished from all forms of motion, as against the Sáńkhya-Vedánta, which, as we have seen, considered everything other than Intelligence, the Purusha or the transcendental Self, to arise in the course of cosmic evolution, and therefore to be subject to Parispandic motion. (क्रियाविशेष एवायं व्यापारो झानुरान्तर: । स्यन्दात्मजवहिंसूतक्रियाऌखणविऌखण: I—Quoted in Jayanta's Nyáyamañjarí, Áhnika 4.)

ADDENDA.

Empirical Recipes from Varáhamihira (*circa* 550 a.d.) relating to Chemical Technology.

A. Searing of hard rocks to enable them to be cut (or pulverised) (জিন্তারায়ে).

Sprinkle on the rock taken red-hot from the fire of Palasa and Tinduka wood (Butea Frondosa and Diospyros Embryopteris):—(a) diluted milk, or (b) a solution of wood ashes (the ashes of the *Mokshaka* mixed with those of reeds), or (c) a decoction of (the fruit of) the jujube (Zizyphus Jujuba) kept standing for seven nights in a mixture of whey, vinegar, and spirits, in which Kulattha (Dolichos Uniflorus or Biflorus) has been steeped, or (d) a solution of the ashes of the Neema bark and leaves (Azadirachta Indica), the sesame-pod, the resinous fruit of the Diospyros Embryopteris, and the Guduchi (Tinospora Cordifolia), with cow's urine. Repeat the process seven times (in the last case six times).—(Varáhamihira, Vrihat Samhitá, Chap. XXXIII., Ślokas 112–117.)

B. Hardening of steel (शस्त्रपान).

(1) Plunge the steel red-hot into a solution of plantain ashes in whey, kept standing for twenty-four hours; then sharpen on the lathe.

(2) Make a paste with the juice of the Arka (Calotropis Gigantea), the gelatine from the horn of the sheep, and the dung of the pigeon and the mouse; apply it to the steel after rubbing the latter well with (sesame) oil. Plunge the steel thus treated into fire; and when it is red-hot, sprinkle on it water, or the milk of the horse (or the camel or the goat), or ghee (clarified butter), or blood, or fat or bile. Then sharpen on the lathe.—(Varáhamihira, खड़गउछाण, Chap. XLIX., Ślokas 23-26.)

C. Preparation of cements (for rocks, metals, etc.) (वज्र छेप).

Varáhamihira gives the following recipes among others :---

(1) First, prepare a levigated powder with lac, the resinous exudation of the Pinus Devadara, the Balsamodendron Mukal, the Feronia Elephantum, the kernel of the fruit of the Ægle Marmelos (the *bel*), the Diospyros Embryopteris, the Neem (Azadirachta Indica), the Mhow (Bassia Latifolia), the Indian madder (Rubia Manjistha), the Phyllanthus Emblica, and the resin of the Sala tree (Shorea Robusta); then make a decoction of this in 256 Palas of water reduced by boiling to 32 Palas, and apply the decoction hot.

(2) The horns of cows, buffaloes, and goats, asses' hair, buffaloes' skin, with *gavya* (cow's urine, etc.), the Neem (Azadirachta Indica), and the Feronia Elephantum, similarly treated.

(3) A mixture of eight parts of lead, two of "bellmetal," and one of brass, melted and poured hot (Maya's cement).

The first, it will be seen, has lac, gum, and turpentine as principal ingredients; the second makes use of gelatine; and the third is a metallic cement. D. Nourishment of Plants (from Varáhamihira, Chap. LIV., on नुष्ठायुद्धेद).

The most suitable ground to plant in is soft soil that has been sown with the Sesamum Indicum, and dug up or trodden over with the sesame in flower. Grafts should be smeared with cow-dung. For transplanting, the plants should be smeared with ghee (clarified butter), sesame oil, the honey of the Kshudra variety of the bee, the oil of the Usira (Andropogon Laniger or Andropogon Citrarum), the Vidanga (Embelia Ribes), milk, and cow-dung. Trees should be planted at intervals of 20 or 16 cubits.

As a sort of general prophylactic, mud kneaded with ghee (clarified butter) and Vidanga (Embelia Ribes) should be applied to the roots, after which milk diluted with water should be poured. As a remedy against barrenness a hot decoction should be made of Kulattha (Dolichos Uniflorus or Biflorus), Masha (Phaseolus Roxburghii), Mudga (Phaseolus Mungo), Tila (Sesamum Indicum), and Yava (barley), which, when cooled, should be poured round the roots.

To promote inflorescence and fructification, a mixture of one Ádhaka (64 Palas) of sesame, two Ádhakas (128 Palas) of the excreta of goat or sheep, one Prastha (16 Palas) of barley powder, one Tula (100 Palas) of beef, thrown into one Drona (256 Palas) of water, and standing over for seven nights, should be poured round the roots of the plant. The measures given are for one plant.

To ensure inflorescence, etc., the seed before being sown should be treated as follows :---The seeds should be taken up in the palm greased with ghee (clarified butter) and thrown into milk; on the day following the seeds should be taken out of the milk with greased fingers and the mass separated into single seeds. This process is to be repeated on ten successive days. Then the seeds are to be carefully rubbed with cow-dung, and afterwards steamed in a vessel containing the flesh of hogs or deer. Then the seeds are to be sown with the flesh, with the fat of hogs added, in a soil previously prepared by being sown with sesame and dug up or trodden down.

To ensure the formation of Ballarís (i.e. sprouting and the growth of luxuriant stems and foliage), the seeds should be properly soaked in an infusion of powdered paddy, Masha (bean), sesame, and barley, mixed with decomposing flesh, and then steamed with Haridrá (turmeric). This process will succeed even with the Tintidí (Tamarindus Indica). For the Kapittha (Feronia Elephantum), the seeds should be soaked for about two minutes (lit. such length of time as it would take one to make a hundred rhythmic claps with the palms-तालज्ञाद) in a decoction of eight roots (Ásphota, Ámalaki, Dhava, Vásika, Vetasa, Suryyavalli, Syáma, and Atimukta, *i.e.* the jasmine, the myrobalan, the Grislea Tomentosa, the Justicia Ganderussa, the Calamus Rotang, the Gymandropsis Pentaphylla, the Echites Frutescens, and the Dalbergia Oujeinensis) boiled in milk. The seeds should then be dried in the sun. This process should be repeated for thirty days. A circular hole should be dug in the ground, a cubit in diameter and two cubits deep, and this should be filled

with the milky decoction. When the hole dries up, it should be burnt with fire, and then pasted over with ashes mixed with ghee and honey. Three inches of soil should now be thrown in, then the powder of bean, sesame, and barley, then again three inches of soil. Finally, washings of fish should be sprinkled, and the mud should be beaten and reduced to a thick consistency, then the seed previously prepared should be placed in the hole under three inches of soil, and fish-washings poured. This will lead to luxuriant ramification and foliage, which will excite wonder. The Agnipurána adds that the mango is specially benefited by cold fish-washings (मतस्योदकेन ज्ञोतेन आम्रानां चेकः इप्यते—वृक्षायुद्वेंद, Agnipurána). It will be seen that these elaborate recipes are empirical contrivances for supplying the requisite nitrogen compounds, phosphates, etc., these being potentially contained in the mixtures and infusions prescribed.

128
CHAPTER II.

HINDU IDEAS ON MECHANICS (KINETICS).

SECTION 1.

ANALYSIS OF MOTION.

In his Bhâşya¹ on the Vaiśeşika¹ Aphorisms, written probably in the third or fourth century of the Christian era, if not earlier, Prasastapâda begins the Section on Motion (कम्मेग्रन्थ) with the definition of karma (motion, lit. work) as the unconditional cause of conjunction and disjunction, i.e. of change of place in a particle (संयोगविभागनिरपेखनारणं). He regards karma (motion) as instantaneous (আणिक) in its simplest form, distinguishing it from vega (impressed motion, momentum), which is a persistent tendency, Sanskâra, and implies a series of motions. Accordingly in one and the same particle there can be only one motion (karma) at any given moment, since its change of place at that moment is one and definite (एकदा एकसिन द्रव्ये एकमेव कम्मे वर्त्तते। प्रशस्तपादभाष्य, कम्मे पदार्थनिरूपणं). The supposition of two (instantaneous) motions in the same particle is superfluous. They may be so opposed as to neutralise each other, in which case the particle would be at rest. If they are not so opposed, and motion (*i.e.* an instantaneous change of place) follows, then, since this change of place is a definite change, one

¹ The system of transliteration adopted in Chapters II.-VI. differs from that adopted in Chapter I. and Chapter VII.

motion would be sufficient to account for it, and the hypothesis of two motions would be meaningless (अय ज्ञावरुद्धकम्मेद्ययसमावेश: तदा एकस्मादेव उपपन्ने: दितोयकच्यनावेयव्येम् —Praśastapâda). One and the same motion can effect only one particle, as the changes of place of different particles must be different (एक कम्मे न ज्ञनेकच चत्ते—Ibid.).

Now motion is always marked by a certain direction (दिग्विशिष्टकार्यारम्भकत्वमस्य विशेष:).

(i) The successive motions of a particle may be in the same direction (rectilinear), e.g. (a) upward or downward vertical motion, as in throwing upwards or downwards in the case of objects moved by volition directly or indirectly (ज्त्स्वेपणं, अपसेपणं), or (b) other forms of rectilinear motion, contraction, dilation (आजुचनं, प्रसारणं); or

(ii) the directions of the successive motions may be different, as in curvilinear motion (यदनियतदिक्प्रदेश-संयोगविभागकारणं तद्गमनं), e.g. ध्रमण (rotatory motion), स्पन्दन (vibratory motion), etc. All these are varieties of Gamana (गमन, curvilinear motion). उत्रक्षेपणादिशन्दै: जनवरुद्वानां ध्रमणपतनस्पन्दनादीनां खवरोधार्थं गमनग्रहणं कृतम्—*Ibid.*; cf. Śankara Miśra, गमनत्वं च ज्ञातिविशेष: ध्रमणरेचनस्पन्दनोद्धर्व-चल्ठननमनोन्नमनादिष्वांप. In another sense, all kinds of motion in material (inanimate) objects, whether rectilinear or curvilinear, are called Gamana (गमन).

(एतत् पच्चविधमपि कम्मे शरीरावयवेषु च तत् सम्वडेषु तत् प्रत्ययं जसत्-प्रत्ययं च । यत् जन्यत् जप्रत्ययमेव तेषु जन्येषु च तत् गमनमिति Prasastapâda, कर्मग्रन्थ)।

Single particles, then, may have a serial motion. When particles (ज्वययता:) combine to form a body (ज्वययती), they may move continuously in a straight line, in

which case the body is said to move in that direction (अवयविक्रियाया यावदवयवक्रियानियतत्वात्, the action of a composite whole is determined by the action of the constituent parts taken together). But different particles may move in different directions, or again, the particles may have a curvilinear motion, and in such cases it appears as if different motions are impressed on the body, e.g. the falling leaf driven by the wind may have a rotatory or vibratory motion (भ्रमण, स्पन्दन) and a vertical downward motion (पतन) at the same time. Here each particle of the leaf taken separately has only one motion or change of place at the same moment, but from the point of view of the observer दूधा, the particles have a rotatory or vibratory motion in one relation, and the leaf as a whole has a downward motion in another relation. The motion at any instant is really one, but for convenience of analysis we consider the rotatory change of place as separate from the change of place in the downward direction. एकस्मिन कम्मेणि युगपत दृष्ट्रणां भ्रमणपतनप्रवेशनप्रत्ययाः कथं भवन्ति । अत्र ज्ञुन अवयवावयविनो दिग्विशिष्ट-संयोगविभागानां भेदात --- Ibid.

SECTION 2.

MOTION CONSIDERED IN RELATION TO ITS CAUSES

Various kinds of motion are observed :--

I. Movements which are not caused by contact with matter :---

(1) Movements caused by volition (म्रयल), e.g. the movement of the hand.

(2) Movement as of a falling body. This is caused K 2

by gravity (गुरूत), which in the astronomical treatises of Âryabhata, Brahmagupta, and Bhâskara is ascribed to the attraction (जाकपेंग, pulling force) exercised by the earth on a material body. The force of gravity may be counteracted by volition (रिवधारकप्रयत्न), as in holding up the hand, or by contact, as when a body rests on a support, or by Vega (चेग), impressed motion, as in the flying arrow which is kept from falling by the motion impressed on it.

(3) Motion of fluids, as the downward flow in a stream (स्यन्दन). This is due to fluidity (द्रवल), which is a characteristic property of certain kinds of atoms, in some cases original, in others produced by the contact of these atoms with the atomic particles of heat (e.g. in the fire). But Śankara Miśra points out that this property, fluidity, is only a concomitant condition (जन्तमन्तार्यकारण); the efficient cause (निमिन्नकारण) is even in this case gravity (गुरुत्व) in the particles of the fluid. यत्दूरसंसरणं स्यन्दनं तत् द्रवत्वात् असमवार्ग्यकारणात् उत्पद्धते, गुरुत्वात् निमिन्नकारणात् आसमवार्ग्यकारणेषु (Sankara Miśra, Upaskàra, on Sûtra 4, Âhnika 2, Chap. V., of the Vaiśeşika Sûtras).

(4) Certain motions, not due to material contact, of which the mechanical causes are unknown, and which may be ascribed to the universal final cause, Adrista ($\underline{w} \overline{q} \overline{v}$), e.g. the first motion in atoms at the beginning of Creation, the upward motion of fiery particles or atoms, and the oblique motion of gaseous particles, $v \hat{a} y u$ ($\overline{u} \overline{q}$), the movement of iron towards the magnet, capillary motion ($\overline{u} \widehat{u} + u \overline{u} \overline{u}$) as of liquid particles from the root to the stem of a plant. The upward motions (आरोह्ण) of water-particles in evaporation and in boiling do not require the hypothesis of Adrista, as these are caused by the pressure of heat-corpuscles (तेज: परमाणु) and the contact with air-particles (नोदनापोडनात् संयुक्तसंयोगाच—Vaiśeșika Sûtra, Chap. V., Âhnika 2).

MEANING OF ADRISTA (सदृष्ट).

Adrista (lit. unseen) stands for "unknown cause" or "unexplained Nature" in the earlier Vaiśeşika writers. Several classes of cases falling under Adrista are distinguished, *e.g.*—

(1) The operation of merit and demerit (unit and unit), a transcendental cause, which has to be posited in explaining the conjunctions and disjunctions of souls with their organic vehicles (bodies), which cannot be ascribed to natural causes, but presuppose the law of Karma, or the operation of moral causation, as super-imposed on the natural order.

(2) Various kinds of motion in the different classes of elements, e.g. their natural modes of operation, such as the dispersion of vâyu (air, gas), the upward motion of fire, the attraction of the needle by the magnet, etc., motions which serve the ends of Creation and of created beings (उपकारक अपकारक). Such natural properties must be ascribed to Adrista, final causality (उपकारापकारसमध अदृष्टकारिन), provided the cause cannot be ascertained by observation or inference.

1. लथवृत्तिभ्याम् धम्मीधम्मीभ्याम् जम्मोत्पिद्यते--Ibid. जपसपैयाकम्म उपसपैयाकम्म, etc.

2. एवमन्यदपि महाभूतेषु याग्रत्यछानुमानाभ्यामनुपऌभ्यमानकारणं उपका-रापकारसमर्थं च भवति तदपि छदुष्टकारितं—Ibid. Jayanta in the Nyâya-Manjarî notes that Adrișta is resorted to in explanation of observed phenomena only when these cannot be derived in any way from the operation of known causes—यदि अदृष्टमलरेख दृष्टं न सिद्धांत काममदृष्टं कल्प्पताम् अन्यपा अपितु तदुपपत्नौ किं तदुपकल्पनेन। दृष्टसिद्वयेहि अदृष्टं कल्प्पत्ने नतु दृष्टवियाताय । न्यायमञ्चरी—Âhnika 1.

Similarly, Jayanta notes that, when anything is put down as natural (स्वाभाविक), we may mean either that it has no cause or no uniform cause, or no known cause. Of these the first two alternatives must always be dismissed; and "natural" can only mean something of which the cause has not yet been ascertained (स्वाभाविकं नाम किमुच्यते किमहेतुकं द्यविज्ञातहेतुकं जन्यतहेतुकं वा। न तावदहेतुकं कार्य्य सम्भवति नापि जन्यतहेतुकं कार्य्य किच्चिद्सित - न्यायमञ्चरो -चार्वाकमतनिरास ।)

This sound interpretation of Adrista was afterwards obscured. In modern writers of the Nyâya-Vaiśesika school, physical and mechanical ideas have suffered a set-back, and even the formation of the hail-stone is ascribed to the operation of moral causes (भर्माधर्म).

II. We come now to motions produced by contact (संयोग). Such motions may be classed as follows, according to the nature of the contact originating them :---

(1) Motion due to direct contact with a body exercising continued pressure $(\bar{\pi}i\bar{\epsilon}\pi)$, e.g. the motion of an object pushed or pulled by the hand, the motion of the mud under heavy stones, the motion of the arrow due to the pressure exercised by the bow-string, the motion of the bow-string due to the pressure of the elastic bow as it recovers its original shape, the motion of clouds, of volumes of dust, of balloons, sailing vessels and other vehicles under the impelling force (pressure, नोदन, प्रेरण) of the wind, etc. वायुमें घादिप्रेरणधारणादिसमर्थः Prasastapâda, वायुनिरूपण-मेघादीत्यादिपदेन यानपोतादिपरिग्रहस्तेषामपि वायुना प्रेय्येमाणत्वात् । Śrîdhara मेधादीति ज्ञादिग्रहणात् वैहायसानां विमाना-दोनां (balloons in the sky) भौमादीनां च पानपाचपां शुपटलादीनां जलानलयोश्च परिग्रहः (Udayana, Kiranâvalî, वायुनिरूपणम्).

N.B.—Udayana makes a similar reference to balloons filled with gas or smoke (धूमायूरितचम्मेपुटजं) in discussing the opinion that air has weight (Kiranâvalî, चायुनि-रूपणम्). These passages show that balloons were known in Udayana's time (970 A.D.—vide उद्यन, Lakṣanâvalî).

(2) Motion due to direct contact for an instant with a body that strikes and produces an impact (ज्ञाभियात), e.g. in the cases of a stone falling against a hard object (पापायागीदषु निष्ठरेवस्तुनि ज्ञाभिषतितेषु—Śrîdhara), the potter's rod striking the wheel, the mortar struck against the pestle. Instantaneous disjunction is necessary to impact. If there is continued contact, the result is pressure (नोदन). In some cases there may be disjunction (*i.e.* a rebound) after continued pressure (पत्र ज्ञाभिषातकं द्रव्यं भूप्रदेशं ज्ञाभिहत्य किच्चिदधो नोत्वा उत्त्पतति—ग्रीधर—न्यायकन्दऌो).

(3) Motion due to direct contact with an elastic body which exercises a moving force by means of its elasticity (स्थितिस्थापकल) in the act of restitution of the original form (यथास्थितं स्थापयति), e.g. the motion of the bow-string due to the force exercised by the piece of bamboo (the bent bow). This force of restitution in an elastic body is a kind of sanskâra, i.e. persistent tendency (धनु:शाखाशृङ्गदन्तास्थिम् यचस्तादिषु भुग्नसम्बद्धितेषु स्थितिस्था-पकत्वकार्थ्व संरूक्ष्यते—प्रश्तसपादभाष्य, bows, twigs, tooth-bones, horn, thread, cloth, etc., are noted as elastic). (4) Motion due to contact with a body which is itself in contact with another which possesses Vega (impressed motion or momentum) (चेगवर्द्र व्यसंयुक्तमंयोग). This is the fact of the transmission of pressure or moving force, and the consequent production or communication of motion, as, for example, in the pulling of an object by means of a string, the pushing of the potter's wheel by the potter's rod, etc.

SECTION 3.

CAUSE OF MOTION, OR FORCE.

Force is of the following kinds :----

1. Continued pressure (नोदन).

2. Impact (ज्ञभियात).

3. Persistent tendency (संस्कार), of which there are two kinds: (a) Vega (चेंग, impressed motion, momentum), the persistent tendency to motion in a moving body, and (b) the tendency to restitution of shape in an elastic body (स्थितिस्थापकसंस्कार).

N.B.—The psychical sanskâra (भावना) is here omitted.

4. Transmitted force, as in pulling by a string, pushing by a rod, etc.

- 5. Gravity.
- 6. Fluidity.
- 7. Volition.
- 8. Adrista, comprising various unknown agencies.

In every case of motion produced by contact, Vega is a contributory cause, as the body originating the motion must possess Vega (impressed motion, momentum).

The Concept of Vega (वेगाव्यसंस्कार).

A motion (karma) being conceived as a change of place in a particle is held to be incapable of producing another motion; but the pressure, impact, or other force which produces the first motion produces through that motion a sanskâra or persistent tendency to motion (Vega), which is the cause of continued motion in a straight line, *i.e.* in the direction of the first motion (fनयतदिक्किया प्रवन्धहेतु: यद्दिगाभिमुख्येन क्रियावेगो जन्यते तद् दिगभिमुखतेयैव क्रियासन्तानस्य हेतुरिति—- श्रोधर, न्याय-कन्दलो, संस्कार-निम्हपणम्).

The Vaiśesikas accept one sanskâra (impressed motion, momentum) lasting till the cessation of the motion. Udyotakara and other writers of the Nyâya school suppose a series of sanskâras, each generating the one that succeeds it. (संस्कारेख उत्तरोत्तर कम्मेसनानो जायते खजन्योज्ञरसंयोगेन कर्मणि नष्टे संस्कारेण कम्मानरजननात एक एव संस्कारः कम्मेसलानजनकः नतु कम्मेसलानवत् संस्कारसलानोऽपि अभ्युपगन्तुमुचितः----शङ्करामिन्न।) It will be seen that the Nyâya view is adequate to explain acceleration, which it logically implies. The force of sanskâra (शाक) diminishes by doing work (कार्यकरणात) against a counteracting force, and when the sanskâra is in this way entirely destroyed, the moving body comes to rest (संस्कारो यावत्पतनमनुवर्द्तते । यथा यथा चास्य कार्य्यकरणात् इाक्तिः छीयते तथा तथा कार्य्यमन्दतरतमादि-seen, corresponds to inertia in some respects, and to momentum (impressed motion) in others. This is the nearest approach to Newton's First Law of Motion in the Vaiśesika theory of motion.

Vega (impressed motion), or this tendency to move on in a straight line, is counteracted by contact with tangible objects (स्पर्शवद्गद्रव्यसंयोग), e.g. by impact or friction, including friction with the still atmosphere (क्तिभितवायु), as in the case of the arrow.

Vega (momentum) produces work in opposition to the resisting force, and thereby becomes weaker and weaker (मन्दतर मन्दतम) until it comes to an end (तत्र वेगो मूर्त्तिमत्मु पच्चमु द्रव्येषु निमित्तविशेषापेक्षात् कम्मैणा जायते, नियत-दिक्-क्रिया-प्रबन्धहेतुः स्पर्शवह्द्रव्यसंयोग-विशेषविरोधो Prasastapâda, संस्कार-निरूपणम्—मन्दस्तु वेगः स्पर्शवह्द्रव्यसंयोगमात्रेण विनश्यति यथा छतिदूरगतस्य इधोः स्तिमितवायु-प्रतिवद्धस्य—श्रीधर, संस्कारनिरूपणम्).

Causes of Pressure (नोदन) and of Impact (अभियात).

Pressure is produced by contact acting in conjunction with Vega (impressed motion), elasticity, gravity, fluidity or volition, *e.g.* the Vega of the wind produces pressure ($\neg i \not \not a \neg$) on the grass, that of a current of water on the reed, that of the bow-string on the arrow. Gravity with contact produces pressure, as when the earth sinks under a heavy load.

Impact is produced by contact with a body possessing Vega (impressed motion) where the contact is instantly followed by disjunction (or rebounding). If the contact continues, the result is pressure (नोदन). (तत्र नोदन गुरूत्व-द्रवत्व-वेग-प्रत्यत्नान् समस्त-व्यस्तान् अपेह्यामान: यः संयोग-विशेष: नोदनं ज्ञविभाग-हेतु: एकस्य कर्म्मणः कारणं तस्मात् चतुषु अपि महाभूतेषु कर्म्म भवति वेगापेछो यः संयोग-विशेष: विभागहेतु: एकस्य कर्म्मणः कारणं स चभियात तस्माद पि चतुर्षु-महाभूतेषु कर्म्म भवति—प्रशस्तपाद-भाष्य।)

It is expressly noted that the four elements, earth,

water, air, and fire, are all subject to the forces of pressure and impact. Pressure and impact may be of different degrees (तोव्रमन्दादिभेद). So also Vega (impressed motion, momentum).

Illustrations of Combination of Forces.

(1) Pressure acting concurrently with impressed motion or Vega, as when the moving hand possessing Vega throws the quoit or a projectile. (पाणिमुझेपु गमनविधिः) (ततः संस्कारनोदनाभ्यां तावत् क्षमाणि भवन्ति यावत् इस्ततोमर-विभाग इति—प्रशस्तपादभाष्य ।)

Similarly, in the case of the bow-string impelling the arrow, or the potter's wheel impelled by the rod, the first motion is due to pressure (नोदन), and results in a sanskâra (persistent tendency to motion, impressed motion or momentum), but the subsequent motions are produced by the pressure acting concurrently with the sanskâra (impressed motion). (तस्मात् संस्कारात् नोदन-सहायात् तावत् कम्मीर्थि भवन्ति यावत् इषुज्या-विभागः—प्रशस्त-पाद, कम्मैग्रन्थ— प्रथमं चक्रावयविनि दख्डसंयोगात् कम्मै उत्पद्यते उत्तररोत्तराणि कम्मीर्थि आभघातात् कम्मैजात् संस्कारात् च भवन्ति दख्डविगमे तु चक्रं तदवयवेषु च संस्कारादेव केवलात् । श्रीधर, न्याय-कन्द्लो, कर्म्भग्रन्थ।)

(2) Impact (अभिघात) with impressed motion (संस्कार), as when the mortar thrown by the hand rebounds after striking the pestle (संस्कारापेछात् अभिघातात् मुसले उत्पतन-कम्मे — प्रशस्तपाद भाष्य).

(3) Pressure (नोदन) acting concurrently with impact (ज्ञाभयात), as when the mud sinks when we strike against the ground with the feet. Here, if the feet be not in contact with the mud, but only with the surrounding ground, there is transmitted force (संयुक्तसंयोग).

(पदादिभिर्नुद्यमानायामभिहन्यमानायाम् वा पंकाख्यायां पृषिच्यां यत् संयो-गोनोदनाभिघातयोरन्यतरापेछो जभयापेछो वा स संयुक्तसंयोगः । तस्मार्दाप पृषिच्यादिषु कम्मे भवति ।---Prasastapada.)

(4) Gravity concurrently with sanskâra or persistent tendency, as in the case of a falling body in the second and following instants. Also the case of a stone thrown against the mud, where gravity (the weight of the stone) combines with the Vega of the stone to produce motion in the mud (Praśastapâda). (साद्य कर्म्म गुरुत्वात् भवति । तेन कर्म्मणा संस्कार: क्रियते तदनलरं उत्तरकर्म्माणि गुरुत्वसंस्काराभ्यां जायते डयोरपि प्रत्येकं अन्यच सामर्थ्यावधारणात् — ज्वीधर, न्याय-कन्दल्ली, कर्म्मग्रन्थे सन्प्रत्यय-कर्म्मनिरूपणन्।) This case will be further noticed below.

Udyotakara, the commentator on the Nyâya-Bhâşya, states that a heavier body falls to the ground with greater Vega (and velocity) than one that is lighter. Udyotakara also holds, and Śrîdhara agrees with him, that the gravity (गुरुत्व) of a body (जवयवी) as a whole composed of particles (जवयवा:) is not the same as the sum of the gravities of the particles. There is a difference in amount which is, however, so small as to be imperceptible. The concept of mass in the New Mechanics of Lorenz may lend some countenance to this curious metaphysical speculation. (Śrîdhara, गुणग्रन्य, गुरुत्वfनरूपणन्।)

(5) Volition acting concurrently with gravity, as in lifting up the hand. This is accompanied by transmission when an object, *e.g.* the quoit, is lifted by the hand.

Sanskâra (impressed motion, momentum), with or without pressure (नोदन) or impact (ज्ञभियात), may be transmitted (दराइसंयुक्तस्य जवयवस्य उत्तरोत्तरकर्म्नाणि संस्कारात् नोदनाच जपरेषां संस्कारात् संयुक्तसंयोगाच ।—Śrîdhara).

Composition of Gravity with Vega (Momentum).

When a body is let go and falls to the ground, the force acting on it is gravity ($\eta \in \pi$), which the astronomers ascribe to the attraction of the earth. Motion is produced in the first instance by gravity alone, and this leads to a sanskâra (impressed motion) in the same direction. But the force of gravity continues to operate, so that, in the moments following the first, the motion is due to gravity as well as sanskâra. The resultant motion is one, but both the causes must be conceived as contributing to the resultant. The reason for supposing this combined action is that both gravity and sanskâra (impressed motion or momentum) are seen elsewhere to produce motion separately.

In the case of the falling body, therefore, there is the composition of the two, gravity and Vega, acting in the same direction (उभयसमादेश:) from the second instant onwards. It is as if two motions coalesced and resulted in one.

Here a good foundation is laid for the explanation of the accelerated motion of falling bodies, but Galileo's discovery was not anticipated, as Galileo's observations and measurements of motion were wanting. (जाद्यं कर्म्म गुरुत्वात् भवति तेन कर्म्मणा संस्कार: क्रियते तदननरं उत्तरकर्म्माणि गुरुत्व-संस्काराभ्याम् जायन्ते द्वयोरपि प्रायेकं जन्यत्र सामध्यावधारणात् — श्रीधर, कर्म्मग्रंथ — तत् जाद्यं गुरुत्वात् द्वितीयादीनि तु गुरुत्वसंस्काराभ्याम्. — प्रशस्तपाद, कर्म्मग्रन्थ ।)

But in the case of the flying arrow or other projectile, the impulsive force which produces Vega counteracts the force of gravity; in the end, this Vega is lost through friction with air, and then gravity (गुरुन) brings the arrow to the ground. The meaning of this "counter-action" is not clear. Is it intended that the action of gravity is suspended as long as the Vega continues? We have seen that, in the case of a body let fall, Praśastapâda expressly states that gravity (गुह्ल) and sanskâra (Vega, momentum) both act in the second and following instants. Prasastapada seems to have thought that some sanskâras (e.g. the Vega of an arrow or other projectile) suspend the action of gravity (गुरुनं संयोगप्रयल मंस्कारविरोधि, Prasastapada, गुणग्रन्थ। वेगेन प्रतिवन्धात् छपतनं वहिःधिमस्य शरशलाकादेः निवृत्ते नोदने कर्म्माणि उत्तरोत्तराणि इषु-संस्कारादेवापतनादिति Prasastapada, जम्मैग्रन्थ). Other sanskâras (e.g. in the case of a falling body) coalesce with gravity to produce a single resultant motion. The later commentators (from Śridhara downwards) certainly interpret the Vaisesika Sûtras in this sense.

Motion of a Particle in the Case of a Composition of Forces.

Any number of motions or Vegas may be impressed on a particle, but so long as these are in a uniform direction (fनयतदिग्रिशिष्ट) the resultant motion or Vega is in a straight line, and may be conceived as one (fइतरेयजन्यना-चैयर्घेयन, Praśastapâda, जर्म्नग्रन्थ). It is only when we come to Gamana (curvilinear motion) and its causes that the question of composition assumes a real significance. In all such cases, each separate particle has only one Vega (impressed motion) in a definite direction (fनयत्तदिगाभिमुख्य) at any given instant, but the composition of the successive motions and Vegas in the

same particle produces the curvilinear motion (गमन), e.g. the rotation of each constituent particle of the potter's wheel. The motion of the body (अवयवो, e.g. the wheel) results from the combined motions of the particles (सवयवा:). If pressure or impact produces motion in an opposite direction to the Vega already impressed on the body, the original direction would be changed, as is seen in the case of rebounding (जत्पतन) after impact (ज्ञाभघात). The mortar rebounding after striking the pestle is a typical instance of such change of direction in Vega or motion. The impressed force, e.g. impact (ज्ञाभयात), produces a changed motion in a different direction. One view is that the original Vega (momentum) is destroyed before a new motion and a new Vega are produced by the impact. Others hold that the impact does not destroy the original Vega (momentum), but conjointly with it produces the changed motion and, through such motion, a changed Vega in a new direction. (उलूखलनुसलयोराभयाताख्य: संयोगो-मुसलगतचेगमपेधमाणः मुसले उत्पतनकर्मे करोति यद्यपि प्राक्षनसंस्कारो विनष्टः तथापि मुसलोलूखलयोः संयोगः पदुकम्मीत्पादकः तस्य संस्कारारम्भ-साचिव्यसमयों भवति अथवा प्राझन एव पटु संस्कारः अभियातान् अविनश्यन् खवरिषत इति et seq., Prasastapada, जम्मेग्रन्थ ।)

Typical Cases of Curvilinear Motion (Gamana).

Vortical Motion.—This is due to the contact of two bodies moving in opposite directions with a like or equal Vega, *e.g.* two currents of air or water meeting from opposite directions. The change of direction is seen in the fact that water which flows downwards, or air which moves obliquely, may receive an upward motion as the result of such collision. (ज्ञय किमिदं संमूर्छनं नाम। समानजवयोः (तुल्यवेगयोः) वाग्वोर्विरुद्ध-दिक्क्रिययोः सन्निपातः Prasastapada, वायुनिरूपणं). The scholiast Udayana adds : वाग्वोरितिप्रकृतवेन स्पर्शवतोरिति तु विवक्षितम् । ज्ञपां यथा ट्रव्यानर-मंमूर्च्छनात् जर्द्ध्वगमनं परस्पराभिहतनदीपयः — उदयन, ट्रव्यकिरणावल्ली. Śridhara notes : तिय्येग्गतिस्तभाव-ट्रव्यौदर्ध्वगतित्वात् परस्पराभिहत-जलतरङ्गौद्ध्वेगमनवत् ज्रसमानवेगयो संमूर्च्छनं न भवति एकेन ज्रपरस्य विजयात् — Śridhara.)

Vibratory Motion (जम्पन, स्पन्दन).

This will be analysed in the chapter on Acoustics.

Rotatary Motion (भ्रमण).

Each particle of the rotating body, e.g. the potter's wheel (चक्र), has, at any given instant, a motion in a definite direction. The rotatory motion of the body results from the separate motions of the particles and their persistent tendencies (संस्ताराः), joined with the fact of the rigid conjunction of the particles. When the rod strikes one part of the wheel, the motion in the part struck is in the first instant produced by impact (ज्ञाभयात); while the other parts move through the transmission of force due to the rigid cohesion of parts. The subsequent motions in the part struck are due to continued pressure (नोदन) and the persistent tendency (#Enit) set up by the first motion ; while the subsequent motions in the other parts are explained by their own persistent tendencies and the transmission due to rigid cohesion. When the rod is disjoined from the wheel the rotatory motion continues, being due merely to the

persistent tendencies in the constituent parts and the resultant persistent tendency in the whole.

Other varieties of curvilinear motion in bodies are to be similarly explained (*i.e.* by the composition of Vegas) (तपा चक्रादिषु अवयवानाम् पाइवैतः प्रतिनियतदिग्देशसंयोगविभा-गोत्पत्नी यदवयविनः संस्कारादनियतदिग्देशसंयोगविभागनिमित्तं कम्मै तट्-भ्रमणमिति । ष्टवमादयो गमनविशेषाः—Prasastapâda,—कम्मैग्रन्य । एवं वेगात् दर्ग्डसंयोगचक्रावयवे आद्यं कम्मै दर्ग्डर्डयोगात् । अवयवान्तरेषु च संयुक्तसंयोगात् दर्ग्डसंयुक्तस्य अवयवस्य उत्तरोत्तरजम्मीर्थि संस्कारात् नोदनात् च । अपरेषां संस्कारात् संयुक्तसंयोगात् च दर्ग्डविगमेतु चक्रे तदवयवेषु च संस्कारादेव केवलात् एवमादयो गमनविशेषाः—Srîdhara).

SECTION 4.

MOTION OF FLUIDS.

Current motion (स्यन्दन, downward flow in a stream), upward motion (चारोहण, e.g. evaporation, boiling, etc.), and capillary motion (ज्ञाभसपेण, as in plants and porous vessels) are three varieties of fluid motion which require explanation. To this may be added vortical motion (मंमूच्छेन), and स्यन्दन, wave motion, which will be noticed in the chapter on Acoustics.

1. Current Motion (स्यन्दन).

This is conditioned by fluidity in particles, but Sankara Miśra notes that in the downward flow of water, gravity in the fluid-particles is the efficient cause (गुरुत्वात् निमित्तकारणात् असमवायिकारणेषु — Sankara Miśra, उपस्तार). When the water is enclosed on all sides, as in a vessel, the downward flow (स्वन्दन) is counteracted. Here the fluidity does not produce motion, because, in the case of the particles in contact with the enclosing

 \mathbf{L}

body, there is the resistance (प्रतिवन्ध) of the latter, which is transmitted to the other particles, and this counteracts the fluidity. (श्रोतोभूतानामपां स्थऌाविम्नाभिसपैयां यत् तत् द्रवत्वात् स्थन्दनं कपं समनात् रोधः संयोगेन अवयविद्रवत्वम् प्रतिवद्धं उत्तरोत्तरावयवद्रवत्वानि संयुक्तसंयोगै: प्रतिवद्वानि — Prasastapâda, कम्भेग्रन्थ।)

2. Upward Motion (जारोहग, e.g. evaporation).

In evaporation the fluid-particles are rarefied, and remain in a fine state of suspension; the rarefaction is due to the impulse (नोदन) or impact (ज्ञांभषात) of the heatparticles in the sun's rays, and the upward movement is due to their contact with the air under this impulse or impact. Sankara Miśra notes that in boiling there is a similar upward movement of water-particles under the impact of heat-rays. (नाडगे वायसंयोगात् आरोहर्ण नोदनापोडनात् संयुक्तसंयोगात् च। Sûtras 5 and 6, Âhnika 2, Chap. 5, Vaiśeşika Aphorism. यथा स्याऌोस्था आप: झव्यमाना: वायुनुचवहि-रइमय जद्ध्वम् नयन्ति—Śankara Miśra, उपस्कार।)

N.B.—These two Sûtras of Kanâda have been interpreted by the late Gangâdhara Kaviratna in his commentary to refer to the upward conduction of water in pipes by the pressure of air.

The mention of the transmitted pressure (संयुक्तसंयोग) of the air seems to lend some countenance to Gangâdhara's view, and the word Nâdi (नाडर:) offers no difficulty, being taken in its usual sense, "pipe" (नाहिज्ञा, Nalikâ), while the current interpretation does violence to the common acceptation of the word.

3. Capillary Motion (স্থানিমর্বন্য).

Two instances are given—the ascent of the sap in plants from the root to the stem (आभित: सपैणं मूले निषिज्ञा- नामपा वृद्ये—Sankara Misra), and the penetrative diffusion of liquids in porous vessels, e.g. of the oil or ghee in an earthen jar (कुम्भादो जन्तर्निहितानां तैल्ठ पृतादीनां स्यन्दनं श्रपनच). Heat-particles have a like penetrative power (दृष्ट इचानिरोधो भर्ज्जनकपालादी तेनसः पच्यमानद्रव्यपाकसिद्धेः कल्ल् च निषिक्तानां छपां श्रोतस्पर्श्वग्रहणद्निरोध:—Jayanta, न्यायमच्चरो, Ahnika 8, इन्द्रियाणां प्राप्तकारित्वम्).

This is ascribed to *adrista*, as the cause cannot be ascertained by either perception or inference (including hypothesis) (प्रत्यछानुमानाभ्यां जनुपऌभ्यमानजारणम्—Śrîdhara).

SECTION 5.

INTERESTING EXAMPLES OF MOTION ASCRIBED TO ADRIȘTA (UNKNOWN CAUSE, UNEXPLAINED NATURE, FINAL CAUSE).

The first motions in primordial atoms at the beginning of Creation are attributed to adrista. Among movements in masses of matter so caused are noted the motion of the globe of the earth and similar other bodies (महाभूतानां भूगोल कादीनां प्रद्योभनं चलनम्—Praśastapâda with Śrîdhara's commentary, कम्मैग्रन्ग). Most probably this means earthquakes, tides, etc. Aryabhata and his school would no doubt bring under this head the diurnal motion of the earth. It is interesting to note in this connection that Bhâskara refutes the Buddhist hypothesis of the earth falling perpetually *in vacuo* by arguing that the earth must remain balanced in space as there is nothing outside to attract it.

The movement of the needle (iron in general, as Sankara Miśra notes) towards the magnet is another Similarly, amber attracts grass, straw, etc. (तृणकान्तम-एयाकृष्टानां तृणानां गमने----Sankara Miśra, on Sûtra 15, Âhnika 1, Chap. V.).

Involuntary movements of the hand under the influence of the hypnotist's mantras (incantations) are also attributed to adrista.

SECTION 6.

MEASUREMENT OF MOTION.-UNITS OF SPACE AND TIME.

The solar day was taken as a natural measure or division of time. In the Nyâya-Vaiśeșika school the day of twenty-four hours (solar) is stated to contain $30 \times 30 \times 30 \times 18 \times 2 \times 2$ units of time (*kṣaṇas*). The Nyâya unit of time therefore measures $\frac{2}{45}$ of a second. The smallest measure of time mentioned by the astronomers is the Truti, which is $\frac{1}{33750}$ of a second.

The natural measure of length was the cubit (Hasta), of which there were two fixed standards, the greater and the lesser cubit. The smallest measure of length mentioned in the Śilpa-śâstra (Technology) is the Paramânu, which is about $\frac{1}{349525}$ of an inch. This is the same as the Trasareņu of the Nyâya-Vaiśeşika school, which stands for the thickness of the minimum visibile (the finest mote perceptible in the sunbeam as it comes slanting into a dark room through a chink).

Average velocity (स्वलगत-Bhâskara) was measured in accordance with the formula $v = \frac{s}{t}$, but no unit of velocity appears to have been fixed upon. There was no idea of acceleration, and of course no measurement of force. Mahavîrâchâryya gives formulæ for computing the space travelled over in cases of Sankalitagati (velocity with regular increment at stated intervals), but this does not amount to acceleration, as the intervals are not indefinitely small. Where the velocity is uniform, the interval of time may be of any amount (स्यूलकाल), but where the velocity is variable (प्रतिक्षणं न समागति:-Bhâskara), an indefinitely small quantity of time (मूख्सकाल) must be taken; in other words, the positions of the particle in two successive instants must be considered, and the velocity must be supposed to be uniform during this interval (conceived as indefinitely small, सुद्ध). It was in this way that Bhâskara determined the instantaneous motion of a planet (तातकालिको गति).

Component of Velocity.

The astronomers measured the motion of a heavenly body in different directions (longitude, right ascension, etc.), and calculated separately the components of motion (मतिकलाः) in these directions, and they adopted the device of transferring such component velocities from one body to another in the computation of relative motion (e.g. एवं सति जाचार्येण लायवार्थ इष्यटोसम्रान्धियो मतिकला चके प्राध्यप्राः-Bhâskara, Siddhânta-Śiromaṇi, Gaṇitâdhyâya, Ghatîsphutî-prakaraṇa).

SECTION 7.

NOTION OF THREE AXES.

Motion, we have seen, was defined as the change of position of a particle in space. To conceive position in space, Vâchaspati takes three axes, and the position in space of one particle relatively to another may be indicated by distances measured along these three axes. This remarkable analysis (*circa* 842 A.D. : **प**सद्भवसुवत्सरे, Vâchaspati, Nyâyasûchînibandha) anticipates in a rudimentary manner the foundations of solid (co-ordinate) geometry, eight centuries before Descartes (*vide* p. 118, *supra*).

The Principle of the Differential Calculus applied to the Computation of Motion (Variable Motion).

Bhâskara (1150 A.D.), in computing what he calls the "instantaneous" motion (तात्कालिकी गति) of a planet, compares its successive positions in two successive instants, and regards the motion as constant during the interval, which he conceives to be indefinitely small (सूख्सकाल). This is equivalent to the determination of the differential of the planet's longitude, and the process bears "a strong analogy" (to quote the words of Mr. Spottiswoode, the Astronomer Royal) "to the corresponding process in modern mathematical astronomy." I have elsewhere shown that Bhâskara's process was not merely analogous to, but virtually identical with, that of the Differential Calculus, Mr. Spottiswoode's cautious reservation having been due to his want of acquaintance with the original and the insufficiency of the materials placed before him (vide pp. 78-79 supra).

SECTION 8.

RELATIVE MOTION.

The phenomenon is noticed among the hallucinations of sense (नायारुढाइव मच्छतः पर्वतादोनि विज्ञाननि धमेण धमतइच तान् —Kumârila, Śloka-Vârtika, p. 520). Astronomers like Âryabhata and Lalla, who believed in the diurnal revolution of the earth from the west to the east, explained the apparent revolution of the starry heavens in the opposite direction by the principle of relative motion.

SECTION 9.

SERIAL MOTION.

Several Santânas (series) of motions are incidentally noticed, *e.g.* vibration (स्पन्द्रन, जम्पसनान), wave motion (योचितरङ्ग), current motion (स्पन्दन).

In an interesting passage, Charaka notes three instances of serial motion, viz. those of water, sound, and light (जल्लसनान, ज्ञन्द्रसनान and ज्वचिं:सनान), to which he compares the course (सनान) of chyle (or chyle-blood) in the Dhamanîs (veins) and other ducts of the body.

Dalvana thinks that downward, oblique, and upward currents of chyle are respectively intended by the three illustrations; but Chakrapâṇi points out that the Santâna (wave) of sound travels in all directions (the same is of course true also of light), and that differences in speed (and not in direction) are here meant. In other words, a Santâna of sound travels more rapidly than that of water, and less rapidly than one of light (आर्वे:सनान), and Charaka's meaning is that the metabolic course may complete its circuit with greater or less speed. Whether, in this passage, the three Santânas are viewed as waves or currents, is not specified; but the difference between a wave (चोर्च) and a current (स्यन्दन) was well known.

A current of water (स्यन्दन, downward flow) consists of particles moving in an uninterrupted series under the action of gravity and fluidity (गुरून and द्रवन, Sankara Miśra). A wave (चोचिनरङ्ग), on the other hand, is constituted by the transmission of vibratory motion (स्पन्दन) in the water-particles (e.g. Jayanta, पनट्यनप-योविन्दुसन्दोहस्पन्दनक्रमात् — Nyâya-Manjarî, Âhnika 2).

A ray of light, on the other hand, was supposed to imply the rectilinear propagation of indefinitely minute corpuscles in all directions, with inconceivable velocity, and a sort of conical dispersion (ज्ञाचन्योहि वेगातिशय: तेज्ञ: प्रसपेति पृथ्वग्रत्वात् —Udyotakara, Vâchaspati).

CHAPTER III.

HINDU IDEAS ON ACOUSTICS.

SECTION 1.

ANALYSIS OF SOUND.

The Mimâmsakas.—In their analysis of Sound, the Mimâmsakas distinguish between three elements: (1) Nâda, a quality of Vâyu (air), which is the physical basis of audible sound; (2) Dhvani, sound as heard, audible sound; and (3) in the case of significant sound, Sphota, "transcendental" or "intelligible" sound, representing the Platonic ideas or *logoi*, which are eternal (fनस), ubiquitous (व्यापक), and noumenal (fनराधार, *lit.*, without substrate or ground—Kumârila). The Sphotas are manifested by the Dhvanis (audible sounds), of course only in the case of words (ज्ञन्द, संज्ञा). Upavarşa, the teacher of Panini, rejected the Sphotas in favour of the Varnas, which were conceived to be "phonetic moulds" with natural significance.

As regards sound in its physical aspect and the mode of its propagation, some of the Mimâmsakas content themselves with saying that Vâyu (air) has a special quality (Nâda) which causes audible sound (नादो वायुगुण: वायुर्वा यदि कच्चेत—Kumârila, Śloka-Vârtika; वायुरेव ताच्वादिस्यानसंयोगात् शच्टगुणको निष्यद्यते, शच्दस्यापि वायुगुणत्वं वेदितव्यम् — Pârtha - Sârathi Miśra, Nyâyaratnâkara).

Others, including the teachers of the Śiksa (Vedic chanting), hold that the physical basis of sound is a series of air movements (वायुसनान, cf. Udyotakara's and Vâchaspati's reports); in other words, the air-particles themselves flow in a current in all directions, being obstructed in their path by the impact of tangible objects (i.e. material bodies), and the movement ceases, as in the arrow, when the moving force is thus exhausted (e.g. स गच्छन्सर्वतोदिष्ठु उहामवेगाहितक्रिय: शारवत् वेगशान्येव न दूरं गनुमहीत . . . शिष्ठाविदस्तु पवनात्मकमेव श्रन्द्रमाचछते -Jayanta, Nyâya-Manjarî). But the orthodox Mimâmsâ view is that of the Mimâmsâ doctor, Śabara Svâmi, who holds that Nâda (the physical basis of sound) is a wavemotion of air, being the transmission of conjunctions and disjunctions in the minute particles of air, the wave originating in the first impact, and being continued by the successive impacts of the minute particles (e.g. क्रियमाणाः शब्दमभिव्यच्चनो नादशब्दवाच्याः संयोगविभागानैरनयेंग (17-1-1) छभिधातेन हि प्रेरिता वायवः स्तिमितानि वाय्वन्तराणि प्रतिधावमानः सर्वतो दिद्धान् संयोगविभागान् उत्यादयन्ति यावद्वेगं सभिप्रतिष्टने। ते च वायोरप्रतस्वत्वात् संयोगविभागा नोपलभ्यने। अनुपरतेषु रव तेषु शब्द उपलभ्यते। नोपरतेषु अतरव च अनुवातं दूरात् उपलभ्यते श्रन्दः - Sabara-Bhâşya, Sûtra 13, Pâda 1, Adhyâya 1). In this view, the particles of air (वाय्ववयवाः) are subject to a vibratory motion (a sort of parispanda) in the production of sound (परिस्यन्द्विलक्षण -Jayanta, Nyâya-Manjarî). The Vâkyapadiya describes articulate sounds (varnas), and indeed all sounds (sabdas), as only forms of air set in motion, with rarefaction and condensation (प्रचय), and capable of variations of velocity and configuration (लम्धक्रियः प्रयत्नेन वक्तारचान-

वर्त्तिना स्थानेष्वभिहतो वायुः श्रन्दत्वं प्रतिपद्यते । तस्य कारणसामर्थ्यात् वेगप्रचयधर्म्मिणः संनिपातात् विभज्यन्ते सारवत्योऽपि मूर्त्त्रेयः । Vâkyapadîya, Kânda 1, Śloka 109).

Nyâya-Vaiśeṣika.—The early Nyâya writers hold that the sound-wave (ज्ञन्दसनान) has its substrate in Âkâśa (ether) and not Vâyu (air). Later writers (e.g. Vâchaspati in the Tattvavindu) add that sound itself as a phenomenon is not to be conceived as a mode of motion (parispanda), for Âkâśa, the substrate, is, in the Nyâya view, incapable of motion (न तावत् परिस्मन्दस्तस्य मूर्च्यनुविधायिनो द्रव्ये विभुनि विभुगुखे वा इाद्धे मूर्च्यभावेनासंभवात् — Tattvavindu). At the same time the propagation of sound must be conceived on the analogy of waves in water (बोचितरङ्गन्याय). Udyotakara in the Vârtika, Vâchaspati in the Tâtparyyatîkâ, and Jayanta in the Nyâya-Manjarî controvert the three views current in the Mimâmsâ school—(1) that Nâda, the physical basis of audible sound, is a specific quality of Vâyu (air), (2) that sound, in its physical aspect, is constituted by a series of air movements of the nature of a current (वायुसन्तान), and (3) that it is not air-currents but airwaves, series of conjunctions and disjunctions of the air-particles or molecules (वायवयवाः, वायुपरमाणवः), that constitute the Nâda, the sound physical, to which, in the case of significant sounds, the Mimâmsakas assign the function of manifesting the Sphota, "transcendental" or "intelligible" sound (logos, the word). Vide Udyotakara Vârtika, Adhyâya 2, Âhnika 2, Sûtra 14; also Tâtparyyatîkâ, माभूत् वायुसन्तानो मा च भूत्तद्रमुणो नादः शब्दस्य व्यञ्चकः वायवीयास्तु संयोगविभागव्यञ्चका भवन्ति इत्यतञ्चाह, etc., loc. cit. Against these views the early Nyâya doctors

maintain that sound is a specific quality of Âkâśa (ether) and not of Vâyu (air). At the same time they admit that the impact which originates the sound phenomenon (सब्याप्यवृत्ति स्वयावत् द्रव्यभावि विशेषगुण) in Akâsa does so by setting up a vibration in the molecules of the object struck (e.g. a bell), and that these vibrating molecules impinge against the air-molecules in contact (वायुपरमाखवः, ज्ञाध्यात्मिकवायुः). In other words, though Akâśa is the substrate (ज्ञान्नय), the efficient cause of sound (र्गिनन्तवारण) is to be found in the mechanical impact (ज्ञाभयात) of vibrating molecules of sonorous bodies against contiguous molecules of air. As to the propagation of sound, the early Nyâya-Vaiśesika writers content themselves with stating that the first sound thus produced in the substrate Âkâśa by the impact of the vibrating molecules (e.g. of a bell) against the contiguous molecules of air, produces a second sound in the contiguous Âkâśa, and the second sound a third, and so on, in the same way as waves are generated in water, until the last sound sets up a vibration in the ear-drum (कर्णशाम्ब्राह). Of course, this propagation of sound-wave in Âkâśa (ether) is effected by means of the air-wave as its vehicle. This is the Nyâya-Vaiśeşika hypothesis (कव्यना) of an independent sound-wave (श्रव्र-सन्नान). Âkâśa (ether) is motionless, but the airwave would not be transmitted if the air-molecules were not interconnected by Âkâśa. Praśastapâda, the Vaisesika doctor, for example, describes the first sound as giving off a second, the second a third, and so on, expanding in Âkâśa, in the same way as waves are supposed to propagate themselves in the ocean.

शब्दोः खरगु खः संयोगविभाग शब्द ज्ञः . . . स्थानवायु संयोगापे खमानात् स्थानाका शसंयोगात् वर्णे तित्तिः । खवर्ण ऌ छ र्णोः पि भेरी द एड संयोगापे छा त् भेर्व्याका श संयोगात् उत्यद्यते । वे गुपर्वविभागात् वे गुवाका शविभागा च शब्दा च शब्दा च संयोगविभागनिष्पन्नात् वी चिसनानवत् शब्द सनान इत्ये कं सन्तानेन श्रोत्रप्रदेशमागतस्य ग्रहणम्। Prasastapada, यपा जलवीच्या तदव्यवहिते देशे वीच्यन्तरमुपनायते ततोऽप्यन्यत् ततोष्पन्यदित्यनेन क्रमेण वीचिसन्तानो भवति तथा क्रमेग शब्दसन्तानो भवति । नन्वेषा कत्यना कुतः सिद्यतीत्याह etc. (Śrîdhara, ibid.). On this hypothesis the locus of the sound at any moment forms a circle in Âkâśa, and the propagation is carried on in the air by means of ever-expanding circles, as in the case of waves in water. But this analogy is rejected by some (e.g. Udyotakara), who hold that the first sound gives off not one sound in a circle, but an indefinite number of sounds in all directions, and each of these again gives off another, and so on, so that sound may be said to expand by successive concentric spherical layers, even as the Kadambakoraka (the so-called bud of the Nauclea Kadamba) expands by successive concentric spherical layers of filaments which shoot forth from one another. On the first of these two hypotheses, the air-wave implied in the transmission of sound is of the nature of what we call transverse waves; on the second, of the nature apparently of longitudinal waves. In any case, it is clear that the orthodox Mimâmsa view of Śabara Svâmi, that the air-wave constituting physical sound means a series of mere conjunctions and disjunctions of airparticles with rarefaction and condensation (e.g., सर्वतोदिझान-संयोगविभागानेरनच्येंग, Sabara, प्रचय, Vâkyapadîya), implies longitudinal waves, वोचितरङ्गन्यायेन तटुत्पत्तिस्तु कोत्तिता कदस्रकोरक न्यायादत्पत्तिः जस्यचिन्मते (Visvanatha, Bhasha Parichheda,

Śloka 165); परिज्ञेषाचु सन्तानसिद्धिः । तत्राद्यः ज्ञान्दः संयोग-विभाग-हेतुकः । तस्मात् ज्ञव्दानराणि कदम्रगोलकन्यायेन सर्वदिक्कानि । तेभ्यः प्रत्येकमेकैकः शब्दः मन्दतरतमादिभावेनाश्रयाप्रतिवन्धमनुविधोयमानः प्रादुरस्ति । ततोऽन्यस्यातिमान्द्यात् शञ्दानारोत्पत्तिः--- शक्तिविधातो येन केनचित् प्रतिवन्धात् भवति (Udyotakara, II., 2, 14). As the momentum of the impact series (which constitutes the efficient cause of the sound-wave) grows feebler and feebler in the course of transmission through the air-particles, the sound at last dies away. Gangeśa, in the Chintâmani, holds that the propagation is not from molecule to molecule, but travels in ever-expanding circles as in water-waves, perhaps in spherical layers by compression of masses of air; and these air-waves, the vehicles of sound, are exceedingly swift. This explains the velocity of sound. श्रन्देन च ज्ञव्यवद्तिपरमागुदेशोत्पादक्रमेण न शन्दारम्भी येन मेयजादि शन्दानां भूऌोकप्राप्तिर्युगानरेशीय न स्यात्। किन्तु मेयाद्यभिहतं सर्वतोगामि-महावायोमेहति देशे संयोग-निमिन्नमासाद्य ज्ञाद्यशन्देन सर्व दिग्वत्श्रव्दः एक एव जन्यते उत्तरोत्तरेणापि अधिकाधिकदेशतः सर्वत्र एकैक एव शब्दः वीचितरङ्गवतुपपद्यते वायोश्च नयनवत, महावेगतया शीघ्रगामित्वेन अग्रिमशब्दारम्भात् आद्य शब्दानलारं अचिरेणेव शब्दोपलम्मः । वायोरेव च मन्दतरतमादिक्रमेये मन्दादिशव्दोत्पत्तिः यथा ज्ञाद्य शब्देन कदम्रगोलकवदु दशदिशि दशशच्दा आरभ्यने तैश्च दशशच्द सन्ताना इति (Gangesa, Tattva Chintâmani).

But how does the first sound produce the second, the second the third, and so on? At every step the efficient cause, the impact of some vibrating molecule against a contiguous molecule of air, must be posited, and this is equally applicable to a sound produced by a sound (श्रद्मगाद्य) as to one produced by conjunction and disjunction (संयोगज or विभागज). In other words, the sound-wave (श्रद्मनाज) in Âkâśa necessarily implies an air-wave. The Mimâmsaka view, then, that explains the propagation of sound by the transmission of the original oscillatory motion through the successive layers of air by means of successive impacts or pressures producing conjunction and disjunction of air-molecules (π uaiu: π uin π u····Sabarabhâşya), or rarefaction and condensation (π uu···Vâkyapadîya), is also implied in the Nyâya-Vaiśeşika doctrine of the sound-wave (π uatarı, the difference being that in the latter the air-wave, which is conceived as a mode of serial motion (π fa- π arırı), is only the vehicle or medium of propagation of a so-called sound-wave in Âkâśa, which is not itself a mode of motion. This is what we find expressly and elaborately formulated in the latter Nyâya-Vaiśeşika (*vide* Gangeśa, Chintâmani, *supra*).

Section 2.

ANALYSIS OF VIBRATORY MOTION, e.g. OF A BELL (IN AIR).

The molecules of a bell vibrate when the bell is struck. The question is—what is the nature of this vibratory movement? Vâtsyâyana and Udyotakara answer that, when the hand strikes the bell, some of the molecules are displaced (from their stable position—*i.e.* there is *karma* in the molecules), and thus a Sanskâra (here a kinetic Sanskâra, momentum) is generated (संस्तार, जम्पसन्तानसंस्तार—Vâtsyâyana and Vâchaspati), and the molecules swing forward under the action of this Sanskâra until they strike the contiguous molecules of air. This now is a case of mutual impact, which divides the momentum between the colliding masses, and the bell-molecules begin to swing backward, the motion continuing under the action of the diminished Sanskâra until they come in collision again with other airmolecules; and then the process is repeated, and the bell-molecules begin to swing forward and backward until the original energy which is parted with in some measure at each impact becomes so feeble as to be unable to produce any kinetic disturbance (कर्म). Similarly, the air-molecules themselves are set vibrating by means of these impacts, and the transmission of the motion would form the wave of air, which the later Nyâya-Vaiśeşika expressly posit as the vehicle of the sound-wave. But Udyotakara never conceived vibration in vacuo, nor does it appear if he meant to include the second species of Sanskâra (elasticity, Sthitisthâpakasanskâra) as converted from its potential state (जनीन्द्रियता) into kinetic energy, and thus contributing to the momentum (vega). He uses the generic term Sanskâra, which comprehends elasticity as well as momentum due to impressed force. His commentator, Vâchaspati, does not go into details, and does not analyse the momentum. Later writers, however, expressly state that elasticity (Sthitisthâpaka-sanskâra) is one of the causes of vibration, and that elasticity resides not only in the element of earth, but also in air, water, and tejas (cf. Visvanatha).

यग्रतायामभिहन्यमानायां तारस्तारतरो मन्दी मन्दतर इति ष्ठुतिभेदात्राना-इान्दसन्तानोहि खविच्छेदेन श्रूयते (Vâtsyâyana, II., 2, 3, 6) पाणिसंखेव-मपेक्षमाणात् कम्मैण: पाणि-घग्रतासंइलेषात् पाणिगतवेगापेक्षात् यग्रतायां कम्मै तत् कम्मै पाण्यभिघातमपेक्षमाणं विभागसमकालं संस्कारं करोति । सा चलन्याध्यात्मिकं वायुमुपगृह्लाति सा च वायुनाभिहता पुनः कम्मै करोति । ततः कम्मैणः संस्कारः संस्कारेण पुनः कम्मे पुनर्वायूपग्रह इत्येवमादिन्यायेन संस्कार उत्पद्यते । तत्र जन्यस्यातिमान्द्यात्घग्रत्यां महाभूतस्वोभशक्तेरभावः ततो वायूपग्रहोच्छेदः ततः संस्कारस्वय इति (1) Udyotakara Vârtika, Adhyâya 2, Âhnika 2, Sûtra 36. (घग्रतदययवसंयुक्ता वायुपरमाणव एव ज्ञाध्यात्मिको वायुः—Vâchaspati, Tâtparyyatîkâ, loc. cit., also संस्कारं वेगास्यं करोति). But cf. Visvanâtha, संस्कारभेदो बेगोड्य स्थितिस्यापकभावने । मूर्तमात्रेतु वेगः स्यात् कम्मेनो वेगज्ञः क्वचित् स्यन्देर्डाय कारणम्—Bhâshâ-parichchheda, Ślokas 157– 159.

As the air-wave forms the vehicle of the soundwave, if it does not constitute the sound-wave itself, the favourable or retarding influence of currents of wind is easily explained. The presence or absence of water (and other intervening objects) offering greater or less resistance to the transmission of the wave-motion also easily accounts for the greater or less distance to which the sound is carried.

SECTION 3.

ECHO.

मतिस्वनि (Echo) was supposed to be a reflection of sound. Some consider it to be an after-sound, a sound generated by sound. Others suppose it to be due to the reflection of sound in the same way as an image in water or in a mirror (मनिविम्ब) is due to the reflection of light. There is an element of hallucination in either case (जुडिपरियामनिकोप:)—the image in water is not a real image, neither is the echo the real sound it is taken to be. (रूपयन्त्वं च न सामान्यत: प्रतिविम्बप्रयोजनं शब्दस्थापि प्रतिस्वनिरूपप्रतिविम्ब 3

Μ

दर्शनात् । न च श्रव्दनन्यशव्दालरमेव प्रतिथ्वनिरिति वाच्चं स्फटिकल्हीहित्या-देरपि जपासन्निकर्षेजन्यतापस्या प्रतिविम्वनिय्यात्वसिद्वालक्षतेरिति । प्रतिविम्वश्व वुद्वेरेव परिणामविशेवो विम्वाकारो जल्लादिगत इति मलव्यम् ।—Vijnânabhikşu, Pravachana-Bhâşya, Chap. I., Sutra 87.)

SECTION 4.

PITCH, INTENSITY, AND TIMBRE.

Sounds differ from one another by their pitch (तारमन्दादिभेद), by their intensity (तोव्रमन्दादिभेद), and by their quality or timbre (जसाधारण धम्मे). When a bell is struck, an indefinite number of notes (ज्ञातभेद, tones and overtones) are emitted, of varying pitches; and the notes die away, becoming less and less intense. Now, we know that the molecules swing to and fro, and that the Sanskâra (momentum, vega) of the vibrations (जम्पसन्तानसंस्कार) grows feebler and feebler. The differences in pitch (tones and overtones) as well as in intensity must be due to variations in the Sanskâras of the vibrations. The distinguishable pitches (called Srutis, प्रतिभेद) as well as the degrees of intensity (तोव्रमन्दादि) must be ascribed to variations in the vega (momentum), and, by implication, the frequency, etc., of the vibrations.

Cf. Vâtsyâyana, II., 2, 36—घरातास्यं सलानवृत्तिसंस्कारभूतं पदुमन्द्गिति वर्त्तते तस्यानुवृत्त्या शञ्दसलानानुवृत्तिः पटुमन्दभावाच तोव्रमन्द्ता शञ्दस्य तत्कृतरुच श्रुतिभेदः Vide also Udyotakara's analysis of vibration based on Vâtsyâyana: घरटायाम-भिहन्यमानायां तारस्तारतरो मन्दोमन्द्तर इति श्रुतिभेदाचानाशञ्दसलानो हि श्रविच्चेदेन श्रुयते । सलानवृत्तित्वात् मन्द्तरमन्दतमाहिभिन्नरूपानुविधायिनं शञ्दमृतपादयांल तच कारणं संस्कारः—Udyotakara, Vârtika, Sounds also differ from one another in volume or massiveness in the case of coalescence (समानजातीयोपचय). A sound both loud and massive is called महान् (large)— एवं शब्दसन्तान एव तार: महान् इतुच्चते तत्राप्पस्ति समानजातीयोपचय: यस्ति व स्फुटतरत्वम् महानपि स्फुटतर: । जयमपि तथा इति महानित्युच्चते— Vâchaspati, II., 2, 36.

Śabara, the Mimâmsâ doctor, explains massiveness (महत्त्व) as due to नादवृद्धि, the coalescence of different airwaves, which by their simultaneous impact affect a comparatively large tract of the ear-drum (यच्चेतत् वहुभिभेरीमाध्मानझिः शब्दमुचारयझिर्महान् शब्द उपलभ्यते। न वर्द्धते शब्दः । मृदुरेकेन बहुभिश्वोचार्य्यमाणे तान्येव अक्षराणि कर्णशम्कुलीमण्डलस्य सवीं नेमिं व्याप्नुवद्भिः संयोगविभागनैरनन्येंग अनेकशो ग्रहणात् महान इव खवयववानिव उपलभ्यते । संयोगविभागानैरन्तर्य्येण क्रियमाणाः शब्दमभिव्यञ्चन्तो नादशब्दवाच्याः । तेन नादस्यैषा वृद्धिः न शब्दस्य-Sabara on Jaimini, Sûtra 17, Adhyâya 1, Pâda 1). The Mimâmsakas, who resolve sounds into air-waves, attribute all differences. whether of pitch, intensity, or massiveness, to differences in the series of conjunctions and disjunctions of airparticles that form the waves (vide Vâchaspati's report, श्रन्डानां तु संयोगविभागयोनीनां युक्तस्तहभेदेन रूपभेदः ; cf. also the later Nyâya-Vaiśesika, which accepts air-waves as vehicles of sound-waves : वायोरेव मन्द्तरतमादिक्रमेश मन्दादि-शन्दोत्पन्निः-Gangesa, Tattva Chintâmani). It may be noted that the terms तोन्न and मन्द are occasionally used in a general sense, and applied to express higher and lower degrees of pitch as well as of intensity.

There are also differences of quality (श्रन्दस्य जसाधारणधम्मे:). The same sound $ga(\mathbf{n})$, of the same pitch

м 2

and intensity, uttered by men and parrots, can be distinguished; so also the sounds, even the same notes of the scale, given out by a wind instrument like the venu and a stringed instrument like the vina. Similarly, there are sexual and even individual differences of voice (ज्ञास्त दि शुक्रसारिकामनुष्पवझ्रप्रभवेषु स्कुरतर: रूपभेदप्रतय: पुंसाम् । एवं स्त्रीपुंसप्रभवेषु स्त्रीपुंसभेदप्रभवेषु च-Vâchaspati, Tâtparyyatîkâ, II., 2, 14. वोणा वाद्यते वेणु: पूर्व्यते वोणावेणुश्वद्योर-साधारणो धम्मै:---Udyotakara, II., 1, 15. वेणुवोणामृदङ्गादिप्रभवेषु भेदप्रत्या: शुक्सारिकामनुष्पकस्टोत्पाद्भिद्प्रत्या:--Gangeśa and Mathurânâtha). The sounds emitted by impact of the different Bhûtas (echo from Âkâśa, hissing from the wind, puffing from fire, bubbling from water, and splitting or cracking from earth) are characteristic examples of such differences of timbre (vide Panchadasî, Bhûtaviveka, Śloka 3).

The Vâkya-padîya (Kânda I, Śloka 109), as we have seen, ascribes all differences (whether of pitch, volume, or timbre) to the characteristic forms of the air-waves, which differ from one another by their configuration (सन्तिपातात् विभज्यने मूत्तेय:), and are capable of variations of velocity (momentum, *vega*) as well as of rarefaction and condensation (जारणसामध्यात्) प्रचयधर्म्मिण:

There are passing references to the obscuration (ज्ञाभभव) of sounds in Vâtsyâyana, Udyotakara and Vâchaspati, but the subject is treated more from a psychological than from a physical point of view. (तोद्रभेरोश्वन्द: मन्द्रतन्त्वोशव्यमभिभवति न मन्द: । नानाभूतेषु शव्दसनानेषु सन्मु घोव-प्रयासत्तिभावेन जस्यचित् शव्दस्य तीवेण मन्दस्य अभिभवो युक्त: —Vâtsyâyana, II., 2, 14; vide Udyotakara and Vâchaspati, loc. cit. Here तोव = loud, and मन्द = low.)
SECTION 5.

MUSICAL SOUNDS.

Srutis and Svaras.—We have already seen that the distinguishable pitches are called Śrutis, and they are proportioned to the vega (momentum) of the kampasantana (vibration). Now the ratio of a note to its octave (in respect of pitch) is given as 1:2; we may therefore conclude that the vega of the vibration in the latter case was considered to be twice as great as in the former. An indefinite number of Śrutis could be interposed between a note and its octave (ज्ञानन्यं हि युतीनां च सूचयन्ति विपश्चितः यथा ध्वनिविज्ञेषाणाममानं गगनोदरे । संगीतरालाकर । cf. संगोतपारिजात । केशाग्रव्यवधानेन वहव्योऽपि श्रुतयः स्नृताः---संगीतपा-रिजात, Śloka 40). Twenty-two such are named and recognised for musical purposes. But a Śruti as such cannot constitute a musical tone (Svara). A Śruti is a simple (unmixed) and fundamental tone of a certain pitch, whereas an ordinary musical tone (Svara) is really composed of a fundamental tone (Śruti) and certain partial tones (harmonics, Anurananas, जनुरणन). The musical tones (Svaras), vocal or instrumental, are therefore of the nature of what we call clangs, because, either accompanying or following the Srutis or simple fundamental tones (इसादिमात्र), are always found certain partial tones (जनुरखन). व्यवहारे त्वसौ त्रेधा द्वदि मन्द्रोऽभिधोयते । कराठे मध्यो सूधितारो द्विगुराखोत्तरोत्तर: (संगीतरालाकर) हुद्मिन्द्रोगलेमध्यो मूर्धि तार इति क्रमात् । द्विगुणं: पूर्वपूर्वस्मात् -Dâmodara, Sangîtadarpana, Chap. I., Śloka 49. ज्रुत्वनन्तरभावी य: चिग्धोडनुरख-नात्मकः । स स्वरः उच्यते-Sangîta-ratnâkara. स स्वरो यः श्रुतिस्थाने खनन् दृदयरञ्चकः (संगीतदामोदर) द्वाविंशतिविधो मन्द्रोध्वनिः सञ्चायते द्वादि । स एव डिगुणो मध्यः लग्ग्रस्थाने यथाक्रमन् । स एव मस्तके तार:स्यान्मध्यात् डिगुणक्रमात् — Sangîta Samaya Sâra, 1. नादाच म्नुतयो जातास्ततः षड्जादयः स्वराः । प्रथमम्रवणाच्छव्दः श्रूपते हस्वमाचकः सा श्रुतिः सम्परित्तेया स्वरावयवलुख्या । स्वरूपमान्त्वश्ववणात्रादोष्ट्रएणनं विना । श्रुतिरित्युच्यते — Dâmodara, Sangîta-darpaṇa, Chap. I., Śloka 51. The relation between a Śruti and a Svara is variously conceived as (1) परिणाम modal change; (2) व्यजन manifestation; (3) तादास्यम् जातिव्यक्तेरिव the relation of genus and species; (4) विवर्त्तन (मुखं यडद् दर्पणेषु विवर्त्तितं) reflection; (5) कार्व्यकारग्रभाव, the relation of cause and effect; cf. संगीतपारिजात by Ahobala, श्रुतयस्तु स्वरा भिन्ना: श्रावणत्वेन हेतुना । आहनुग्डल्वत तत्र भेदोक्तिः शास्त्रसम्मता । — संगीत-पारिजात, Śloka 38.

SECTION 6.

THE NOTES OF THE DIATONIC SCALE: DETERMINA-TION OF THEIR RELATIVE PITCH.

The pitch of the fundamental note to that of its octave is as 1:2 (मध्यस्थानस्थ: घड्न: द्विगुएसम: -- रागविवोध, quoted by Mr. Devala).

The pitch of the fourth note (F) to that of the fundamental (C) is as 4 : 3 (उभयो: पर्जयोमैध्ये मध्यमं खरमाचरेत — संगोतपारिजात, quoted by Mr. Devala).

The vibrations of the fifth note (G) to those of the fundamental (C) are as 3:2 (चिभागात्मकवोणायां पच्चम: स्याज्ञ-दग्रिमे।—संगोतपारिजात, quoted by Mr. Devala).

Concord (सम्बादित्व) is either perfect or imperfect. The

166

ratio of perfect concord is 3:2; that of imperfect concord, 4:3 (स-प-स-म मुख्या: संवादिन: खरा: रजसंश्रया: प्राय: —रागर्विचोध, quoted by Mr. Devala); e.g. if D be the Vâdî, A would be a Samvâdî; if E be the Vâdî, B would be a Samvâdî: in each case a perfect concord. The pitch of D is determined from that of G, and the pitch of A from that of D, in each case, by the rule of perfect concord.

Determination of the pitches of E and B (after Mr. Devala). This may be done in either of the following ways :---

(1) E may be determined from A, and B from E, by the rule of perfect concord. This would give $303\frac{3}{4}$ as the value of the pitch of E, and $455\frac{5}{8}$ as that of the pitch of B, if the pitch of C be taken as 240; or

(2) E may be determined from C by reduction of the fifth harmonic by two octaves, a sort of imperfect concord; and then B may be determined from E by the rule of perfect concord. This would give 300 for E, and 450 for B, if C be taken as 240.

Mr. Devala, in his investigations with the "Sonochord," finds that Hindu musicians (and Sanskrit writers on music) adopted the latter values for E and B, as they tested their notes by harmonics (मेरुगतखयम्भूखर-पंक्रिप्रामाख्येन-रागरिवरोध, quoted by Mr. Devala). The Hindus therefore followed just intonation.

SECTION 7.

MUSICAL INTERVALS.

Musical tones are related to one another in four ways as Vâdîs, Samvâdîs, Vivâdîs, and Anuvâdîs. The mediæval compilations explain these in reference to melody, and harmony is altogether unknown, but the terms might be used to indicate relations of harmony as well. The Vâdî might in that case answer to the key-note (or tonic), and the Samvâdîs to the two consonances, the fifth (2:3), and the fourth (3:4).

The rule given for the determination of a Samvâdî is 12 or 8 Śrutis intervening, the intervals being, therefore, 13 and 9 Śrutis respectively (giving the ratios $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$).

C being the Vâdî, G and F are stated to be the Samvâdîs.

In the same way, it is stated that if D be the Vâdî, A would be a Samvâdî. If E be the Vâdî, B is given as a Samvâdî.

On the other hand, a two-Śruti interval (*i.e.* a difference of a semitone) gives a Vivâdî, which would thus answer to a dissonance. This is given as a general rule (दिष्ठुत्यन्तरोखरोदिवगदिनो Matanga). Other cases are also noticed, *e.g.* E is a Vivâdî to D, and B to A, and vice versa (ratio of 9:10).

The notes that do not come under these heads are Anuvâdîs (*e.g.* the sixth); *cf.* Bharata, Nâtya-Śâstra, Chap. XXVIII., Ślokas 23-24.

Also Sangîta-ratnâkara :----

चतुर्विधा स्वरा वादी सम्वादी विवाद्यपि छनुवादीति । वादीतु प्रयोगे बहुल्रस्वरः श्रतयो द्वादशाष्टौ वा ययोरनरगोचराः निषः सम्वादिनौ तौ स्तो निगावन्यविवादिनौ । रिधयोरेव वा स्यातां तौ तयोर्वारिधावपि । श्रोपाणा-मनुवादित्वम् । (स्वराध्याय).

CHAPTER IV.

HINDU IDEAS ABOUT PLANTS AND PLANT-LIFE.

SECTION 1.

CLASSIFICATION OF PLANTS.

Charaka :—Plants according to Charaka are divisible
into (1) Vanaspatis, trees bearing fruit without flowers;
(2) Vânaspatyas, trees bearing flowers as well as fruits;
(3) Ausadhis, herbs that wither after fructification;
and (4) Vîrudhs, other herbs with spreading stems.

Chakrapâņi notes in his commentary on Charaka: The Vîrudhs comprise two classes, (1) Latâs, creepers; (2) Gulmas, herbs with succulent (or cactaceous) stems and shrubs. The Auşadhis are sub-divided into (1) annuals or perennials, bearing fruit, and (2) plants that wither away after maturing and without fructification, e.g. grasses like the $Dûrv\hat{a}$ (Cynodon dactylon).

Suśruta :--Suśruta's division is identical with Charaka's. Dalvana, the commentator, gives some details. The *Plaksa* (Ficus infectoria) and the *Udumvara* (Ficus glomerata) are given as instances of trees bearing fruits without flowers (*Vanaspatis*). It appears that plants with naked and incomplete flowers (achlamydeous plants) were considered as flowerless, as also trees whose flowers, like those of the fig, are placed on the internal walls of a common receptacle. Of the Briksas, flower- and fruit-bearing trees, the mango-tree, the Jambu-tree (Eugenia jambolana), etc., are given as examples. The Virudhs are of two classes, (1) creepers with stems spreading on the ground (प्रतानचरा:), and (2) herbs with succulent (or cactaceous) stems (गुल्सन्य: or स्तांचन्य: वर्तुव्हत्तासन्तांतांग्रेश:). Ausadhis are those that wither away after fructification, e.g. wheat, barley, etc. (फरूपाकानिष्टा गोधूनादय:). Some divide Ausadhis into two classes, (1) those that wither after bearing fruit, e.g. paddy, linseed, pulses, etc., and (2) plants that wither after maturity, and bear neither flowers nor fruits, e.g. the mushroom, etc.

Praśastapâda :--Praśastapâda, the Vaiśeşika doctor, classifies plants as follows :---(1) Triņas, grasses; (2) Auṣadhis, herbs that wither after fructification; (3) Latâs, spreading and creeping herbs; (4) Avatânas, arboraceous plants and shrubs; (5) Brikṣas, trees bearing flowers and fruits; and (6) Vanaspatis, trees bearing fruits without flowers.

Śridhara in the Kandali gives Ulapa as an example of a grass, wheat as an example of Ausadhi (annual), the Ketaki (Pandanus odoratissimus) and the Vijapura (Citrus medica) as examples of Avatinas (Vitapas, arboraceous plants), the Kovidára (Bauhinia) as an example of flower- and fruit-bearing tree, and the Udumvara (Ficus glomerata) as an example of a Vanaspati (flowerless fruit-bearing tree).

Udayana in the Kiraṇâvalî notes the Kuṣmânda (a species of Cucurbita) as an instance of a creeper (Latâ), and the palms ताहादय: as modifications of the grasses (Triṇas).

Amara:-Amara, the lexicographer, in the Vanausadhivarga and the Vaisyavarga (enumeration of wild plants and of food-grains) gives some interesting particulars. (1) The trees (the flowering Briksas and the flowerless Vanaspatis) are fruit-bearing, and possess woody stems नाष्ट्रदार or trunks (प्रकारड-प्रकारड: स्कन्ध: स्यान्मूलात् शासाविसतर:). Next come (2) arboraceous plants and shrubs (au, हस्वशाखाशिफः छ्र्पः) bearing flowers as well as fruits. (3) The Latâs are next noticed, flowering plants with herbaceous stems, some of them creeping on the ground (प्रतानिनो), others succulent (गुल्सिनो), others twining or voluble (मूलाचायंगता लता, वृष्यगामिनी लता — Amara, cf. Mukuta, तरुमूलात् प्रभृति वृष्याग्रपर्य्यनं गता गुडुच्यादि लतापि अवरोहः — others call this शिक्षा). Cf. Susruta — ऌता: प्रतानवत्य: गुच्सिन्यन्न. (4) Next come the Ausadhis (in the narrower sense), herbaceous plants bearing fruit with or without flowers, and dying or withering away after fructification. Some instances of Kandaśâka (tubers, rhizomes, corms) are noticed, e.g. the Palându (the onion, पठायुस, सुनन्दनः), the Lasuna (garlic, महाजन्द), etc. But the graminaceae enumerated in the Vaiśyavarga are the chief instances of the Ausadhis-plants that die after fructification. These are cultivated Ausadhis, but their affinities with the next class, the grasses (Trinas), are also noted (e.g. थान्यं व्रोहि साम्रकरो। साम्रोगुच्छस्तृणादीनान्। तृणधान्यानि नीवाराः । नाइोनालं च कागडोग्स्य). Next are enumerated (5) the Trinas, grasses, of which the characteristic is the formation of gulmas (culms of grasses with annular knots from which leaves spring-साधो गुच्मे तृणादीनामकाखद्रुमगुच्छयोः). It is worthy of note that, in the enumeration of the grasses, the bamboo is considered as a sort of giant grass

(तृणध्वज्ञ, grass flag, तृणेषु ध्वज्ञ ईय). The reeds (नलादयः) are also placed among the grasses (नलादयस्तृणं गर्भुच्च्याशाकप्रमुखमपि। प्रमुखशच्दात् नोवाराद्याः). (6) Finally, the Palmaceæ (including the cocoanut, date, areca, and other palms) are classed as Tree-grasses, probably because, like the grasses, they are endogens characterised by spikes and parallel veins. तृणद्रमः । (तृणराजाह्रयस्तालः नारकेलस्तु लाङ्गली etc., एते च हिंतालसहितास्तयः खर्जुरः केतको तालो खर्जुरा च तृणद्रमाः, —cf. also the Rójanighantu).

I may add that Amara places parasitical plants among the Latûs (वन्दा वृष्ठादनी । वृष्ठरहा । जीवन्तिकेत्यपि). They climb trees and feed upon them. These are to be distinguished from climbing plants, like the Guduchi (Tinospora cordifolia), which have separate roots of their They are also to be distinguished from the own. adventitious roots descending from the branches of trees, like the Ficus religiosa, which are usually termed avarohâs (जजरोहा:). The name siphâ is ordinarily applied to the rootlets and suckers by which the tendrils of various creepers are attached to the soil. (ज्ञाखाज्ञिफा जवरोह: स्यात्। वटादे: ज्ञाखाया: जवलिवनी ज्ञिफा। मूलाचाग्रं गता लता। मूलादूईथ्वं गता लता स्यात्—Amara with Bhânuji Diksita's commentary. But the Mukuta notes :--- तरुम्लात् प्रभृति वृक्षाग्रपर्य्यनंगता गुडुच्यादि लतार्शप खवरोहः ।) The Guduch? is also called वन्सादनो, चित्रहा।

The Hindu Materia Medica mentions Âkâśavallî, lit. sky-creeper, a name which seems to have been originally intended for some orchids; also Plava (lit. floating), weeds that float in stagnant ponds, and Saivála, mosses and lichens (e.g. दूवाकमेरुप्रवश्चेवाऌं नऌेम झणिनं पोतं शुक्रमेहहरं --- Chakrapâņi - Samgraha, also Bhâva $Prak\hat{a}s\hat{a}$). These are not classified, but like the mushrooms must come under the $P\hat{a}kanistha$ ausadhis, Ausadhis that die after maturing, without bringing forth flowers or fruits.

SECTION 2.

ELEMENTARY IDEAS OF PLANT PHYSIOLOGY.

Characteristics of Plant-Life.

The Nyâya-vindu-tîkâ of Dharmottara, the Buddhist scholiast, notices the phenomenon of sleep (contraction of leaves in the night) in certain plants (स्वाप: रात्रो पत्रसङ्खोच:).

Udayana notices in plants the phenomena of life, death, sleep, waking, disease, drugging, transmission of specific characters by means of ova, movement towards what is favourable and away from what is unfavourable (वृद्धादय: प्रतिनियतभोक्च्यधिषिता: जोवनमरणस्वम्नजागरणरोगभेषजप्रयोग-वोजसजातोयानुबन्धानकूलोपगमप्रतिकूलापगमादिभ्य: प्रसिद्धशरोरघत् — Udayana, पृथिचोनिरूपण्म्). I may add that metaphors drawn from the heliotropic movements of the Sûryyamukhî-flower are among the stock-in-trade of Sanskrit poetry and belles-lettres.

The Jaina writer Gunaratna, in his commentary on the Saddarśana-Samuchchaya (circa 1350 A.D.), enumerates the following characteristics of the plant-life: (1) stages of infancy, youth and age; (2) regular growth; (3) various kinds of movement or action connected with sleep, waking, expansion and contraction in response to touch, also movement towards a support or prop; (4) withering on wound or laceration of Śankara Miśra in the Upaskâra notes as an additional characteristic the growth of organs (or tissues) by natural recuperation after wound or laceration (भग्नस्नसंरोहणे च, Upaskâra, Chap. IV., Ahnika 2, Sûtra 5, S. B. H., Vol. VI.).

Gunaratna gives a list of plants that exhibit the phenomena of sleep and waking (सनोप्रपुन्नाटसिइसरकामुन्द्क-वप्पूलागस्तामलकोकतिप्रभृतोनां खापविचोधतः, *ibid*.). He also notices the sensitiveness to touch of plants like the Mimosa pudica, लज्जावतो लता, which show a manifest reaction in the form of contraction, लज्जालूप्रभृतोनांइस्लादिसंसगात यत्र सङ्गोचादिका परिष्फुटक्रिया उपलभ्यते (*ibid*.).

Sexuality.

Very vague ideas were entertained as to the sexual characters of plants. The pollen is called *Rajas*, *puspa*,

prasuna-names which are also applied to the female menstruum-and Amara expressly states that for females and flowers these elements (and the terms signifying them) are the same (स्तीणां सुमनसां पुष्पं प्रसूनं समन् ----Amara, Vanausadhivarga). Charaka (Dridhavala), indeed, distinguishes between the male and the female vatsa (or kutaja), considering the variety that bears white flowers and large fruits as male (वृहत्मल्ड इवेनपुष्पै: पुमान, Holarrhena antidysenterica), and that which bears red or yellow flowers and small fruits as female (ज्यावारुणानुपुष्पी स्ती-असितक्टन, Wrightia tinctoria; Charaka, Kalpasthâna, Chap. V.; but this is hopelessly wrong. Even these vague ideas were afterwards completely lost, and the Râjanighantu tells us of a grotesque division of plants into male, female, and hermaphrodite, based on the slender or stout, the soft or hard, the long or short, the simple or mixed character of the stems and flowers! (Rajanighantu, अनुपादि प्रथमो वगैः स्त्रीपुंनपुंसकत्वेन त्रेविध्यं स्यावरेष्वपि, etc.).

Consciousness.

The Hindu Scriptures teach that plants have a sort of dormant or latent consciousness, and are capable of pleasure and pain (जन्न:संज्ञा भवन्येते सुखदु:खसमन्विताः). Chakrapâṇi notes in the *Bhânumati* that the consciousness of plants is a sort of stupefied (darkened or comatose) consciousness (वृष्यास्तु चेतनावन्तोर्ऽपि तमइछन्नज्ञानतया ज्ञास्तोपदेश-विषया एव).

Udayana also notes that plants have a dormant unmanifested consciousness which is extremely dull (ञ्चतिमन्दान्त:संज्ञितया, etc.). The *Mahâbhârata* adds that plants are sensitive to heat and cold, to the sound of thunder, etc., as well as to odours both pleasant and unpleasant :---

उफातो झायते पर्णं त्वक् फलं पुष्पमेव च । झायते शोय्येते चापि स्पर्शस्तेनाच विद्यते ॥ बाय्वग्न्यशनि-निर्घोषेः फलं पुष्पं विशोर्थ्यते । श्रोचेण गृद्यते शब्दस्तस्माच्छृखन्नि पादपाः । वस्ती वेष्टयते वृद्धं सञ्चेतश्चेव गच्छति । नद्यद्रष्टुश्व मागोर्जस्त तस्मात् पश्यन्ति पादपाः ॥ पुण्यापुग्येस्तपा गन्धेर्थूपश्च विविधेरपि । अरोगाः पुष्पिताः सन्ति तस्माज्जिधन्ति पादपाः ॥ पादैः सलिल-पानाच व्याधोनाचापि दर्शनात् । व्याधिप्रतिक्रियत्वाच विद्यते रसनं द्रुमे ॥ वक्त्रेणोत्पलनालेन यपोर्डे जलमाददत् । तथा पवनसंयुक्तः पादैः पिवति पादपः ॥ सुखदुःखयोश्च ग्रहणात् छिन्नस्य च विरोहणात् । जीवं पश्यामि वृद्धाणामचैतन्यं न विद्यते ॥ शान्तिपर्व्व, महाभारतः

176

CHAPTER V.

HINDU CLASSIFICATION OF ANIMALS.

SECTION 1.

CLASSIFICATION OF ANIMALS.

Charaka.

Charaka mentions four primary divisions :---

(1) Jarâyuja, born from the uterus, or, rather, placentalia, viviparous (no a-placental mammals were known), e.g. man, the quadrupeds, etc.

(2) Andaja, born of an ovum (egg), comprising fishes, reptiles, and birds.

(3) Svedaja (or Usmaja), born of moisture and heat, spontaneously or a-sexually generated, e.g. worms, mosquitoes, etc.

(4) Udvijja, born of vegetable organisms (भूतानां चतुर्विधा बोनिभेवति जराय्वराडसेदोद्विदः---Sârîrasthâna, Chap. III. एकेका योनिः छपरिसंस्येयभेदा भवन्ति भूतानामाकृतिविशेषा परिसंस्येयत्वात् --Ibid.).

Praśastapâda.

Praśastapâda begins with two great divisions: (1) Ayonija, animals that are a-sexually generated, of small dimensions (खुट्रजन्तु); (2) Yonija, sexually generated, *i.e.* from the union of a sperm and a germ element. The latter are subdivided into (a) Jarâyuja, lit. placentalia, viviparous (no a-placental mammals were known), and (b) Andaja, oviparous. Man, the quadrupeds (domesticated and wild), etc., are given as examples of viviparous animals, and birds, Sarîsripas (reptiles, etc.) of oviparous animals. Udayana in the Kiraņâvalî notes that Jarâyu means the placenta (गर्भदेष्टनचम्मेपुटनं नरायु:, cf. Śrîdhara in the Kandalî, नरायुरिति गर्भाशयस्य आभिधानं तेन देष्टितं नायते इति नरायुनम्). Udayana adds that the term Sarîsripa includes insects and fishes as well as reptiles, these being all oviparous (सरोसृपा: परित: प्रसर्पयशोऌा: सर्पकोटमत्सादय: प्रियोनिरूपयम्).

Patanjali.

The a-sexually generated animals, as we have seen, are also called *Kşudrajantus* (*lit.* small animals). Pâtanjali in the *Mahâbhâṣya* gives several alternative definitions (or descriptions) of this class of animals. They are defined (1) as animals without bones, जनस्थिता: खुद्रजनगः; or (2) as animals that do not possess any blood of their own, पेषां स्वं शोधितं नासित ते खुद्रजनगः; or (3) as numbering more than a thousand in a palmful, *i.e.* minute in size; or (4) as not easily crushed; or (5) as comprehending all animals up to the ichneumon (in the animal series), जपना नकुरुपर्यात्ता: खुद्रजनगः—Mahâbhâṣya, 2-4-1.

Suśruta.

Suśruta mentions four great divisions: (1) Sansvedaja, born of moisture and heat: this division is mentioned first, as Dalvana notes, because moisture and heat are essential factors in the generation of all forms of animal life, including the classes that follow;

178

(2) Jarâyuja, viviparous or placental; (3) Andaja, oviparous; and (4) Udvijja, bursting forth (from the ground, or perhaps from some previous unmanifest shape, e.g. frogs, coccidæ, etc.). The second, third, and fourth classes are mentioned in the order of their importance. Subsequently the order of enumeration is changed: (1) Jarâyuja, (2) Andaja, (3) Svedaja, (4) Udvijja. One reading gives the order: (1) Svedaja, (2) Andaja, (3) Udvijja, and (4) Jarâyuja. Some commentators point out that the order in the text (whatever that may be) is intended to indicate the order of creation by Brahmâ.

Suśruta mentions man, Vyâla (carnivorous quadrupeds), and Pasu (herbivorous quadrupeds) as examples of the viviparous; birds, snakes, and Sarîsripas as examples of the oviparous ; Krimis, Kîtas, and Pipîlikâs (worms, insects, ants, etc.) as examples of the moistureborn; and frogs and the coccidæ (the coccinella) as examples of the animals that "burst forth" (eruptive or metamorphic?). Dalvana notes that the divisions are really cross-divisions (योनिसंकर), and intended to be such, as the natural divisions of Jiva and species are not all exclusively oviparous or exclusively viviparous. For example, among birds (ufau:, winged animals), bats and Valâkâs are viviparous (जलाका जनुकादयः जरायुजाः); indeed, Valâkâs are some of them oviparous and some viviparous (पश्चिमु बलाका जरायुजा अराडनाम्त्र). Among snakes, the Ahipatâkâs, a species of non-venomous colubrines snakes, are viviparous (ovo-viviparous ?). Among the moisture-born, there are some kinds of ants (पिपोल्लिकाः) which also lay

eggs or burst forth (from the ground, or perhaps from some previous unmanifest shape) (संस्वेदनेष्वाप काच्चित् पिपोलिका जयउना उद्विज्जान्त्र).

The oviparous animals are divided by Suśruta into birds, snakes, Sarisripas, etc. Dalvana notes that the Sarisripas include fishes and Makaras (sea-fish with fierce teeth, हिंसदंष्ट्रका:), and the "et cetera" comprehends tortoises and crocodiles (सरीम्रृपा: शोधगामिन: कृष्णसपादयो मोनमकरादयो वा प्रभृतिग्रहणेन कूम्मेनझादोनां ग्रहणम्)! The "moisture-born" are due to the moisture and heat either of the earth or of organisms (संस्वेदना: भुव: शरोरस्य च संस्वेदात् जम्मण्य: नाता:). Of these, the Krimis (worms) arise from the moisture of the fæces in the bowels (कृमय: कोष्टपुरोपादिवाप्पसम्भवा:—Dalvana); from putrefying dead bodies (शव—Suśruta; cf. शरोरे कियद् वेऌाननरं समुत्पन्नानां कृम्पादोनां कयं चैतन्यम्—Guṇaratna, Tarka-rahasya-dîpikâ (Jainamatam); from decomposing curd or milk (e.g. वर्षासु च स्वेदादिना ञनतिद्वीयसैव काऌन दध्याद्यवयवा एव चऌनः पूतनादिकृमिरूपा उपऌभ्यने—Jayanta, Nyôya-Manjarî, Âhnika 7, भूतचैतन्यपन्छ).

The second class, Kîtas, noticed among the moistureborn, include the scorpions, the six-spotted venomous insect sadvindu, etc. (कोटा वृध्विकषड्विन्दु प्रभृतय:--Dalvana). Of these the scorpions arise from cow-dung, excreta of snakes, rotten wood (कयं गोमयाइ वृध्विक: जायते--Pâtanjali, Mahâbhâṣya, 1-4-3); cf. also Suśruta, Kalpasthâna, Chap. VII.

The third class, *Pipîlikâs*, ants and the like insects, Dalvana notes, are born of moisture and heat, as well as of eggs, and sometimes burst forth from the ground (भुवमुद्भिद्य जाता उद्विज्ञा-Dalvana). The gnats and mos-

180

quitoes (दंशमशकादयः) are also usually placed among the moisture-born. An anda (egg) is described as oval, of the form of a pesî (जयां पेश्याकारवर्त्तुलं-Dalvana; cf. Śrîdhara, Kandalî, जयां विम्वं तेन वेष्टितं जायते तत् जयाडजं, पृषियोनिरूषयं).

Chhândogya and Śankara.

It may be noted that the Chhândogya Upanişad classifies animals on the basis of their vîja (ovum or seed) as three-fold :—(1) Andaja, born of eggs; (2) Jîvaja, viviparous; and (3) Udvijja. Śankara explains that the udvijja animals arise from vegetable organisms (उद्दभित् स्यावरं ततो जातम् उद्भिज्ञम्), which is also Charaka's view, as we have seen; but unlike Charaka, Śankara holds that the svedaja animals must be included partly under the oviparous, and partly under the udvijja (vegetable-born) class (जयुडजोद्भिज्जयोरेव यथासम्भवसन्तभाव:— Chhândogya, Prapâthaka 6, part 3).

Evidently the idea is, that though vegetable organisms may pass off into animal, there cannot be generation without vîja (seed or ovum), and inorganic matter without vîja (seed or ovum) cannot give rise to animal life. Pâtanjali, in the Mahâbhâṣya (circa 150 B.C.), mentions the opposed view, which holds that not only animal organisms but also vegetable organisms, e.g. grasses, grow from inorganic matter. The Dûrvâ grass, for example, can grow from deposits of the hair of goats and cows, just as scorpions are seen to develop out of cow-dung. Pâtanjali notes the orthodox Sânkhya-Vedânta explanation that these are not cases of growth (or transformation) but merely of coming out (अपन्नामर्तन), कर्ध गोमयाद् वृश्चिको जायते गोलोमाविलोमेभ्यः टूवा जायने इति खपक्रामनित ता वस्तुभ्यः---Mahâbhâsya, 1-4-3.

The Dietary Animals in Charaka and Suśruta.

In noticing different kinds of meat for dietary purposes, Charaka gives a classification of animals (mammals and birds) which has only a practical (therapeutic) significance.

The dietic value of the flesh of any animal was conceived to depend mainly on its habitat and mode of life. Dietary animals (mammals and birds) were accordingly divided into eight classes (ज्रष्टविधा योनिसोपाम्):----

(1) *Prasaha*, carnivorous as well as non-carnivorous (Chakrapâņî), comprehending land quadrupeds and birds that fall on their food with force.

(2) $An\hat{u}pa$, animals that live in marshy or waterlogged lands or graze on river-banks.

(3) $Bh\hat{u}\dot{s}aya$ or $Vile\dot{s}aya$, animals that live in underground holes.

(4) Vâriśaya, aquatic animals, both fresh-water and oceanic.

(5) Jalachara, amphibious animals.

(6) Jângala, animals that live in dry and elevated (hilly) jungle lands, mostly species of deer.

(7) Viskira, birds that scatter their food in picking up; and

(8) *Pratuda*, birds that pierce or torment their food (worms and fruits) with the beak.

In the chapter on articles of diet, Suśruta gives a practical classification of (vertebrate) animals for dietary purposes. Animals that find a place in this dietary are first divided into two classes : (1) Anûpa, animals that live in marshy or water-logged land (or in water); (2) Jângala, animals that live in dry (hilly) jungle land. The Jângalas are divided into five classes, and the Anûpas into eight. The thirteen classes are based on real and natural distinctions of food and habitat; they are for dietary purposes arranged under six conventional (or artificial) classes (त्रयोद्शभेदा षट्सु एव अन्नभूता: । संख्येयम् निद्देशादेव षट्संख्यायां ल्ड्यायां षड्यहणम् तेन षड्वगी इति नियमार्थम् —Dalvana, Sûtrasthâna, Chap. XXVII.). These thirteen classes of dietary animals may be enumerated as follows :—

- I. Jângala animals—Janghâla, Vișkira, Pratuda, Guhâśaya, Prasaha, Parņamriga, Vileśaya and Grâmya, and
- II. Anûpa animals—Kulechara, Plava, Kośastha, Pâdina, and Matsya.

Of these, the Matsyas (fishes) are divided into two groups—fresh-water (lit. river-water) and sea-water fishes. Among the sea fishes, the timi and the timingala (whales? तिमिमहत्तमो मत्स: तिमिङ्गल्सतोर्डाप महत्तम:— Dalvana) find a place, as also the makara (shark, fईसदंष्ट्राज:—Dalvana).

The Kośastha (living in shells—mollusca) are distinguished from the Matsyas (fishes). In this class are enumerated śankhas (Conchifera), śankhana (smaller Conchifera), śukti (pearl-mussels), sambuka (Helix) with spiral shell (जावत्तेकोश:—Dalvana), valluka (a species of Helix, according to some, ज्ञन्य सम्बुकभेदमाहु:—Dalvana), etc. Dalvana adds vodika, jalaśukti, and various species of Helix (वोडिकजलड्युक्तिशम्बूकभेदा बहुविधा मृद्यन्ते). The Pâdinas, aquatic animals having pedal (or long dorsal) appendages, comprise kurmas (oval or oblongshaped tortoises, turtles), the kumbhîras (crocodiles, Emydosauria, Reptilia), karkatas (white and black crabs, Crustacea), the śiśumâra (a species of the Delphinidæ cetacea), muscular, with a sharp protruding snout, breathing with the blow-hole out of the water, probably a dolphin, as the long dorsal fin was taken for a sort of pedal appendage (दूटाकारोडेवक्त: चहिनियासं मुखति सोऽपि दिविध: वस्रुद्दोधभेदेत:—Dalvana). The Pâdinas do not represent any natural division.

Of the other $An\hat{u}pa$ animals (aquatic or amphibious animals), the *plavas* (*lit.* floating on the water) represent a class of birds (the Natatores and the Grallatores) exemplified by geese, ducks, cranes, etc.

The *Kulecharas* are herbivorous quadrupeds that frequent the banks of rivers and ponds, and comprise the elephant, the rhinoceros, the $G\hat{a}vaya$ (*Bos gavæus*), the buffalo, various species of deer, etc.

Of the land animals (Jangala), three of the subdivisions represent birds, and five, mammals. The land birds are: (1) the *Prasaha* birds, birds of prey proper (Raptores), comprising the vulture, kites, hawks, owls, etc. (Charaka's *Prasaha* is a much wider class); (2) the *Vişkiras*, birds that scatter their food in picking up; and (3) the *Pratudas*, birds that pierce or torment their food (fruits or worms) with the beak. The last two classes comprehend between them the *Passeres* (proper and so-called), the *Scansores*, the *Rasores* and the *Columbæ*.

The remaining five classes of Jângala animals are

mammals, with the exception of several species of Vileśayas, which are reptiles. The Parnamrigas (arboreal animals) comprise the apes, sloths, squirrels, as also some of the reptiles and carnivora. Among the Parnamrigas, the Putighasa is a kind of tree-cat giving out a pungent odour; the Madgumusika and the Brikṣaśâyika are arboreal rodents; and the Avakuśa is a species of cow-tailed monkey (vide Dalvana). The Janghâlas are wild animals, herbivorous quadrupeds that are strong-legged and quick-footed, comprising various species of deer and antelopes.

The Grâmyas (lit. living in or about villages, domesticated quadrupeds) comprise the horse, the mule, the ass, the camel, the goat, the sheep, etc. They are non-carnivorous, being distinguished from the carnivorous quadrupeds (क्रयाद—Suśruta, मांसाद— Charaka). Some are Ekaśapha (animals whose hoofs are not cloven) (याम्यशच्देन च एकशफशच्देन च ग्राम्या:—शफ: खुर: —Dalvana).

The $Guh\hat{a}\dot{s}ayas$ are carnivorous quadrupeds (π -urct:) living in natural caves or hollows. They comprise the lion, the tiger, the wolf, tho hyena, the bear, the panther, the cat, the jackal, the *mrigevaru*, etc. The *vrika* (wolf) is defined as a dog-like animal, small-sized (in comparison with the lion and the tiger). By the cat, here, wild-cats are meant. The *mrigevaru* is described as a jackal-like animal that kills deer.

Finally come the *Vileśayas*, animals that live in holes or burrows, comprising various species of Rodents and Insectivora, and several species of Reptiles.

Snakes in Suśruta-Nâgârjuna.

The Snakes (Ophidæ) are especially noticed by Suśruta-Nâgârjuna in the chapters relating to Toxicology (Kalpasthâna, Chap. IV.). Five different genera or families are noticed, of which one is non-venomous and four venomous, including one hybrid and three pure or unmixed families. Of the last, (1) the Darvîkaras (कृष्णसपे, महाकृष्ण, पदम, महापदम, शङ्खपाली-Naia Tripudians, Naia Bungarus) are hooded, swift in their movements, diurnal in their habits, and bear on their hoods or their bodies the marks of chariot-wheels, ploughs, umbrellas, rhombs or cross-bands, goads, etc. (2) The Mandalîs (Vipera, Viperidæ?) are thick (पृथव:), slowmoving, nocturnal in their habits, and bear circles or rings on the body (जादशेमगडल). Charaka adds that they are without hoods. (3) Rajimats also are without hoods, and nocturnal, and bear series of dots or marks, and are often of variegated colours on the upper parts and sides. Twenty-six varieties are named of the first, two of the second, and ten of the third.

Of the Nirvisas, non-venomous snakes, twelve varieties are mentioned, including Boidæ (जजगर) and the Colubrine Dendrophis (वृद्धेज्ञय). Of the Vaikaraṇja (hybrid) snakes, there are ten varieties, of which three are produced by the union of certain venomous species, and seven are secondary derivative forms.

The Darvîkaras are most deadly when young, the Mandalîs when middle-aged, the Rajimats when aged. Their poisons act differently, and an elaborate description is given of the action of the venom of each of the three venomous families.

Snakes in the Purânas.

The Bhavisya Purâņa gives the following additional information. The Nâgas (Naiæ) copulate in the month of Jyaistha or Âşâdha (May or June), gestate during the rainy months that follow, and bring forth about two hundred and forty eggs in the month of Kârtika (November). Most of these are devoured by the parents, but those that are left break forth from the shell in about two months (or one month, according to the Agni Purâna).

Eggs of a golden hue like that of the (red) flowers of the *Calotropis gigantea* (सुवर्णाकेंवर्णानभ) produce male young ones, those somewhat paler and of an elongated ovoid shape (ज्वकोंदकसुवर्णाभात् दोपराजोवसन्तिभात्) bring forth female snakes, and those of the hue of the *Sirisa*blossom hermaphrodite ones. By the seventh day the young snakes turn dark; in a fortnight (or twenty days, according to another account) the teeth come out. The poison is formed in the fangs (दंष्ट्रायु) in three weeks, and becomes deadly in the twenty-fifth night. In six months Nâgas shed the skin (कचुक).

In moving on the ground, the folds of the skin on the under surface alternately expand and contract, appearing to put out and draw in fine filament-like legs, about two hundred and forty in number. The joints on the skin (scales or scutes—u=u) are two hundred and forty in number (perhaps the sub-caudals were not counted). Snakes are killed by men, mongooses, peacocks, Chakoras (a kind of partridge), scorpions, boars, cats, and the hoofs of oxen. Escaping death from these enemies, a Nâga may live for a hundred and twenty years. The term of life of the non-venomous snake is shorter, about seventy-five years (Bhavisya Purâna, पद्ममजस्प).

The Agni Purâna gives the total number of teeth (of a Nâga) as thirty-two, of which four (two on either side) are venomous, viz. Kâlarâtri and Yamadutikâ, which appear to be the names of the fangs, and Karâlî and Makarî, which seem to stand for two hard (maxillary) teeth accompanying the two fangs (cf. also Charaka— Dridhavala, Chikitsâsthâna, XXIII., faufafafarat).

Umâsvati's Classification of Animals.

A more thorough classification of animals is found in the ancient Jaina work, the *Tattvârthâdhigama* of Umâsvati, which the Jaina chronological lists enable us to assign with great probability to the fourth or fifth decennium after Christ (*circa* 40 A.D.). Umâsvati's classification is a good instance of classification by series, the number of senses possessed by the animal being taken to determine its place in the series. Perhaps only senses actively determining the life-habits were counted.

I. First come animals with two senses, viz. touch (as evidenced by contractility of tissue) and taste (as involved in the selection and rejection of food). This division comprises—

(a) Apâdika (Vermes without lateral appendages, Scolecids).

- (b) Nûpuraka (ring-like, with pendants, Vermes with unsegmented lateral appendages, Annelids).
- (c) Gandûpada (knotty-legged, Arthropoda, including Crustacea, Myriapoda, etc.).
- (d) Some forms of Mollusca, e.g. Sankha (Conchifera, Lamelli - branchiata), Suktika (Pearl - mussel, Lamelli-branchiata), and Sambuka (Helix).
- (e) Jalûkâ, Leeches (Annelids).

II. Next come the animals with three senses, namely smell in addition to the primordial senses of touch and taste involved in the contraction of tissues and the appropriation of food. Here also well-developed and active senses alone were perhaps intended; rudimentary or dormant senses were not reckoned. This division comprises—

- (a) Pipîlikâ, Ants (Formicidæ, Hymenoptera).
- (b) Rohinikâ, Red-ants (Formicidæ, Hymenoptera).
- (c) Upachikâ, Kunthu, Tuburaka, Bugs and Fleas (Hemiptera, Hemimetabola).
- (d) Trapusavija and Karpâsâsthikâ, Cucumber- and Cotton-weevils and Lice (Aptera, Ametabola).
- (e) Satapadî and Utpataka, Spring-tails (Aptera-Ametabola).
- (f) Trinapatra, Plant-Lice.
- (g) Kâştha-hâraka, Termites, White ants (Neuroptera, Hemimetabola).

III. Then come the animals with four welldeveloped and active senses, *i.e.* sight, smell, taste, and touch. This division comprises—

(a) Bhramara, Varața, and Sâranga, Bees, Wasps, and Hornets (Hymenoptera, Holometabola).

- (b) Makşikâ, Puttikâ, Danśa, and Maśaka, Flies, Gnats, Gad-flies, and Mosquitoes (Diptera, Holometabola).
- (c) Vriśchika and Nandyâvarta, Scorpions and Spiders (Arachnida, Arthropoda).
- (d) Kîta, Butterflies and Moths (Lepidoptera, Holometabola), and
- (e) Patanga, Grasshoppers and Locusts (Orthopteral Hemimetabola).

IV. Finally come the animals (man and the Tiryyakyonis) with five well-developed and active senses. Omitting man, this division comprises—

- (a) Matsya, Fishes.
- (b) Uraga.
- (c) Bhujanga.
- (d) Paksi, Birds, and
- (e) Chatuspada, Quadrupeds.

Uraga and Bhujanga in popular use mean reptiles; but here evidently Bhujanga is taken to mean oviparous limbed animals (limbed reptiles and Batrachians) and not creatures whose movements are crooked or in the form of a bent bow; and Uraga stands for apodal reptiles, including snakes (Ophidæ).

It will be seen that the first three divisions fall under the Invertebrata, and the fourth is identical with the Vertebrata.

This last division (the Vertebrata) is sub-divided on a different basis, viz. the mode of reproduction. The sub-divisions are three :---

A.-Andaja, oviparous (Pisces, Reptilia, and Batra-

chia), e.g. Sarpa (Snakes, Ophidia, Reptilia), Godha (Varanidæ Lizards, Reptilia), Krikalâsa (Chameleons, Reptilia), Grihagolika (Common Lizards, Lacertilia), Matsya (Pisces), Kûrma (Tortoises, Chelonia, Reptilia), Nakra (Crocodiles, Reptilia), Śiśumâra (Dolphin or Porpoise, Cetacea), and Birds proper with feather wings the Lomapakṣa pakṣis.

Porpoises are erroneously put here, being really viviparous like other Cetacea. Frogs are not mentioned in this list. The omission is strange. Perhaps (as in Suśruta) frogs were believed to be *Udvijja* (eruptive or metamorphic) and not *Andaja* (oviparous). But Suśruta mentions the frogs after the quadrupedal and centipedal Reptilia (*Kanava, Godheraka, Galagolika*, and *Śatapad*î).

B.—Jarâyuja, mammals born with placenta, including all mammals other than the Potaja (here Jarâyuja is used in a restricted sense): (1) Man, (2) Cow, (3) Buffalo, (4) Goat and Sheep, (5) Horse, (6) Ass, (7) Camel, (8) Deer, (9) Yak (Chamara), (10) Hog, (11) Bos Gavæus (Gâvaya)—Ungulata, (12) Lion, (13) Tiger, (14) Bear, (15) Panther, (16) Dog, (17) Jackal, (18) Cat (Carnivora), etc.

The Apes, though not expressly mentioned, are also to be included.

C.—Potaja, a class of placental mammals comprising the Deciduata with the exception of Man, the Apes, and the Carnivora, e.g. Śallaka (Porcupine, Rodentia), Hasti (Elephant, Proboscidea), Śvavit and Lâpaka (Hedgehogs and other creatures that lap up, Insectivora), Śaśa and Śayika (Hare, Rabbit, and Squirrel, Rodentia), Nakula (Ichneumon, which though carnivorous is supposed to come under the Deciduata), $M\hat{u}_{sik}$ (Mice, Rodentia), and the *Charma-pakṣa Pakṣis*, so-called birds with leathern wings (Bats, Chiroptera) *e.g. Valguli* (Flying-Fox), *Pakṣivirâla* (Flying-Cat, Micro-Chiroptera) and *Jalûkâ* (apparently meaning blood-sucking Bats or Vampires, though these are scarcely found in the Old World).

The *Potaja* class thus comprises the following Deciduata: Proboscidea, Rodentia, Insectivora, and Chiroptera.

The term Potaja is intended to signify that these animals are born without the placenta which is thrown off as an afterbirth, whereas such of the Jarâyujas as are not Potajas are born with the placenta attached to the embryo. But it is not easy to explain why Man, the Apes, and the Carnivora should not also be reckoned among the Potajas. An explanation is suggested below (p. 200).

कृम्यादीनां पिपीलिकादीनां भ्रमरादीनां मनुष्पादीनां च यथासंख्यमेकैक-वृद्धानि इन्द्रियाणि भवनि । यथाक्रमम् । तर् यथा कृम्यादीनां छपादिक-नूपुरक-गग्र्डूपद-शङ्ख-शुक्तिक-शम्बूक-जलूका-प्रभृतीनां स्पर्शनरसनेन्द्रिये भवतः । पिपीलिका रोहिणिका-उपचिका-कुन्युतुवुरक-चपुसबीज-कपीसास्थिका-शत-पद्युत्पतक-तृणपत्र-काष्टहारक-प्रभृतीनां त्रीणि स्पर्शनरसनधाणानि । भ्रमर-वरट-सारङ्ग-मध्धिकापुत्तिका-दंश-मशक -वृश्चिक - नन्द्यावर्त्त - कोट - पतङ्घादीनां चत्वारि स्पर्शनरसनधाणचर्छूपि । शेपानां च तिय्यैग्योनिजानां मत्यारेरगभु-जङ्ग्रपश्चि-चतुष्पदानां सर्वेषां च नारकमनुष्पदेवानां पद्येन्द्रियाणि । (Umâsvati, Tattvarthâdhigaṇa, Chap. II., Sutra 24).

जरायुजानां मनुष्य-गो-महिषाजाविकाश्व-खरोष्ट-मृग-चमर-वराह-गवय-सिंह-व्याघर्ष्व-द्वोपि-श्व-श्वृगाल-माजीरादीनाम्। जराडजानां सर्प-गोधा-कृकलास-गृहगोलिका-मत्स-कूम्मे-नक्र-शिशुमारादीनाम्। पन्तिर्णां च लोमपन्त्रार्णा-हंस-चाप-शुक-गृध-श्येन-पारावत-काक-मयूर-महरुगु-बक-बलाकादीनाम्। पोतजानां शल्लन-हस्ति-म्याविल्लापल-शश-शायिता-नकुल्ल-मूपिकादीनाम् चमैपछिछां च पछाणां जलुका-वल्गुल्लि-भारख-पछि्विरालादीनां गर्भेजन्म । (Umâsvati, ibid., Chap. II., Sûtra 34).

Section 2.

RECAPITULATION.

The ancient Hindu classification of animals, as gathered from the authorities mentioned above, may be briefly summarised thus :---

A.—*Kṣudrajantus*, boneless and without (red) blood. Invertebrata, divided into—

- (a) Ayonija, a-sexually generated, e.g. the Svedaja, born of moisture and heat, and the Udvijja (eruptive or metamorphic, e.g. the coccinella); and
- (b) Yonija, sexually generated, e.g. the Andaja, oviparous.

But some are both a-sexually and sexually generated, being both *Svedajas* and *Andajas*, or *Udvijjas* and *Andajas*.

The Ksudrajantus (Invertebrata) comprise-

- (I.) The Krimis, Vermes: (a) Apâdikas without lateral appendages (cf. Scolecids), (b) Nûpurakas, Annelids (a section), (c) Gandûpadas, Arthropoda (a section).
- (II.) The Jalûkâs, Leeches, of which twelve species are described, six venomous and six innocuous (cf. Suśruta's careful description, Sûtrasththâna, Chap. XIII.).
- (III.) Kośasthas, Shelled Animals, some forms of Mollusca, e.g. the Śankhas (Conchifera), the

Šuktikas (pearl-mussels), the *Sambukas* (spiral-shelled Helix), the *Vodikas*, etc.

- (IV.) Then the Insects, typified by the Ants, comprising—
 - (a) Pipîlikâ, Rohinikâ (ants, Hymenoptera).
 - (b) Upachikâ, Kunthu, Tuburaka (bugs and flies, Hemiptera).
 - (c) Cucumber- and cotton-lice (Aptera).
 - (d) Śatapadî, Utpataka (spring-tails, Aptera).
 - (e) Trinapatra, grass- or plant-lice (Aptera).
 - (f) Termites (Neuroptera).
 - (V.) Insects typified by the Hexopoda, comprising-
 - (a) Bhramara, Varata, Sâranga, bees, wasps, and hornets.
 - (b) Makşikâ, Puttikâ, Danśa, Maśaka, flies, gnats, gadflies, and mosquitoes.
 - (c) Vriśchika and Nandyâvarta, scorpions and spiders (Arachnida, Arthropoda).
 - (d) $K\hat{\imath}ta$, butterflies and moths; and
 - (e) Patanga, grasshoppers and locusts.

Suśruta-Nâgârjuna names six varieties of ants, six varieties of flies, five of mosquitoes (including one marine and one mountain kind), eight varieties of *Śatapadîs* (centipedes), thirty varieties of scorpions, and sixteen of spiders (*Lutâs*). Of the *Kîtas*, the glowworm and the *Tailakita* (*lit.* oil-worm) are said to be luminous (phosphorescent, cf. Rôjanighantu खर्द्योततेल्जीटों).

B.—The *Tiryyakyoni* animals, sexually generated animals other than such of the Ovipara as are included under the *Ksudrajantu*, in other words, sexually generated animals possessing bones and blood—corresponding to the Vertebrata—comprise the following classes :—

- I. Andaja, oviparous-
- (a) The Matsyas, fishes, divided into river-water fishes and sea fishes. Suśruta names eleven species of the latter. The Timi (whale?) is reckoned as a sea-fish. The Makara (shark) is also mentioned, but the Kurmas, Kumbhîras, and Śiśumâras (tortoises, crocodiles, and dolphins) are excluded from the class, as also the so-called shell-fish, being placed among the Pâdinas and the Kośasthas respectively.
- (b) The Uragas, apodal reptiles, including the Sarpas (snakes, Ophidia). Five classes of snakes are mentioned, one non-venomous, three venomous, and one hybrid. Eighty varieties of snakes are named, but the classification is based on superficial characters, e.g. markings on the scales, etc., and do not touch any anatomical peculiarities. The pathological observations regarding the distinct action of the poisons of different orders seem to be good.
- (c) Bhujangas, oviparous animals with lateral, pedal appendages, both Reptiles and Batrachians. Many of these are quadrupedal and five-clawed (चतुष्पादा: कोटा: पचनखा:-Dalvana).

The Bhujangas include :---

1. Godha, Grihagolika and Krikalâsa (Varantas lizards, common lizards, and chameleons). Suśruta names four varieties of the Kanava, a species of chameleon-like lizards (cf. Lâdyâyana quoted by Dalvana, Kalpasthâna, Chap. VIII.), also six varieties of Galagolika (a species of lizards), and five varieties of Godheraka, Varanuslike lizards, but smaller in size.

Suśruta mentions the frogs (Udvijja, eruptive or metamorphic?) after the quadrupedal and centipedal Reptilia (Kanava, Godheraka, Galagolika, and Satapadî). Eight species of frogs are named. The frogs are explained by the mythologist to have arisen from dirty water in the rainy season... प्रावृट्काले नतः (*i.e.* महेन्ध्ररज्ञकात्) मगडोदके जाता: (cf. Dalvana, Kalpasthâna, Chap. VIII.).

- Kûrmas and Nakras, tortoises and crocodiles (Chelonia and Emydosauria, Reptilia). Some species of the former are oval, others elongated (वर्न्सुरुद्दोधादिभेदा:).
- 3. Śiśumâras, the Delphinidæ (Odontocete cetacea).

Suśruta's $P\hat{a}dinas$ (aquatic animals having pedal or long dorsal appendages) are a conventional class formed for practical dietary purposes, and include (2) and (3) and also the *Karkatas*, crabs (Crustacea). Umâsvati's *Bhujanga* class, being a natural sub-division of Vertebrates, does not include Crustacea, which are rightly placed among the Invertebrates.

- (d) Lomapaksa paksi, winged animals with feathery wings, birds proper. These are oviparous, while the winged animals with leather wings (चम्मेपस्यपश्चिया:) are placentalia of the Deciduata class (पोतना:).
- The Birds proper are divided into four classes :---
 - (1) *Plavas*, aquatic or amphibious birds, comprising the Natatores and the Grallatores. Various species are described.
 - (2) Viskiras, those that scatter their food in picking up.
 - (3) *Pratudas*, those that pierce or torment their food (fruits or grains).
 - The enumeration of the species under (2) and (3) shows that these two classes included the Passeres (veræ and so-called), the Scansores, the Rasores, and the Columbæ.
 - (4) Prasahas, birds of prey proper (Raptores).

Dalvana's descriptions of deer and birds are precise, turning upon coloration, habits of life, etc., *e.g.* the descriptions of the Ruru, the Kâraṇdava, and the Kanka, expressly quoted from some (unnamed) handbooks :—

कूलेचरमाह ... रुरुः शरदि शृङ्गत्यागी । तज्ञख्र्यं उच्यते-

ें विकटबहुविषाग्रः शम्बराकारदेहः सलिलतटचरित्वात् सखरेभ्यः विचित्रः । त्यजति शरदि शृङ्घं रौति ''—इत्यसौ रुरुः स्यात् ।

कारग्रुवः शुद्धहंसभेदोऽत्यः चन्ये करहरमाहुः । उक्तच "कारग्रुवः काक-वक्त्री दीर्घाङ्घिः कृष्णवर्णभाक् '' इति ।

प्रसहानाह ... कङ्कः दीर्घचचुर्महाप्राग्रः । उर्क्तं च ''कङ्कःस्यात् कङ्क-मल्लाख्यो वाग्रपत्राहेपछ्कः । लोहपृष्टो दीर्घपादः पछाधः पाग्रुवर्णभाक् ।'' इति । The sources from which Dalvana derives detailed information about these varied forms of animal life are now unavailable, but these extracts abundantly testify to the minute Nature study of the Hindus.

The Hindus had of course no idea of an anatomical classification of birds.

The ancient writer Lâdyâyana had a much better idea of zoological description in reference to the *Kîtas* (insects and reptiles, *vide infra*).

II.—Jarâyujas (viviparous, *lit.* placentalia) in the usual wider sense, comprising—

- (a) Charmapakşa pâkşis, leather-winged animals, which are Deciduata (Potaja). Charaka calls them Mrigapakşina (mammal birds), and distinguishes them from the birds of prey proper, in his enumeration of the Prasahas (Sutrasthâna, Chap. XXVII.). The Bats mentioned are :—
 - (1) Valguli (flying-fox).
 - (2) The Paksi Virâla (flying-cat, micro-Chiroptera).
 - (3) The Bharanda (a species of micro-Chiroptera, the horse-shoe bat?).
 - (4) The Jalukâ (lit. aquatic or amphibious, or more probably leech, bat, blood-sucking or vampire bat?). These are placed among carnivorous animals.
- (b) The Vileśaya Jarâyujas, mammals that live in holes or burrows, including various species of Rodents and Insectivora, which are named.

Eighteen different varieties of mice are specified (Suśruta, *Kalpasthâna*, Chap. VI.).

- (c) Parnamrigas, arboreal mammals, comprising some Rodents (squirrels, etc.), a wild-cat, the sloths, and the apes (यानर).
- (d) Non-carnivorous quadrupeds (जन्नव्यादाः) :---
 - Janghâlas (lit. strong-legged quadrupeds, frequenting hilly and jungly tracts), comprising various species of deer (noncarnivorous wild animals, जन्नवाद).
 - (2) Kulechara, mammals grazing on the banks of rivers, and frequenting marshy places, comprising the elephant, the rhinoceros, the gaveya (Bos gavæus), the buffalo, the hog, and also several species of deer (which live in well-watered lands). These are also non-carnivorous (अक्रयाद).
 - (3) Grâmyas (lit. living in or near villages), non-carnivorous, domesticated quadrupeds, some with undivided hoof, others with cloven hoof, comprising the horse, the mule, the ass, the camel, the cow, the goat, the sheep, etc. These are all noncarnivorous. The dog and the cat are not mentioned in the list.
- (e) Carnivorous quadrupeds, Guhâśaya (living in natural caves or hollows, carnivorous Kravyâda), comprising the lion, the tiger, the wolf (of the dog class), the hyena, the bear, the panther, the cat, the jackal, etc. The Carnivora were termed

Vyâlas or Kravyâdas, and the Herbivora Pasus (in a wider sense).

(f) Man.

The term Jaráyuja, in a wider sense, came to mean "viviparous," and included the above orders of animals. But the Jainas used the term Jaráyuja in a narrower sense to mean only those viviparous animals which come out at birth with the placenta (a-deciduata). The Deciduata (including the Proboscidea, the Rodentia, the Insectivora, the Chiroptera, etc.) were termed Potaja, lit. viviparous animals born without placenta. Man, the apes, and the Carnivora are, however, reckoned with the Jaráyuja (viviparous, born with placenta). Perhaps the afterbirth was observed in these cases, whereas the Potajas (Deciduata) may have been erroneously conceived to throw off no placenta (गर्भ जन्म, supra).

Lâdyâyana appears to have made a special study of the classification of Kitas (Insects and Reptiles), and is quoted by Dalvana as a great authority on the subject.

The various forms (ϵq) of *Kitas* are to be distinguished from one another by peculiarities in the following marks:—

(1) Dottings or markings, (2) wings, (3) pedal appendages, (4) mouth, with antennæ or nippers (मुखसन्देश-Dalvana), (5) claws, (6) sharp, pointed hairs or filaments, (7) stings in the tail, (8) hymenopterous character (संन्धिष्ट: पक्षरोमभिः), (9) humming or other noise, (10) size, (11) structure of the body, (12) sexual organs (this is how I interpret *linga* here), and (13) poison and its action on bodies.
Cf. कटुभिः चिन्दुलेखाभिः पद्यैः पादैः मुखैः नखैः शूकैः कारतकलांगलैः संख्रिष्टेः पछरोमभिः । खनैः प्रमार्थैः संस्थानै लिङ्ग्रैश्वापि शरीरगैः विषवीर्य्येश्व कीटानां रूपज्ञानं विभाव्यते ॥

(Quoted from Lâdyâyana by Dalvana, *Kalpasthâna*, Chap. VIII.)

CHAPTER VI.

HINDU PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOLOGY.

SECTION 1.

METABOLISM.

The food that we eat contains five classes of organic compounds. From their radicles or predominant elements, the substances are named Earth-compounds, Ap-compounds, Tejas-compounds, Vâyu-compounds, and Âkâśa-compounds. The Earth-compounds supply the hard, formed matter of the body, the Tejas-compounds give the animal heat (or the metabolic heat), the Vâyucompounds are the sources of the motor-force in the organism, the Ap-compounds furnish the watery parts of the organic fluids, and the Âkâśa-compounds contribute to the finer etheric essence which is the vehicle of the conscious life

Roughly speaking, the Earth-compounds answer to the nitrogen compounds in the food, the Tejas-compounds to the hydro-carbons (heating-producing), and the Vâyu-compounds to the carbo-hydrates (dynamic). The Ap-compounds are the watery parts of food and drink. The flesh, for example, is a tissue composed principally of the Earth-compounds; the fat, of the Earthand Ap-compounds; the bones, of Earth-, Vâyu-, and Tejas-compounds. Different operations of the metabolic heat (perhaps different digestive fluids are also meant) are required to digest the different substances in the food.

The course of metabolism is described as follows. The entire alimentary canal is called the Mahâsrotas (the great channel).

The food goes down the gullet by the action of the bio-motor force, the $Pr\hat{a}na V\hat{a}yu$.

In the stomach (आमाज्ञय) the food becomes mixed up, first with a gelatinous mucus (फेलोभूत कफं) which has a saccharine taste, and then gets acidulated by the further chemical action of a digestive juice (विदाहादस्रतां गत:, evidently the gastric juice is meant). Then the biomotor force, the Samâna Vâyu, begins to act, and drives down the chyme, by means of the Grahani Nadî, to the Pittâśaya (duodenum, lit. bile-receptacle), and thence to the small intestines (the जानपद्वाज्ञय). In these, the bile (or rather the digestive substance in the bile, as opposed to the colouring element) acts on the chyme and converts the latter into chyle (रस), which has at first a katu taste (pungency). This chyle contains in a decomposed and metamorphosed condition all the organic compounds, viz. tissue-producing Earth-compounds, water-parts or Ap-compounds, heat-producing Tejas-compounds, force-producing Vâyu-compounds, and lastly, finer etheric constituents which serve as the vehicle of consciousness. The essence of chyle (मुझ्मभाग) from the small intestines is driven by the bio-motor force, the Prâna Vâyu, along a Dhamanî trunk (cf. the thoracic duct), first to the heart (which is a great receptacle of chyle), and thence to the liver (and the spleen); and in the liver the colouring substance in

the bile acts on the essence of chyle, especially on the Tejas-substance therein, and imparts to it a red pigment, transforming it into blood; but the grosser part of chyle ($\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}}$) proceeds along the Dhamanîs, being driven by the bio-motor force, the $Vy\hat{a}na \ V\hat{a}yu$, all over the body.

When the blood has been formed, the essence of chyle in the blood, acted on by Vâyu (bio-motor force) and Mâmsâgni (the flesh-forming metabolic heat), forms the flesh-tissue, the Earth-compound of the foodsubstance especially contributing to this tissue. Of the flesh-tissue thus formed, the grosser part goes to feed or replenish the flesh-tissue all over the body. The finer essence of flesh in the blood in the chyle, acted on again by Vâyu (bio-motor current) and the fat-forming metabolic heat (मेदोऽग्नि) in the menstruum of lymph (कफं समाध्रित्य), receives viscosity and whiteness, and produces the fatty tissue, the Earth-compounds and Ap-compounds of the food specially contributing to the product. This fat in the chyle (or blood), or rather the grosser part of it, replenishes the fatty tissue of the body, but the finer essence of fat in the flesh in the blood in the chyle, acted on by Vâyu (bio-motor current) and the marrow-forming metabolic heat, in the menstruum of lymph (श्वेष्मणावृत), becomes hard (crystalline) and forms bone, the Earth-, Vâyu-, and Tejascompounds contributing principally to the product. The essence of the fat fills the hollow channels of the bones, and acted on again by bio-motor Vâyu and metabolic heat, becomes transformed into marrow. The marrow is similarly transformed into the semen, which is con-

veyed down by means of a pair of *Dhamanîs* or ducts (द्वे जुझवरे), lodged in its receptacles (जुझपरा-वृषणो), and discharged by means of another pair of ducts (द्वेविसगाय). The semen, or rather all the elements in their finer essence, give off *ojas*, which returns to the heart, the receptacle of chyle and blood, and again floods the body and sustains the tissues, thus completing the wheel or self-returning circle of metabolism (परिवृत्तिस्तु चन्नवत, cf. Charaka and Vâgbhata).

It is to be noted that, throughout, the fluid in the chyle or blood acts as the menstruum, though occasionally the lymph, which is itself a derivative from the chyle, is added, as in the case of the fatty tissue and the bones; and that each preceding element or constituent of the body (थान - शरीरारम्भक धानु) takes up the proper organic compounds from the food chyle to form the next element or tissue. Throughout, also, the chemical changes are due to the metabolic heat which breaks up the compounds and recombines, but the operations, and even the vehicles perhaps, of this heat are different. For example, these heat-corpuscles in the biliary ducts produce the bile, but the bile-secretion is supposed to contain two distinct substances: (1) a digestive fluid in the duodenum (fuana), which acts on the chyme to produce the chyle (जनस्य पक्त पाचनाख्यं पित्रं); and (2) a colouring bile-substance in the liver, which adds a red pigment to the chyle and transforms it into blood (रच्नकाख्यं पिन्नं). Besides, there are three other biles, of which the aqueous humour in the eye is supposed to be one (जालोचनं पित्रं), helping in the formation of visual images (रूपग्राहजं). This is the view of Dhanvantari and

his school, but Âtreya holds there is no evidence that the bile really performs the first (digestive) function, for this can be accounted for by the animal heat arising from the working of the whole bodily machine.

There are three different hypotheses regarding the course of metabolism and the successive transformations of the chyle (स्वीरदधिन्याय-केदारीकुल्यान्याय-खलेकपोतन्यायेति त्रिधा धान् पोषणक्रमः-Chakrapâni, Bhânumatî, Sûtrasthâna, XIV., 10; also his commentary on Charaka, Sûtrasthâna, XXVIII.), but my account is based on the second hypothesis, which has the preference of Chakrapâni (सरस:). It may be added as a curiosity that each element of the body (under the metabolic heat is supposed to give off a finer essence (सुस्लभाग), which serves as the material of the next succeeding element, and a dross (मल), which forms some of the excreta in the body (including the nails, the hair, etc.), besides retaining its own substance (the gross or main part), which is driven along by the Vâyus (bio-motor or vital currents), or by the Śrotas, to its destination in the body.

Some idea of *circulation* appears to have been entertained, for the heart which receives, and then sends down the chyle through the *Dhamanîs*, gets it back transformed into blood, and the *ojas* also proceeds from the heart and returns to it along with the chyle and the blood.

(Cf. Vâgbhata, ता: ढृत्स्या: शिरा: रसात्मकं छोजः छभिवहन्यः) । पचभूतात्मके देहे आहार: पाचभौतिकः । विपक्वः पचधा सम्यग् गणान् स्तानभिवर्द्वयेत् ।—Susruta, Sûtrasthâna, Ch. XLVI.; cf. also वियत्पवनजाताभ्यां वृद्धिमाप्नोति मारुतः । जाग्नेयमेव यर्ट्रव्यं तेन पिन्नमुदीर्यते । —Ibid., Ch. XLI. भौमाप्याग्नेयवायव्याः पचोप्माणः सनाभसाः । पचाहा-

रगुणान् खान् खान् पार्थिवादीन् पचन्यनु। यथाखं ते च पुष्णनि पक्वा भूतगुणान् पृथक् । पार्थिवाः पार्थिवानेव शेषाः शेषांश्व देहगान् । अतिरिक्ता गुणा रक्ते वहेमांसे तु पार्थिवाः । मदस्यपां भुवश्वास्थिन पृथिव्यनिऌतेजसां ।---Charaka quoted by Dalvana. जादी पड़रसमप्पन्नं मधुरीभृतमीरयेत, फेखीभूतं कर्फं यातं विदाहाद्वतां गतः । वायुना समानाख्येन ग्रहणीमभिनीयते । षष्ठी पित्तथरा नाम या कला परिकीर्त्तिता। ज्ञामपक्वाशयानःस्या ग्रहणी सार्धभिधीयते । जग्न्यधिष्ठानमन्नस्य ग्रहणादु ग्रहणी मता । भुक्तमामाशये रुद्धा सा विपाच्य नयत्पथः । बलवत्पवला त्वन्नमाममेव विमुच्चति । ... जनस्य पक्त पित्रनु पाचकाख्यं पुरेरितम् । दोषधातुमलादीनामुम्मेत्यात्रेयशासनम् । . . . तेजोरसानां सर्वेपामम्बुजानां यद्व्यते, पित्तोप्मखा सरागेख रसो रक्तवमृच्छति । वाय्वग्नितेजसा युक्तं रक्तं मांसत्वमृच्छति । श्वेष्मार्यं च समाश्रित्य मांसं वाय्वग्नि-संयुतम्, स्थिरतां प्राप्य शौकल्यं च मेदो देहेऽभिजायते। पृथिव्यग्यनिलादीनां सङ्घातः श्वेष्मणावृतः, खरत्वं प्रकरोत्यस्य जायतेऽस्थि ततो नृणाम् । करोति तत्र सी पियेनस्थनां मध्ये सनीरणः । मेदसा तानि पूर्यन्ते खेहो नज्जा ततः स्मृतः । तस्मान्मज्ञन्त्र य: स्नेह: शुक्रं संजायते तत: I-Charaka-Dridhavala Samhitâ quoted by Aruna in his commentary on Vâgbhata.)

यथा केदारनिषक्तं कुल्याजलं प्रत्यासन्नां केदारीमाप्रावयति । तथा रस एव प्रथमं रक्तं प्रावयति । तत्र रक्तस्यानसम्बन्धात् रक्तसादृष्ट्र्यं रक्तव्यपेद्शंच अनु-भवति । रक्तं च रक्तसमानेन स्तोकेनांशेन छन्तं पोषयति । ततो रक्तमाप्राव्य मांसनाप्रावयति, एवमुन्नरोत्तरधातून् रसएव प्रावयति । (Chakradatta, Bhânumati). This passage shows that the "venous blood" was conceived to be chyle-essence mixed with blood, and that the circulation of the chyle, so far as it was held to contribute its quota to the constituent elements and tissues of the body, was really supposed to be identical with the circulation of the blood (ततो रक्तमाग्राव्य मांसमाग्रावयति). This will be abundantly clear from the following account of the course of the chyle and the blood :—

ततः सारभूतस्याहाररसस्य ही भागी भवतः । स्यूलः सूझ्मन्न ... ततः

सूक्ष्मोभागः प्राणवायुनाप्रेरितो धमनीमार्गेण शरीरारम्भकस्य रक्तस्य स्यानं यकृत्-प्रोहरूपं गत्वा तेन सह मिलितोभवति । ततः प्राक्तनरक्तधातौ एव तिष्ठति । . . . ततः सारभूतस्य आहाररसस्य डौ भागौ भवतः । स्यूलः सूक्ष्मन्न । स्यूलो भागो रच्चकास्येन पित्तेन रक्तीकृतः शरीरारम्भकं रक्तं पोषयन् व्यान-वायुना प्रेरितोधमनीभिः सचरन् सकलशरीरगतानि रुधिराणि पुष्णाति । ततः सूक्ष्मोभागः व्यानवायुनाप्रेरितो धमनीभिः शिराभिन्न शरीरारम्भकाणि मांसानि याति । et seq.

This finer essence of chyle which nourishes the flesh is also carried in the blood, on the irrigation channel hypothesis (केंदारी-कुल्यान्याय).

SECTION 2.

THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM.

The standing puzzle of Hindu anatomy and physiology is the classification of the Sirâs, Dhamanîs, and Srotas, the channels, passages, and ducts in the body including the arteries, veins, nerves, lymphatic vessels, etc. The difficulty was felt by the ancient observers themselves. Some were of opinion that the Dhamanîs and Śrotas are only modifications of the Śirâs, and that the division is artificial. Suśruta, however, contends that they are distinct, because they can be traced to different roots and have different functions; they are apt to be confounded only because they are minute, juxtaposed, similar in function (Suśruta, Śârîrasthâna, and Chapter IX.). Charaka also accepts the established division, but points out that the numbers as estimated conjectural (ज्ञनिद्देश्यमतःपरं तक्मैमेव, Sarîrasthana, are Chapter VII.).

The Sirâs, Dhamanîs, and Śrotas form networks

(जालान) of cords, fibres, passages, which in the foctus take their rise from the umbilical cord, and proceed upwards to the heart and head, downwards to the kidneys and rectum, and outwards to the trunk and limbs. These three classes comprise all the vehicles or conductors of the fluids, secretions, and currents in the bodily system.

The *Śrotas* (currents). This is a peculiarity of Hindu physiology. The chyle, the blood, the $V\hat{a}yu$, the metabolic fluid (fera), the lymph, the fat, the marrow, in every part of the body, is supposed to be connected by means of subtle currents (*Śrotas*) with the same kind of fluid (or tissue) in every other part. Without supposing such special connections, many pathological phenomena cannot be explained.

The $\hat{Sir}\hat{as}$ are divided into four groups: (1) the arteries for conducting the blood, (2) the lymphatics for conducting the lymph, (3) a class of bile-ducts, and (4) a class of ducts for the $V\hat{a}yus$, the currents which work the automatic and reflex machinery of the living organism. In each group there are 10 trunk $\hat{Sir}\hat{as}$, which sub-divide into 175 cords, and further ramify minutely all over the body, even as a network of minute fibrils covers the leaf of a tree.

The functions of the different groups of $\hat{Sir}\hat{as}$ are to conduct or transmit the (arterial) blood, the lymph, the bile, and the (vital) $V\hat{ayu}$ currents respectively to the different parts of the body. The $\hat{Sir}\hat{as}$ are compared to the conduits of the flowing water in a pleasure-house (a garden), or the channels of irrigation that flood a field. The conduction (or transmission) of the fluids and currents is effected by an alternate dilation and contraction of the vessels, the systolic movement differing according to the nature of the fluid propelled :

सप्त शिराशतानि भवनित याभिरिदं शरीरमाराम इव जलहारिणोभिः केदारइव च कुत्याभिरुपसिद्धाते अनुगृद्धते च आकुच्चनप्रसारणादिभिविंशेषैः । दुमपचसेवनीनामिव तासां प्रतानाः । तासां नाभिर्मूलं ततन्त्र प्रसरत्यूद्ध्वं अध-क्तिय्यैक् च । तासां मूलशिरान्नत्वारिंशत् । तासां वातवाहिन्यो दश पिन्नवा-हिन्यो दश कफवाहिन्यो दश दश रक्तवाहिन्यः—Susruta, Sârîrasthâna, Chap. VII.

The Dhaman's in the foctus take their rise from the umbilical cord, thus bringing nourishment from the mother. They are divided into three groups: (a) ten trunks or cords going up to the heart, and thence to the head, (b) ten going down to the intestines, kidneys, and rectum, and (c) four branching obliquely or sidewise, and ramifying over the whole body. In a general way, it may be stated that the Dhaman's comprise (1) the veins, (2) the nerves (including the sympathetic system), (3) the chyle-ducts (including the thoracic duct?) as distinguished from the other lymphatics, which are mostly classed as Śirâs, (4) the ducts for urine, sweat, and other secretions, and (5) lastly, certain classes of bile-ducts and conductors of Vâyu currents, possibly those connected with the venous system and the chyleducts.

The first group of *Dhaman's*:—Special features: Each of the ten ascending *Dhaman's*, on reaching the heart, trifurcates, and proceeds to the head. Of these fibres, one pair is engaged in conducting each of the four sensory currents (those of sound, colour, taste, and smell) from the sense-organs, as Charaka and Susruta

must have supposed, to the heart, which is for them the seat of consciousness (हूदयं विशेषेग चेतनास्थानम्, Susruta, Sarîrasthâna, Chap. IV. ; हृदयं चेतनाधिष्ठानमेकम, Charaka, Śârîrasthâna, Chap. VIII.). Other Dhamanîs, also in pairs, are engaged in conducting automatic (or voluntary) motor currents (e.g. the currents concerned in respiration, yawning, sleeping and waking), or the secretions of the lachrymal and mammary glands.

The second group of *Dhamanîs* :---Special functions : The descending Dhaman's go down to the intestines, kidneys, bladder, and rectum, and their special function is to convey, in pairs as before, urine and other secretions and excreta. They also convey the chyle from the small intestines to the ascending as well as the ramifying Dhamanîs. In addition, some of them convey sweat to the ramifying Dhamanîs.

The third group :---Special functions : The remaining four Dhamanîs ramify obliquely over the body into millions of fibres and fibrillæ, which terminate in the pores of the skin. From all parts of the periphery they conduct the sensory currents of touch to the central organ of the heart (including the internal organic sensations). Being connected with the pores of the skin, they conduct sweat outwards, and the influences of baths, embrocations, and fomentations inwards.

Other Dhamanîs serving as chyle-ducts and as (venous) blood-vessels.-Besides the special functions performed by the three groups, there are two characteristic functions common to certain classes of Dhamanis which are found in all the three groups, viz. the conduc-

P 2

tion of chyle and of (venous) blood, *i.e.* of impure blood before it gets its red pigment from the liver. It may also be added that the three principal elements of the body—Vâyu (vital current), Pitta (bile, or rather the fluid animal heat which produces metabolism, पान, and flows to all the parts of the body by means of connective passages), and Kapha (lymph)—make use of the Dhamanîs as well as the Śirâs and Śrotas, *i.e.* of all manner of conductors in the organism (cf. Charaka, वातपित्तक्षेप्पणां पुन: सर्वश्वरीरचराणां सवाणि सोतांगि छयनभूतानि— Vimânasthâna, Chapter V.).

The functions of the $\hat{S}ir\hat{a}s$ may therefore be stated as follows:—

(1) The conduction of blood from the liver and spleen, red blood (what may be called the arterial blood of this system of physiology), to the heart, head, trunk, limbs, etc.

(2) Common functions of all connective passages, viz. the conduction of $V\hat{a}yu$ (vital current), *Pitta* (metabolic fluid), and *Kapha* (lymph).

The different classes of *Dhamanîs*, with their functions, are :---

(1) The nerves: (a) eight sensory (central) nerves for the four special senses other than touch; (b) twelve motor nerves partly for voluntary and partly for automatic movements; and (c) the nerves of touch and organic sensation, including the sympathetic nerves.

(2) and (3) The chyle-ducts and the conductors of (venous) blood before it is transformed into blood in the liver (and the spleen).

(4) The classes of Dhamanîs, which, in common

with the $Sir\hat{a}s$ and Srotas, conduct $V\hat{a}yu$, Pitta, and Kapha, the prime movers of the organic life.

THE VASCULAR SYSTEM.

The anatomical arrangement of the \hat{Siras} and *Dhamanis* in Charaka and Suśruta is so obscure that only a rough outline of its general features can be attempted. In fact, Charaka contents himself with giving the number of \hat{Sira} cords as 700, and of *Dhamani* cords as 200. He also estimates the ramifications as numbering 3,056,900.

The carriage of the blood and the lymph is accomplished by two sets of vessels, \hat{Siras} and Dhamanis, the first standing for "arteries" (with capillaries) and lymphatics (other than chyle-ducts), and the second for "veins" and chyle-ducts (as well as certain passages for sweat, etc.).

The navel in the foctus is taken to be the source and origin of the entire vascular system, whether for the blood or the lymph. Vâgbhata takes the heart to be the origin of the $\hat{Sir}\hat{as}$.

From this central alimentary tract two sets of vessels (*Śirâs* and *Dhamanîs*—*Rasavâhinyah*) issue for the flow of the chyle and other lymph, and two sets (*Śirâs* and *Dhamanîs*, "arteries" and "veins"—*Raktavâhinyah*) for the flow of the blood.

The Lymph (and Chyle): Distribution.—The chyle is conducted by the chyle-bearing *Dhamanîs* (*Rasa-vâhinyah*), and the lymph (other than chyle) by the lymph-bearing *Śirâs* and *Dhamanîs* (*Kaphavâhinyah*). The lymph-bearing Sirâs comprise 10 branches at the origin, and ramify into 175—viz., 25 in each leg, 25 in each arm, 8 in the pelvic cavity, coccyx, penis, etc., 2 in each side, 6 in the back, 6 in the abdomen, 10 in the breast, 14 in the neck, 2 in the ears, 9 in the tongue, 6 in the nose, and 10 in the eyes.

The chyle is carried by another system of vessels (Rasavâhinyah Dhamanyah). The chyle-ducts issue from the Navî (possibly the receptaculum chyli in this case). A Dhamanî trunk descends to the small intestine, and, taking up the chyle, proceeds upwards towards the heart (thoracic duct?). Two chyle-ducts as well as two lymph-ducts (Dhamanîs—Rasavâhinyah and Kaphavâhinyah) proceed from the heart, and ramify over the head and trunk. Similarly two chyle-ducts and two lymph-ducts proceed from the intestinal tract and ramify over the pelvic region. Four obliquely branching Dhamanîs (bearing chyle, sweat, and internal secretions) also spread from the central tract, and ramify in numberless minute channels over the limbs and the body.

The Blood-vascular System.—There are two classes of blood conductors: (1) $\dot{Sir}as$, which break up into capillaries (*Pratân*) and distribute "pure" blood from the liver (and spleen) to the heart, and from the heart to the rest of the body (asrigvahâscha rohinyah śirâh nâtyuṣnaśîtalâh,—Suśruta, Sarîrasthâna, Chap. VII.; cf. Vâgbhata: daśa mûlasîrâh hritsthâh, Chap. III., Sârîrasthâna), and (2) Dhamanîs (Raktavâhinyah), which proceed, two from the intestinal tract (portal vein and inferior vena cava), and two from the heart (superior vena cava and pulmonary artery?).

The "venous" blood (chyle-mixed blood) proceeds from the alimentary tract (gastric and intestinal vessels), along a *Dhamanî* trunk (portal vein?) to the liver (and spleen), where the chyle gets a red pigment and is turned into (pure) blood. From the liver and spleen, Sirâs ("arteries") proceed to the heart. The liver (and spleen, a minor blood-vascular gland) and the heart are the centres of origin of the Sirâs("arteries"), and distribute pure blood by their means over the entire body.

Arrangement of the blood-bearing $Sir\hat{a}s$ and Dhamanîs. — Two blood - bearing Dhamanî trunks ("veins") proceed from the heart (superior vena cava and pulmonary artery?), and two proceed from different regions of the alimentary tract (portal vein and inferior vena cava?). Ten $Sir\hat{a}s$ bearing pure blood proceed from the alimentary tract to the liver and spleen, which are joined on to the heart by means of both $Sir\hat{a}s$ and Dhamanîs. The ten $Sir\hat{a}s$ are subdivided into 175 branches, which are distributed over the body in the same way as the lymph-bearing $Sir\hat{a}s$ (vide supra).

It is clear that Charaka and Suśruta had no idea of the part played by the lungs in the purification of the blood. The liver converts the "venous" blood in this system into true ("arterial") blood, and along with the spleen serves as a basis of discrimination between a $Sir\hat{a}$ and a *Dhaman* \hat{i} , thus illustrating Suśruta's statement that the distinction between these two kinds of blood-vessels must be accepted as real, inasmuch as they have different sources and different functions.

तासालु नाभिप्रभवानां धमनीनासूद्ध्वेगा दश दश चाधोगामिन्यश्चत-सस्तियेग्गाः जह्थ्वेगास्तु दृदयमभिप्रपन्ना स्तिथा जायनो । अथोगमास्तु पित्राशय-मभिप्रतिपन्नास्त त्रस्यमेवानपानरसं विपक्वं जीष्ण्यातु विरेचयन्यः जभिवहन्यः शरीरं तपैयन्ति चोह्ध्वेगतानां तियेगृगतानां रसस्यानमभिषूरयन्ति (Susruta, Sarîrasthâna, Chap. IX.). तत्र पांचभौतिकस्य जाहारस्य सम्यक् परिएतस्य यस्तेजोभूतः सारः परमसूच्सः स रस इष्यते । तस्य च हृदयं स्थानम् । स हृदयाचतुर्विंशतीः धमनीरनुप्रविश्य ऊहस्वैगा दश दश चाथोगामिन्यन्नत-सक्तियेग्गाः जनसं शरीरं अहरहस्तपेयति । तस्य शरीरमनुधावतोऽनुमानाद गतिरुपलख्यितव्या । स खत्वाप्पोरसः यकृत्झीहानी प्राप्प रागमुपैति । (Ibid., Chap. IV.). रंजितास्तेजसाम्त्वापः शरीरस्थेन देहिनां रक्तमित्यभिधीयते । (Ibid., Sutrasthâna, Chap. XIV.). सप्तशिराशतानि भवनि । याभिरिदं शरीरम् आराम इव जलहारिग्रीभिः केदार इव कुल्याभिरुपच्चित्रते आकुचनप्रसारणादिभिविंशेषेः । नाभ्यां सञ्ची निवडास्ताः प्रतन्वन्ति समनतः । तासां मूलजि़ाराः चत्वारिं शत्तासां वातवाहिन्यो दश पित्तवाहिन्यो दश लफवा-हिन्यो दश दश च रक्तवाहिन्यः । तासानु वातवाहिनीनां वात स्थानगतानां पचसमतिशतं भवति । रक्तवाहिन्यश्च यकृत्पीहोरेव । तत्र वातवाहिन्यः शिराः एकस्मिन् सक्षिन पचविंशतिः । एतेन इतरसक्षिबाहू च व्याख्याती । विशेषतस्तु कोष्ठे पृष्ठे चोदरे वक्षसि जनुणः जद्ध्वं। एवं रक्तवहाः कफवहाश्व। धातूनां पूरणं वर्षे स्पर्शज्ञानमसंशयम्। स्ताः शिराः सचरद्रक्तं कुर्य्याचान्यान् गुणानपि (Sarîrasthâna, Chap. VIII.). डितीया (कला) रक्तथरा नाम। तस्यां शोणितं विशेषतस्तु शिरासु यकृत् झोहोश्व भवति (Ibid., Chap. IV.). षष्ठी (कला) पित्तथरा नाम । चतुर्विधमन्नपानमुपयुक्तमामाज्ञयात् प्रच्युतं पक्षाज्ञ-योपस्थितं धारयति । स्रोतस्तट् विज्ञेयं शिराधमनीवर्ज्जितं । ... तानि (स्रोतांसि) प्राणान्नोदकरसरक्षमांसमेदोमूत्रपुरीपशुक्राक्तैववहानि । तत्र प्राणवहे द्वे। तयोमूलं हृदयं रसवाहिन्यन्न धमन्यः । उदलवहे हे । तयोर्मूलं तालु क्लोम (Kloma, gall-bladder) च। तत्र विद्वस्य पिपासा। अन्नवहे हे। तयोमूलं आमाशयः अन्नवाहिन्यन्नधमन्यः। रसवहे हे। तयोर्मूलं हृदयं रसवाहिन्यन्न धमन्यः। रक्तवहे हे। तयोमूलं यकृत् आहानी रक्तवाहिन्यन्त्र धमन्यः। मांसवहे हे। तयोमूलं स्नायुत्वचं रक्तवाहिन्यन्न धमन्यः ।

SECTION 3.

THE NERVOUS SYSTEM IN CHARAKA.

The Nerves.—*Dhamanîs* as nerves: The anatomy of the nervous system in Charaka and Suśruta can be more clearly and confidently restored. The *Dhamanîs* that ascend from the heart divide into thirty cords, of which twenty, *i.e.* ten pairs, are cranial nerves, and the other ten, or five pairs, are engaged in conveying vital currents, metabolic fluid, lymph, blood, and chyle.

The cranial nerves are: (1) four pairs of sensory nerves carrying sensory impulses from the sense-organs to the heart, viz. the optic, auditory, olfactory, and gustatory nerves, and (2) six pairs of motor (or mixed nerves), e.g. three pairs of motor nerves for the eye (Motores oculorum, Pathetic and Abducentes) working the Levator Palpebræ and other muscles of the orbit; one pair of motor nerves for articulation (the Hypoglossal); one pair of motor nerves for the larynx (the Pneumo-gastric), and another pair connected with mammæ and (in the case of the male) the seminal duct. It is further stated that other motor or sensori-motor impulses are carried by some of these cranial nerves, viz. those which produce sighs and sobs, yawning, laughter, and hunger. Evidently some of the functions of the pneumogastric and the spinal accessory nerves are intended, as also of the phrenic and other nerves of the cervical plexus.

Of the thirty descending *Dhamanîs*, ten (or five pairs) are conductors of blood, chyle, vital current,

metabolic fluid, and lymph, and the remaining twenty are spinal nerves (specially the nerves of the sacrococcygeal and sacral plexuses, if not also of the lumbar plexus). The obliquely branching *Dhamanîs*, so far as they are nerves, comprise the brachial and the lumbar plexus, and divide and sub-divide hundred-fold, thousandfold, till they ramify into fibrillæ round the pores of the skin. They carry to the heart cutaneous sensations, external as well as internal. A fibril (सूझनाड़ो) is said to be as minute as the thousandth part of a hair (लोझ: सहसभागेन तुत्यासु प्रचरायसु:-Panchadasî).

जद्ध्वंगाः शब्दरूपरसगन्धप्रश्वासोच्छ्वासविभृग्भितद्युद्धसितकथितरुदितादीन्-विशेषान् अभिवहन्यः शरीरं थारयन्ति । तास्तु दृदयमभिप्रतिपन्नास्त्विधा जायने तास्त्रिंशत् । तासान्तु वातपित्तकफशोणितरसान् डे डे वहतस्ता दश । शब्द-रूपरसगन्धान् अष्टाभिर्गृह्त्तीते । द्वाभ्यां भाषते । द्वाभ्यां घोषं करोति । द्वाभ्यां स्वपिति । द्वाभ्यां प्रतिवुध्यते । डे च अन्नुवाहिन्यौ । डे स्तनसंन्निते । ते रव शुन्नं नरस्य स्तनाभ्यां अभिवहतः ।

तिर्य्यग्गानान्तु चतसृणां धमनीनां एकैका ज्ञतथा सहस्रथा चोत्तरोत्तरं विभज्यने तास्त्वसंख्येयाः ।

ताभिरिदं शरीरं गवास्तितं तासां मुखानि रोमकूपप्रतिवद्वानि । यैः स्वेदमभिवहन्ति रसचापि सन्तर्पयन्ति जन्तवैहिन्च । तैरेव चाभ्यङ्गपरिषेकावगा-हालेपनवीर्य्याणि जन्तः शरीरमभिप्रतिपद्यने । त्वचि विपक्कानि तैरेव स्पर्शमुख-मसुखं वा गृह्णाति । तास्तु एतान्धतसोधमन्यः सवीङ्गगताः सविभागा व्यास्याताः । (Susruta, Sarîrasthana, Chap. IX.).

SECTION 4.

THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AFTER THE TANTRAS-PSYCHO-PHYSIOLOGY.

In Charaka and Suśruta (as in Aristotle) the heart is the central organ and seat of consciousness; but in the Tantric writings (as in Galen) the seat of consciousness is transferred to the brain or rather the cerebrospinal system. The soul (the *Jiva*) has its special seat within the *Brahmarandhra* above the foramen of Monro and the middle commissure, but traverses the whole cerebro-spinal axis, up and down, along the *Susumna* (the central passage of the spinal cord). The *Brahmadanda* (vertebral column) contains the *Susumna*, the *Brahma Nâdî*, and the *Manovahâ Nâdî*. The cerebrospinal axis with the connected sympathetic system contains a number of ganglionic centres and plexuses (*Chakras, Padmas*) from which nerves (*Nâdîs, Śirâs,* and *Dhamanîs*)¹ radiate over the head, trunk, and limbs.

SECTION 5.

GANGLIONIC CENTRES AND PLEXUSES (SYMPATHETIC-SPINAL SYSTEM).

Beginning with the lower extremity, the centres and plexuses of the connected spinal and sympathetic systems may be described as follows :---

(1) The Adhâra Chakra, the sacro-coccygeal plexus, with four branches, eleven angulis (about nine inches) below the solar plexus (Kanda, Brahmagranthi); the source of a massive pleasurable æsthesia, voluminous organic sensations of repose. An inch and a half above

¹ The writers of the Yoga and Tantra schools use the term $N\dot{a}d\dot{a}$, by preference, for nerves. They also mean cranial nerves when they speak of $\hat{Sir}\dot{as}$, never using the latter term for arteries, as in the older medical literature.

it, and the same distance below the membrum virile (Mehana), is a minor centre called the Agni-sikhâ.

(2) The Svâdhisthâna Chakra, the sacral plexus with six branches (पत्रागि, द्लागि—leaves), concerned in the excitation of sexual feelings with the accompaniments of lassitude, stupor, cruelty, suspicion, contempt.

(3) The Nâvikanda (corresponding to the solar plexus, Bhânubhavanam), which forms the great junction of the right and left sympathetic chains $(Pingal\hat{a} \text{ and } Id\hat{a})$ with the cerebro-spinal axis.

Connected with this is the *Manipuraka*, the lumbar plexus with connected sympathetic nerves, the ten branches of which are concerned in the production of sleep and thirst, and the expressions of passions like jealousy, shame, fear, stupefaction.

(4) The Anâhata Chakra, the cardiac plexus of the sympathetic chain, with twelve branches, connected with the heart, the seat of the egoistic sentiments, hope, anxiety, doubt, remorse, conceit, egoism, etc.

(5) The *Bhâratîsthâna*, the junction of the spinal cord with the medulla oblongata, which, by means of nerves like the pneumo-gastric, etc., regulates the larynx and other organs of articulation. This also comprises the laryngeal and pharyngeal plexuses.

(6) The Lalanâ Chakra, opposite the uvula, which has twelve leaves (or lobes), supposed to be the tract affected in the production of ego-altruistic sentiments and affections like self-regard, pride, affection, grief, regret, respect, reverence, contentment, etc.

(7) The sensori-motor tract, comprising two Chakras, (a) the $\hat{A}jn\hat{a}$ Chakra, lit. the circle of command (over movements), with its two lobes, and (b) the Manaschakra, the sensorium, with its six lobes (five special sensory for peripherally initiated sensations, and one common sensory for centrally initiated sensations, as in dreams and hallucinations).

The $\hat{Ajn}\hat{a}vah\hat{a}$ $N\hat{a}d\hat{l}\hat{s}$, efferent or motor nerves, communicate motor impulses to the periphery from this $\hat{Ajn}\hat{a}$ Chakra, this centre of command over movements; and the afferent or sensory nerves of the special senses, in pairs, the Gandhavah \hat{a} N $\hat{a}d\hat{l}$ (olfactory sensory), the Rupavah \hat{a} N $\hat{a}d\hat{l}$ (optic), the $\hat{S}avdavah\hat{a}$ N $\hat{a}d\hat{l}$ (auditory), the Rasavah \hat{a} N $\hat{a}d\hat{l}$ (gustatory), and the Sparaśavah \hat{a} N $\hat{a}d\hat{l}$ (tactile), come from the periphery (the peripheral organs of the special senses) to this Manaschakra, the sensory tract at the base of the brain. The Manaschakra also receives the Manovah \hat{a} N $\hat{a}d\hat{l}$, a generic name for the channels along which centrally initiated presentations (as in dreaming or hallucination) come to the sixth lobe of the Manaschakra.

(8) The Somachakra, a sixteen-lobed ganglion, comprising the centres in the middle of the cerebrum, above the sensorium; the seat of the altruistic sentiments and volitional control, *e.g.* compassion, gentleness, patience, renunciation, meditativeness, gravity, earnestness, resolution, determination, magnanimity, etc.; and lastly

(9) The Sahasrâra Chakra, thousand-lobed, the upper cerebrum with its lobes and convolutions, the special and highest seat of the Jiva, the soul.

गुस्रलिङ्गानरे चक्रमाधाराख्यं चतुईलम् । परमः सहजः ... जानन्दः ... स्यादेशानादिदले फलं। खाधिष्ठानं लिङ्गमूले षट्पत्रं चक्रमस्यच। पृष्ठीदिषु दलेषु फलानि एतानि प्रश्रयः क्रूरता ... मूर्च्छा ... जवज्ञा स्यादविश्वासः कामशके रिदं गृहम् । नाभौ दशदलं चक्रं मणिपूरसंज्ञं। सुषुप्रिरच तृष्णा स्यादीषा ... लज्जा भयं घृणा मोहः ... क्रमात् पूर्व्वादि दलेषु स्यात् भानुभवनच तत् ।

हृद्ये खनाहतं चक्रं। दल्टेंडीदशभिषुतं। लीच्यं कापट्यं वितकोंज्पनुता-पिता आज्ञा ... चिन्ता ... दम्भोवैकल्ल्यं विवेकोऽहंकृतिस्तया फल्टान्येतानि । क गुढेशीस भारतीस्थानं मोडशच्छदं तत्र प्रखव उद्दीप्तः सप्त खरा मडनादयः । ऌऌनाख्यं घग्तित्वायां चक्रं डादशपत्रकं। दमोमानस्ततः स्नेहः शोकः खेदख सम्धनन्नोमिः श्रद्धा तोषोऽपराधिता फलानि ललनाचक्रे। भूमध्ये द्विदलं चक्रं जाज्ञासंज्ञं फलानि च जाविभावाः सत्त्वरजस्तमसां। तत्र चास्ति मनश्चक्रं षड्दलं तत्मलानि तु खप्नो रसोपयोगन्न घाणं रूपोपलम्भनं स्पर्शनं शन्दवोधश्व पृर्धादिमु दलेमु । ततोऽपि मोड़शदलं सोमचन्नं । दलेमु मोडश तस्य कलुाः घोडज्ञ संस्थिताः । कृपाच माह्वं धेर्य्यं वैराग्यं धृतिसम्पदौ हास्यं रोमाचं विनयो ध्यानं मुस्थिरता ततः । गाम्भीर्थ्यमुद्यमोऽह्योभमीदायों-काग्रहे क्रमात्। फलान्युद्यनि जोवस्य पृष्ठीदिदलगामिनः। चक्रं सहसपत्रनु व्रझरन्ग्रे। सुषुम्नया व्रझरन्ग्रमारोहत्वरोहति। जीवः प्राणसमारूढो रज्ज्वा कोलाटिको यथा। व्रबरम्ध्रे स्थितो जोवः सुधया संमुतः। ... जाधा-राट्द्राङगुलाट्धें मेहनाट्द्राङ्गुलादधः अग्निशिखा (चक्रं) चक्रात् तस्मात् नवाङ्गुलः देहस्य कन्दोर्धस्त । उत्सेधायामाभ्यां चतुरङ्गुलः व्रबयन्थिरितिप्रोक्तः । (संगोतरलाकर as summarised in Dâmodara's Sangitadarpana; vide Sangîta-ratnâkara, पिग्डोत्पत्तिप्रकरणं, verses 116-144) दी घी स्थिमू ईपर्य्यनं ब्रबद खेति कथ्यते तस्पाने सुषिरं सूक्तं ब्रबनाडीति सूरिभिः (उत्तरगीता)-तत् कर्णिकायां विष्वग्व्यापिशाखासहस्रवत्या मनोवहनाड्या मूलं तिष्ठति । तस्या अलावुलतिकायाद्व जद्ध्वेमुखे एकाज्ञाखा सुषुद्रा इति गीयते । स वैमनोवहा नाड़ी चित्तस्यानं भवति (विज्ञानभिद्ध, योगवार्त्तिक) सुषुम्ना चोद्ध्वंगामिनी ज्ञाननाड़ी भवेत् (Jnâna Sankalinî Tantra). For functions of Âjnâvahâ Nâdî and Manovahâ Nâdî, also see Śankara Miśra's Upaskâra.

The cerebro-spinal axis and the heart : their respective relations to the conscious life.—Vijnânabhikshu, in the passage just quoted, identifies the *Manovahâ* Nâdî (vehicle of consciousness, चिन्नस्थान) with

the cerebro-spinal axis and its ramifications, and compares the figure to an inverted gourd with a thousandbranched stem hanging down. The Susumna, the central passage of the spinal cord, is the stem of this gourd (or a single branch). The writers on the Yoga (including the authors of the various Tantric systems) use the term somewhat differently. On this view, the Manovahâ Nâdî is the channel of the communication of the Jiva (soul) with the Manaschakra (sensorium) at the base of the brain. It has been stated that the sensory currents are brought to the sensory ganglia along afferent nerves of the special senses. But this is not sufficient for them to rise to the level of discriminative consciousness (सविस्वकज्ञानम्). A communication must now be established between the Jiva (in the Sahasrâra Chakra, upper cerebrum) and the sensory currents received at the sensorium, and this is done by means of the Manovahâ Nâdî. When sensations are centrally initiated, as in dreams and hallucinations, a special $N\hat{a}d\hat{i}$ (Svapnavahâ $N\hat{a}d\hat{i}$), which appears to be only a branch of the Manovahâ Nâdî, serves as the channel of communication from the Jiva (soul) to the sensorium. In the same way, the Ajnâvahâ Nâdî brings down the messages of the soul from the Sahasrâra (upper cerebrum) to the $\hat{A}jn\hat{a}$ Chakra (motor tract at the base of the brain), messages which are thence carried farther down, along efferent nerves, to various parts of the periphery. I may add that the special sensory nerves, together with the Manovahâ Nâdî, are sometimes generally termed Jnânavahâ Nâdî, lit. channel of presentative knowledge. There is no

difficulty so far. The Manovahâ Nâdî and the Âjnâvahâ Nâdî connect the sensori-motor tract at the base of the brain (Mânaschakra and Âjnâchakra) with the highest (and special) seat of the soul (Jiva) in the upper cerebrum (Sahasrâra), the one being the channel for carrying up the sensory, and the other for bringing down the motor messages. But efforts of the will (Âjnâ, Prayatna) are conscious presentations, and the Manovahâ Nâdî must therefore co-operate with the Âjnâvahâ in producing the consciousness of effort. Indeed, attention, the characteristic function of Manas, by which it raises sense-presentations to the level of discriminative consciousness, implies effort (Prayatna) on the part of the soul ($\hat{A}tman$, Jiva), an effort of which we are conscious through the channel of the Manovahâ Nâdî. But how to explain the presentation of effort in the motor nerves? Sankara Miśra, the author of the Upaskâra on Kanâda's Sûtras, argues that the Nâdîs (even the volitional or motor nerves) are themselves sensitive, and their affections are conveyed to the sensorium by means of the nerves of the (inner) sense of touch (which are interspersed in minute fibrillæ among them). The consciousness of effort, then, in any motor nerve, whether $\hat{A}jn\hat{a}vah\hat{a}$ (volitional-motor) or Prânavahâ (automatic-motor), depends on the tactile nerves (or nerves of organic sensation) mixed up with Thus the assimilation of food and drink by the it automatic activity of the Prânas implies an (automatic) effort (जीवनयोनिप्रयत्न) accompanied by a vague organic consciousness, which is due to the fact that minute fibres of the inner touch-sense are interspersed with the

machinery of the automatic nerves (the *Prânavahâ* Nâdîs).

यद्यपीन्द्रियं मनो न साखात् प्रयत्नविषयः तथापि मनोवहनाडीगोचरेण प्रयत्नेन मनसि कर्म्म द्रष्टव्यं । नाडपास्तु त्वगिन्द्रियग्राद्यात्वमङ्गीकर्त्तव्यं । जन्यथा प्राणवहनाडीगोचरेण प्रयत्नेन छश्चितपीताद्यभ्यवहरणभपि न सम्भवेत् । तत्त-दिन्द्रियप्रदेशेन मनःसंयोगमन्तरेण सुखदुःखे न स्यातामेव । यदि मनसि कर्म्म न भवेत् न भवेच पादे मे सुखं शिरसि मे वेदना इत्याद्याकारोऽनुभवः । (Sankara Misra's Upaskâra, on Sûtras 14, 15, Âhnika 2, Chap. V.).

The Heart. The heart in the older schools is considered to be the seat of waking consciousness; for the heart expands during waking life and contracts during sleep.

Sleep (स्नाप) again is of two kinds, (1) dreaming sleep (*swapna*, *supti*), when the external senses are withdrawn into the heart, but the representative-presentative faculty (मन:, चित्रं) wakes, and (2) dreamless sleep (*susupti*), when this last faculty is likewise merged in the mere automatic activity of life.

हृदयं पंकजाकृति । सुषिरं स्यादधोपक्तं एतच चेतनास्यानं । निमोलति स्वपियात्मा जागत्तिं चिकज्ञात्यपि । डेधा खप्रसुषुप्रिभ्यां स्वापः । वाझेन्द्रियाणि चेत् लीयने हृदि जागत्तिं चित्तं सुप्रिस्तदोच्यते । मनश्चेत् लीयते प्राणे सुषुप्रिः स्याज्ञदात्मनः—(Sangîta-ratnûkara).

SECTION 6.

NERVE-CORDS AND FIBRES (SYMPATHETIC-SPINAL SYSTEM).

Nerve-cords and fibres—Cranial and spinal nerves, and the connected sympathetic nerves.—With the writers on the Yoga, all the $Sir\hat{a}s$, and such of the *Dhaman* $\hat{i}s$ as are not vehicles of vital current, metabolic fluid, lymph, chyle or blood, are cranial nerves, and proceed from the heart through the spinal cord to the cranium. These cranial nerves include pairs for the larynx and the tongue, for the understanding and use of speech, for the raising and lowering of the evelids, for weeping, for the sensations of the special senses, etc.a confused and unintelligent reproduction of Susruta's classification. But the enumeration of the spinal nerves with the connected sympathetic chain and ganglia, is a distinct improvement on the old anatomists. The following plan attempts to give a rough idea of the relative position of the principal nerves of the sympathetic-spinal system.



Right.

The Susumnâ is the central cord in the vertebral column (ब्रब्रटर, मेह). The two chains of sympathetic ganglia on the left and the right are named Idâ and Pingalâ respectively. The sympathetic nerves have their main connection with Susumnâ at the solar plexus (भानुभवन, नाभिचक्र in the कन्द, नाभिकन्द or ब्रह्मग्रीत्म्य). Of the seven hundred nerve-cords of the sympathetic-spinal

system (भूतिता: Sangîta-ratnâkara), the fourteen most important are :---

(1) Susumnâ, in the central channel of the spinal cord.

(2) $Id\hat{a}$, the left sympathetic chain stretching from under the left nostril to below the left kidney, in the form of a bent bow.

(3) Pingalâ, the corresponding chain on the right.

(4) *Kuhu*, the pudic nerve of the sacral plexus, to the left of the spinal cord.

(5) $G\hat{a}ndh\hat{a}r\hat{i}$, to the back of the left sympathetic chain, supposed to stretch from below the corner of the left eye to the left leg. It was evidently supposed that some nerves of the cervical plexus came down through the spinal cord and joined on to the great sciatic nerve of the sacral plexus.

(6) Hastijihvâ, to the front of the left sympathetic chain, stretching from below the corner of the left eye to the great toe of the left foot, on the same supposition as before. Pathological facts were believed to point to a special nerve connection between the eyes and the toes.

(7) Sarasvatî, to the right of Suṣumnâ, stretching up to the tongue (the hypo-glossal nerves of the cervical plexus).

(8) Pusa, to the back of the right sympathetic chain, stretching from below the corner of the right eye to the abdomen (a connected chain of cervical and lumbar nerves).

(9) Payasvinî, between Puşâ and Sarasvatî, auricular branch of the cervical plexus on the right.

(10) Sankhinî, between Gândhârî and Sarasvatî, auricular branch of the cervical plexus on the left.

(11) Jaśasvin, to the front of the right sympathetic chain, stretching from the right thumb to the right leg (the radial nerve of the brachial plexus continued on to certain branches of the great sciatic).

(12) $V\hat{a}ran\hat{a}$, the nerves of the sacral plexus, between Kuhu and Jasaśvinî, ramifying over the lower trunk and limbs.

(13) Viśvodarâ, the nerves of the lumbar plexus, between Kuhu and Hastijihvâ, ramifying over the lower trunk and limbs.

(14) Alambusâ, the coccygeal nerves, proceeding from the sacral vertebræ to the urino-genitary organs.

(Vide Sangîta-ratnâkara, स्वराध्याय, पिणडोतपचिप्रकृरणम् — Ślokas 144-156. Also, the Yogârņava.)

SECTION 7.

AUTOMATIC AND REFLEX ACTIVITY OF THE ORGANISM—THE FORTY-NINE $V\hat{A}YUS$.

Charaka describes $V\hat{a}yu$ as that which keeps the machine of the body at work, the prime-mover, the impelling force which sets in motion the organs (including the senses and the mind), which arranges the cells and tissues, and which unfolds or develops the fœtal structure out of the fertilised ovum. Charaka and Suśruta notice the five chief $V\hat{a}yus$ with their functions in the maintenance of the animal life. Suśruta mentions $Pr\hat{a}na$ as having its course in the mouth, and

concerned in deglutition, hiccough, respiration, etc., $Ud\hat{a}na$ as concerned in articulation and singing, $Sam\hat{a}na$ as digesting the food in the stomach in conjunction with the animal heat, $Vy\hat{a}na$ as coursing all over the body, driving the chyle and causing the flow of blood and sweat, and $Ap\hat{a}na$ as having its seat in the intestinal region, and sending down the urino-genital secretions. (Suśruta—Nidânasthâna, Chapter I.)

In the mediæval physiology the number of $V \hat{a} y us$ is given as 49. As in Charaka and Suśruta, the $V \hat{a} y us$ are regarded as the moving or impelling forces that work the organism and all its automatic and reflex machinery. The $\hat{A} j n \hat{a} v a h \hat{a} N \hat{a} d \hat{i} s$ (efferent nerves) are only channels for the conduction of commands of the self or the will (आत्मायाल); the $V \hat{a} y us$, on the other hand, are forces (or currents) that maintain the automatic, reflex, or instinctive activities of the organism. The ten chief $V \hat{a} y us$ with their functions are enumerated thus:—

(1) $Pr\hat{a}na$, which works the ideo-motor verbal mechanism and vocal apparatus, the respiratory system, the muscles engaged in coughing, sighing, etc.

(2) $Ap\hat{a}na$, which ejects the excretions and wastes, the urine, the faces, the sperm and germ-cells, etc.

(3) $Vy\hat{a}na$, whose work is extension, contraction, and flexion of the muscles, tendons, and ligaments; the stored-up energy of the muscles.

(4) Samâna, the force which, in conjunction with animal heat, works the machinery of metabolism, in the maintenance of the organic life. It drives or propels the chyle, blood, and every other current (Srota) or circulating fluid in the body.

(5) Udâna, concerned in maintaining the erect posture of the body.

(6) $N\hat{a}ga$, which is concerned in involuntary retching, vomiting.

(7) $K\hat{u}rma$, which works the automatic movement of the eyelids, winking, etc.

(8) Krikara, concerned with the appetites of hunger and thirst.

(9) Devadatta, which brings about yawning, dozing, etc.

(10) *Dhananjaya*, which is concerned with coma, swooning, trance.

वायुः तन्त्रयन्त्तधरः प्रवर्तेकः चेष्टानां, प्रखेता मनसः, सर्व्वोन्द्र्यार्था उद्योतकः, सर्वशरीरधातुव्यूहकरः, सन्धानकरः शरीरस्य, प्रवर्त्तको वाचः, हर्पोत्साहयोयोंनिः, श्वेन्ना बहिर्मलानां, कर्ता गर्भाकृतीनां । प्राणापानोदानसमानव्यानात्मा (चरक सूत्रस्यान, Chap. XII.) तेषां मुख्यतमः प्राण्तः शब्दोच्चारणनिःश्वासोच्छ्वास-काशादिकारणं, अपानः ... अस्य मूत्रपुरीषादिविसर्गः कर्म्म कीर्त्तितं । व्यानः ... प्राणापानधृतित्यागग्रहणाद्यस्य कर्म्म च । समानोऽपि अखिलुं व्याप्य शरीरं वहिना सह । द्विसन्नति सहस्रेषु नाडोरंभ्रेषु संचरन् भुक्तपीतरसान् सम्यगानयन् देहपुष्टिकृत् । उदानः कर्म्मास्य देहोन्नयनोत्क्रमणादि प्रकीर्त्तितं । त्वगादिधातूना-प्रित्य पंचनागादयःस्थिताः उद्वारादि निमेषादि क्षुत्पिपासादिकं क्रमात् । तन्द्राप्रभृति मोहादि तेषां कर्म्म प्रकोर्त्तितं । (संगीतरत्नाकर ।)

Cf. the summary in Raja Sourîndra Mohan Tagore's edition of the Sangîta-darpana.

शब्दोचारणं (वाङ्निष्पत्तिकरणं) निष्यासः उच्छ्वासः (खनर्मुखष्यासः) तन्द्रादीनां कारणं (साधनं) प्राणवायुः । विण्मूत्रशुक्रादिवहत्वमपानस्य कर्म्म । खाकुच्चनप्रसारणादि व्यानस्य कर्म्म त्रेयं । अशितपीतादिनां समतानयनद्वारा शरीरस्य पोषणं समानस्य कर्म्म । उदानवायुः ऊर्द्ध्वनयनमेव अस्य कर्म्म नागादयः नागकूर्मकृकरदेवदत्त्रधनच्चयरूपाः पच्च वायवः । एतेषां कर्म्माणि

च ययाक्रमं उत्गारोन्मोल्ठनक्षुधाजननविजृम्भनमोहरूपाएि । (Sangîtadarpaṇa, Chap. I., Ślokas 43-48). Cf. the extract in Śankara.

प्राणः प्राग्वृत्तिरुच्छ्वासादिकम्मा । अपानः अवाग्वृत्तिरुत्सगादिकम्मा । व्यानः तयोः सन्धौ वर्तमानः वीर्यवत्कर्म्महेतुः । उदानः ज्द्श्वेवृत्तिः उत्क्रान्यादि हेतुः । समानः समं सर्व्वेषु अङ्ग्रेषु यः अन्नरसान् नयति । इति । (Sârîraka Bhâşya, Chap. II., Pada 4, Sûtra 2).

SECTION 8.

FŒTAL DEVELOPMENT (AFTER SUSRUTA).¹

The ovum fertilised by the sperm-cell and developing under the influence of animal heat forms successive layers and tissues, even as layers of cells and fibres are formed in wood. First are formed seven layers, epithelial and dermal (Saptatwachah), then follow the several tissues (Kalâh), the flesh, the vascular tissue, the fat and marrow, the lymphatic and (glandular) tissue, the intestinal tissues, the biliary and the seminal vessels. These tissues are regarded by some as modifications of the original dermal layers of the ovum (cf. the layers of the blastoderm and their relation to the tissues in Embryology). The tissues are supposed to be developed successively, one out of another, by chemical action or metabolism $(p\hat{a}ka)$, e.g. chyle is transformed into blood, blood into flesh, flesh into fat, fat into bone, bone (in reality, fat in the bones) into marrow, marrow into sperm-cell. The organs are next formed out of the tissues. The liver, gall-bladder (kloma), spleen, and

¹ Reproduced from my monograph in Dr. Ray's "Hindu Chemistry," Vol. II.

lungs are referred to the blood; the intestines to the blood, lymph, and bile; the kidneys to the blood and fat; the testicles to the blood, lymph, and fat; the heart to the blood and lymph; and the tongue to the lymph, blood, and flesh. Vâyu, with the accompaniment of animal heat, impels the "currents" (śrotâmsi) in the system; Vâyu acting on the flesh gives rise to the muscles; and it is Vâyu, again, which, with the essence of fat (or marrow), produces the nerves, arteries, and tendons (Suśruta, Śârîrasthâna, Chap. IV., and Sûtrasthâna, Chap. XIV.).

The following parts (tissues and organs) in the foetus are in a special sense modifications of the four organic substances (compounds) contributed by the sperm-cell of the male parent: hair, nails, teeth, bones, nerves, veins and arteries, tendons and ligaments, and the sperm-cell; the following are modifications of the four organic substances derived from the mother : skin, blood, flesh, fat, the heart, liver and spleen, kidneys, stomach, intestines, etc. (Charaka, *Śârîrasthâna*, Chap. III.).

The rudiments of the head and the limbs begin to appear in the third month, and are developed in the fourth; the bones, ligaments, nails, hair, etc., become distinct in the sixth. In the second month the sexual character is indicated by the shape of the fœtus, the shape of a round joint (?) indicating the male sex, and an elongated shape, as of a muscle (?), the female sex. (*Cf.* Charaka, *Sârîrasthana*, Chap. IV.—farîlयेमासि घन: सम्पद्यते पिग्र: पेश्पवुदंवा । तत्र घन: पिग्र: पुरुष: स्त्री पेशी अधुदं नपुंसक: Chakrapâni notes घन: कठिन: । पिग्रो प्राण्ये प्रत्याकार: । पेशी दोधमांसपेश्याकारा । अवुदं वर्त्तलोक्त *loc. cit.*)

SECTION 9.

HEREDITY.

Transmission of specific characters—what parental characters are transmitted to offspring.—The question is raised in Charaka (and earlier still in the Brâhmanas) how specific characters are transmitted—why the offspring is of the same species as the parental organism, say, the human or bovine species, the equine species (Charaka), or the Asvattha species, Ficus religiosa (Śankara, Brihadâraṇyaka-bhâṣya). Species (पोनप:) may be compared to so many moulds, as it were, into which the ovum is cast, even as molten metals are cast in moulds. This is of course only an illustrative analogy; the cause has to be investigated.

Now Charaka and Suśruta, following Dhanvantari, hold that the fœtus, or rather the fertilised ovum, develops by palingenesis (instead of epigenesis); in other words, all the organs are potentially present therein at the same time, and unfold in a certain order. As the sprouting bamboo-seed contains in miniature the entire structure of the bamboo, as the mango-blossom contains the stone, the pulp, the fibres, which appear separated and distinct in the ripe fruit, though from their excessive minuteness they are undistinguishable in the blossom, even such is the case with the fertilised ovum.

गर्भस्य हि सम्भवतः . . . सब्वीक्रग्रायक्रानि युगपत् सम्भवन्ति इत्याह धन्वलारिः । गर्भस्य सूख्सत्वात् नोपऌभ्यन्ते वंशाङ्खरवत् चूतफल्वच तद्यथा चूतफले परिपक्के केशरमांसास्थिमज्जानः पृथक् दृश्यमे कालप्रकर्षात् । तान्येव तरुणे नोपलभ्यने सूख्सत्वात् । तेषां केशरादीनां कालः प्रव्यक्ततां करोति एतेनैव वंशाङ्खरोर्शप व्याख्यातः । एवं गर्भस्य तारुख्ये सर्वेषु जङ्गप्रयङ्गेषु सत्सु सौक्ष्म्याद- नुपल्लावाः तान्येव फलप्रकषात् प्रव्यक्तानि भवन्ति । (Susruta, Sârîra sthâna, Chap. III.).

But if this is so, why are not congenital deformities of the parent, or constitutional diseases contracted in later life, invariably inherited? Congenital blindness, deafness, dumbness, stammering, lameness, or deformity of the spinal column or of the bony framework, or dwarfish stature, or constitutional diseases like madness, leprosy, or skin diseases in the parent, do not necessarily produce corresponding deformities or infirmities in the offspring. It cannot therefore be that the fertilised ovum represents in miniature every organ and tissue of the parental organisms. The solution of this difficulty Charaka ascribes to Âtreya. The fertilised ovum, it is true, is composed of elements which arise from the whole parental organism (समुदायात्मक, समुदायप्रभव), but it is not the developed organs of the parents, with their idiosyncracies or acquired characters, that determine or contribute the elements of the sperm-cell (or seed). The parental Vija (seed, germ-plasm) contains the whole

parental organism in miniature (or in potentia), but it is independent of the parents' developed organs, and is not necessarily affected by their idiosyncracies or deformities. In fact, the parental Vîja (seed, germplasm) is an organic whole independent of the developed parental body and its organs. In the parental Vîja an element representing a particular organ or tissue may happen (for this is accidental, देव) to be defective or undeveloped, or otherwise abnormally characterised, and in this case the corresponding organ or tissue of the offspring will be similarly characterised. When constitutional diseases, acquired in later life, are found to be inherited, Âtreya would suppose that the Vîja of the parent has been affected, and this would explain the fact of the inheritance. In the case of leprosy, for example, it is transmitted to the offspring only when the germ-plasm (the Vîja or the fertilised ovum) is infected with the virus of the disease by reason of the leprosy of the parent (vide Charaka's report of Âtreya's theory, Śârîrasthâna).

एवमयं नानाविधानां एषां गर्भकराणां भावानां समुद्रायादभिनिवर्त्तते गर्भः । यद्ययमेषां नानाविधानां गर्भकराणां भावानां समुद्रयादभिनिवर्त्तते गर्भः कपमयं सन्धोयते । यदि चापि सन्धीयते कस्मात् समुद्रायप्रभवः सन् गर्भों मनुष्यविग्रहेण जायते मनुष्पन्न मनुष्पप्रभवः । उच्यते । . . तत्र चेत् इष्टमेतत्यस्मात् मनुष्पोमनुष्प-प्रभवः तस्मादेव मनुष्पविग्रहेण जायते यथा गौगोंग्रभवः यथा चान्न्यः छन्न्यप्रभवः इत्येवं यदुक्तं छत्रे समुदायात्मक इति तद्युक्तं । यदि च मनुष्पः मनुष्पप्रभवः कस्मात् जडान्धकुच्नमूकवामनमिन्मपव्यक्नोन्मत्तकुष्ठकिलासेभ्यो जाताः पितृसदृशा न भवन्ति et seq. यच्चोक्तं यदि च मनुष्पो मनुष्पप्रभवः कस्मान्न जडादिभ्यो जाताः पितृसदृशरूपा न भवन्तीति तत्रोच्यते यस्य यस्यहि छङ्गावयवस्य वीजे बीजभाव उपतन्नो भवति तस्य तस्य छङ्गावयवस्य विकृतिः उपजायते । नोपजायते च छनुपतापात् तस्मात उभयोपपत्तिरप्यत्र । सर्वस्य च छात्मजानीन्द्र- याणि तेषां भावाभावहेतुः दैवं । तस्माचैकानतो जड़ादिभ्यो जाताः पितृसदृशरूपा भवन्ति । (चरक, शारीरस्थान, तृतीयपरिच्छेद) दम्पत्योः कुष्ठबाहुत्याहुष्टशोणित-शुक्रयोः । यदपत्यं तयोजीतं इोयं तदपि कुष्ठितं ।

N.B.-The seventh tissue (the Śukradharâ kalâ, sperm-bearing or reproductive) contains the parental Vîja, which is a minute organism (समुदायात्मक) deriving its elements from the parental organs, but distinct from the latter, and independent of their peculiarities, and it is the combination and characters of these constituent elements of the parental Vîja in the reproductive tissue that determine the physiological characters and predispositions of the offspring. We may call this Âtreya's germ-plasm theory, for it is an advance on the conception of gemmules and of ids, but in Âtreya's version the "germ-plasm" is not only representative of the "somatic" tissues, but also generates and is generated by the latter. This mutual interaction of the "germ-plasm" and "somatic tissues" is a distinctive feature of Âtreya's hypothesis, the value of which will be differently estimated by different schools of biologists.

I may also add that the continued identity of the germ-plasm ($\overline{\mathbf{q}}$) from generation to generation, though it follows as a corollary from this doctrine of a distinct reproductive tissue, even when conceived to be affected by somatic processes, as Atreya and Charaka conceive it to be, is nowhere expressly deduced. On the other hand, Atreya and Charaka emphasise the influence of abundant or defective nutrition, and of the constituents of the food, etc., on the characters of the Vîja in the reproductive tissue, especially as regards the sexual character, the stature, and the colour-pigment
($\overline{q}\overline{q}$) of the offspring. But though the influence of nutrition on the Vîja is thus freely admitted in a general way, it is expressly stated that the peculiar characters or idiosyncracies of the elements that combine to form the Vîja must be regarded as a matter of chance ($\overline{\overline{q}}$), in other words, the truly congenital variations are accidental.

SECTION 10.

THE SEX QUESTION.

Influence of nutrition on the ovum, especially as regards the sex, stature, and colour-pigment of the resulting offspring.-In a general way, ghee and milk for the male, and oil and beans for the female parent, are favourable to the Vîja. The sexual character of the offspring depends in part on a periodicity to which the life-history of the ovum in the female parent is conceived to be subject-a law of alternate rhythmic change (not unlike what we now know to regulate the development of several orders of bacteria or unicellular organisms), a law under which the fertilisation of the ovum on the fourth day after the menstrual discharge, or on the alternate days succeeding, is favourable to the foctus developing the male sexual character, and on the fifth, seventh, and alternate following days to the foctus assuming the female sex. The Prayogachintâmani states that the latter occurs on even days, and the former on odd days. Another factor is the relative predominance of the sperm and the germ cells in the fertilised ovum. Excess of the sperm-cell produces the male, that of the germ-cell the female. For male

offspring of tall stature, fair complexion, and energetic temperament, wheat-pulp with honey, ghee (clarified butter), and milk should be taken by the female parent. Generally speaking, the adoption of the food, clothing, habits of people of any particular clime are supposed to be favourable to the production of their characteristic stature and complexion. As to sex, the foctus for a time remains indeterminate, and then takes on a definite male or female character, but before this stage is reached the development of the sex can be modified to some extent by food and drugs (पुंसवनमीपधं). As for the colour-pigment, it is the animal heat (तेजोधातु) which is its source; but where the $\hat{A}k\hat{a}\hat{s}a$ and Ap particles predominate (in the food) the animal heat (of the metabolic processes) produces a fair complexion; where Earth and Vâyu particles predominate in the food, blackness is the result; and where the different Bhutas are combined in nearly equal proportion in the food, the metabolic heat produces a dark pigment. In the later literature, ghee (clarified butter) habitually taken by the female during gestation is supposed to produce a fair complexion, and rice (or wheat) and salads a dark complexion. Charaka also holds that mental impressions of the parent are powerful factors in the determination of the characters of the offspring.

यथाहि बोजमनुपतप्रं उप्तं खां खां प्रकृतिं चनुविधीयते बीहिवा बीहित्वं यवोवा यवत्वं तथा स्तीपुरुषौ चापि यथोक्तं हेतुविभागं चनुविधीयते । ... तस्मादापन्नगर्भा स्तियमभिसमीख्य प्राग् व्यक्तीभावात् गर्भस्य पुंसवनमौषधमस्यै दद्यात् । ... स्नानात् प्रभृति युग्मेषु जहःसु संगमेतां पुत्रकामौ तौ चयुग्मेषु दुहितृकामौ । ... उपाचरेच मधुरौषधसंस्कृताभ्यां घृतस्तीराभ्यां पुरुषं स्तियन्तु तैल्ठमाषाभ्यां । साचेत एवमाशासीत बृहन्तमवदातं हर्य्यसं चोजस्विनं शुचिं सच्चसम्पन्नं पुत्रमिच्छेयमिति । झुद्धस्नानात् प्रभृति अस्यै मधुर्सार्पर्भ्यां संसृज्य श्वेतायाः गोः सरूपवत्सायाः पयसा आऌभ्य राजते कांस्ये वा पात्रे काऌे काऌे सप्ताहं सततं प्रयच्छेत् पानाय । . . . या येषां जनपदानां मनुष्पानां अनुरूपं पुत्रमाशासीत सा तेषां जनपदानां मनुष्पाणां आहारविहारोपचारपरिच्छदान् अनुविधीयस्व इति वाच्या स्यात् ।

न खलु केवलमेतदेव कर्म्म वर्णाणां वैशेष्पकरं खपितु तेजोधातुरपि उद-कान्तरोक्षधातुः प्रायः जवदातवर्णकरो भवति । पृथिवीवायुधातुः प्रायः कृष्ण-वर्णकरः । समसर्वधातुः प्रायः श्यामवर्णकरः । ज्याधिक्ये रेतसः पुत्रः, कन्या स्यात् जार्त्तवेऽधिके ।

SECTION 11.

LIFE.

These activities maintain the life of the organism, but what is this life itself?

The Chârvâkas (materialists and sensationalists) answer that life (as well as consciousness) is a result of peculiar chemical combinations of dead matter (or the four elements) in organic forms, even as the intoxicating property of spirituous liquors results from the fermentation of unintoxicating rice and molasses. Similarly, the instinctive movements and expressions of new-born babes (sucking, joy, grief, fear, etc.) can be explained mechanically as due to external stimuli as much as the opening and closing of the lotus and other flowers at different hours of the day (or night), or the movement of iron under the influence of the loadstone. In the same way, the spontaneous generation of living organisms is frequently observed, e.g. the case of animalcules which develop in moisture or infusions, especially under the influence of gentle warmth (स्वेदन, उप्मज, दंशमशकादयः), or of the maggots or other worms which in the rainy season,

by reason of the atmospheric moisture, are developed in the constituent particles of curds and the like, which begin to live and move in so short a time.

Gotama, in his Sûtras, reports the Chârvâka explanation of instinctive emotional expressions in babes: पदमादिषु प्रवोधसम्मीलिनवत् तद्विकार:—Sûtra 20, Âhnika 1, Chapter III.; cf. the explanation of the movement preparatory to sucking: ज्यसो इयस्कानाभिगमनवत् तद्रपसंघेषं —Sûtra 23, *ibid.* Jayanta, in the Nyâya-manjarî, reports the Chârvâka explanation of consciousness (and life).

मदशक्तिवत् विज्ञानं । पृथिव्यादीनि भूतानि चत्वारि तत्वानि । तेभ्य एव देहाकारपरिणतेभ्यः मदशक्तिवत चैतन्यमुपजायते ।

For spontaneous generation, vide Jayanta's report :--

वर्षामुच खेदादिना अनतिदवीयसैव काल्टेन दथ्याद्यवयवा एव चलनः पूतनादिक्रिमिरूपा उपलभ्यने।---Nyâya-manjarî, Âhnika 7, भूतचैतन्यपक्षः ।

The Sânkhya view of consciousness—Reply to the materialists :---

The intoxicating power in liquor is a force, *i.e.* a tendency to motion, and this is the resultant of the tendencies (or subtile motions) present in the particles of the fermented rice, molasses, etc. A motion, or a tendency to motion, can in this way be the resultant of several other motions or tendencies. But consciousness $(\exists n \neg u)$ is not a motion, and cannot be the resultant of (unconscious) natural forces or motions. Neither can the consciousness of the Self, or of the organism as a whole, be supposed to be the resultant of innumerable consciousness vested in the innumerable constituent particles of the body. One central abiding intelligence

is a simpler and therefore more legitimate hypothesis than an assemblage of consciousnesses latent in different Bhûtas or particles, cf. Vijnâna-bhikṣu's comment on the Sûtra. मदशक्तिवचेत् प्रत्येकपरिदृष्टे सांहत्ये तदुद्भवः (Sûtra 22, Chapter III.) ननु यथा मादकताशक्तिः प्रत्येकद्रव्यावृत्तिरपि मिलितद्रव्ये वर्त्तते एवं चैतन्यमपि स्यादिति चेन्न प्रत्येकपरिदृष्टे सति सांहत्ये तदुद्भवः सम्भवेत्। प्रकृते नु प्रत्येकपरिदृष्टानं नास्ति ... ननु समुच्चिते चैतन्यदर्शनेन प्रत्येकभूते सूक्ष्मचैतन्यशक्तिरनुमेया इति चेन्न । अनेकभूतेषु अनेकचैतन्यशक्तिकन्धनायां गौरवेण लाघवादेकस्यैव नित्यचित्स्वरूपस्य कन्धनौचित्यात् । Cf. also भूतगतविशेषगुणानां सजातीयकारणगुणजन्यतया कारणे चैतन्यं विना देहे चैतन्यासम्भवात् । (ibid.).

मद्ये मदशक्तिने गुणः । मद्यारम्भकानां पिष्टगुडमध्वादीनां यत् यस्य कर्म ते कर्म्मभिरारअं खखकर्म्मचिरोधिकर्म्म यदुच्चते प्रभाव इति । चैतन्यादिकं न कर्म्म । (Gangâdhara's Jalpakalpataru (1867, Calcutta), explaining the distinction between property (गुग) and power (प्रभाव), a technical term in medicine, which denotes a form of motion (कर्म्म) Sûtrasthâna, Jalpakalpataru).

The Sânkhya view of Prâna (life) :---

Life, according to the Sânkhya, is not a $V\partial yu$ (bio-mechanical force) nor any mere mechanical motion resulting from the impulsion of $V\partial yu$. The five vital operations, Prâṇa, Apâna, etc., are called $V\partial yus$, but this is only a metaphor. Life is in reality a reflex activity, a resultant of the various *concurrent* activities of the *Antahkaraṇas*, *i.e.* of the sensori-motor ($\pi i \pi i \pi d$ $\pi i \pi i \pi d$), the emotional ($\pi \pi$:) and the apperceptive reactions of the organism (for some add $\pi \epsilon \pi i$).

Vijnâna-bhiksu notes that this explains the disturbing (elevating or depressing) effect on the vitality of pleasurable or painful emotions, like love (काम), which are activities of Manas, one of the Antahkaraņas concerned in the reactions of the living organism. On the Sânkhya view, then, Prâṇa or life is not a Vâyu, nor is it evolved from the Bhutas, inorganic matter. Prâṇa is only a complex reflex activity (सम्भूपेका वृत्ति) resulting from the operations of the psycho-physical principles or forces in the organism. Cf. Śankara reporting the Sânkhya view—करणानि नियतवृत्तयः सन्तः सम्भूपेकां प्राणाख्यां वृत्तिं प्रतिपद्यन्ते ।—Śârîraka-bhâṣya, Chapter II., Pada 4, Sûtra 9. सामान्यकरणवृत्तिः प्राणाद्या वायवः पद्य ।—Iśvarakriṣṇa, Kârikâ. Also Sûtra 31, Chapter II., where Vijnâna-bhikṣu notes :—

वायुवत् सचारात् वायवः प्रसिद्धाः । अस्मानं नायं नियमः यदिन्द्रियवृत्तिः क्रमेशेव भवति नैकदा । जातिसाङ्कर्यस्य अस्मानं अदोषत्वात् । सामग्रोसनवधाने सति अनेकेरपोन्द्रियेः एकदैकवृत्पुत्पादने वाधनं नास्ति।—Vijnâna-bhiksu, Pravachana - bhâsya, Chapter II., Sûtras 31, 32. मनोधम्मस्य कामादेः प्राराक्षोभतया सामानाधिकरप्येनैव औचित्यात् ।—*ibid*.

The Vedântic View of Prâna.

The Vedântists are believers in an independent vital principle. They agree with the Sânkhyas in holding that Prâṇa is neither a Vâyu nor the operation of a Vâyu. But neither is life a mere reflex or resultant of concurrent sensori-motor, emotive and apperceptive reactions of the organism. You may put eleven birds in a cage, and if they concurrently and continually strike against the bars of the cage in the same direction, the cage may move on under this conjoint action. But the sensory and motor activities cannot in this way originate the vital activity of the organism. For the deprivation of any one or more of the senses does not mean a deprivation of life, and above all there is this radical distinction : there is sameness of kind (समजातीयत्व) between the motions of the individual birds and the resultant motion of the cage, but the sensations do not explain life. *Life* (Prâṇa) must therefore be recognised as a separate principle, just as the *Manas* and the *Antahkaraṇas* generally are in the Sânkhya Philosophy. Life is a sort of subtle rarefied "ether-principle" (जध्यात्मवायु) pervasive of the organism—which is not gross Vâyu, but is all the same subtilised matter, like the Manas itself, for, in the Vedânta, everything other than the Self (जात्मा) is "material" (जड़).

This Life is prior to the senses, for it regulates the development of the fertilised ovum, which would putrefy if it were not living, and the senses with their apparatus develop subsequently out of the ovum (न वायुक्तिये पृथगुपदेशात), Chapter II., Pada 4, Sûtra 9; vide Śarîraka-Bhâşya Śankara; also Vâchaspati Miśra, Bhâmatî :---

सिद्धानसु न समानेन्द्रियवृत्तिः प्राणः । स हि मिलितानां वा वृत्तिर्भवेत् प्रयोनं वा । न तावत् मिलितानां । एकडित्तिचच्चिरिन्द्रियाभावे तदभावप्रसङ्घात् । न खलु चूर्णहरिद्रासंयोगनन्माऽरूणगणस्तयोरन्यतराभावे भवितुमहेति । न च वहुविष्टिसाथ्यं शिविकोडहनं डित्तिविष्टिसाथ्यं भवति । न च त्वगेकसाथ्यं । तथा सति सामान्यवृत्तित्वानुपपत्तेः । छपि च यत् सम्भूयकारकाणि निष्पादयन्ति तत् प्रधानव्यापारानुगुणावान्तरव्यापारेण्वे । यथा वयसां प्रातिसिको व्यापारः पिच्चरचालनानुगुणः । इहतु श्रवणाद्यवानतव्यापारोपेतः प्राणा न सम्भूय प्राण्यु-रिति युक्तं प्रमाणाभावादत्यन्तविज्ञातीयत्वाच्च श्रवणादिभ्यः प्राणनस्य । . . . तस्मादन्यो वायुक्तियाभ्यां प्राणः । . . वायुरेवायमध्यात्मतानापत्तः मुख्योऽपि प्राणः । — Sankara, *ibid. Cf.* also, ज्येष्ठश्व प्राणः शुक्रनिषेककालादारभ्य तस्य वृत्तिलाभात् । नचेत् तस्य तदानीं वृत्तिलाभः स्यात् शुक्रं पूयेत न सम्भवेद्वा श्रोवादीनान्तु कर्णशप्कुत्यादिस्थानविभागनिष्पत्तौ वृत्तिलाभान्न ज्येष्ठत्वं ।— Sankara, on Sûtra 9, Pâda 4, Chapter II. C . .

 $\mathbf{R} \ 2$

CHAPTER VII.

ON THE SCIENTIFIC METHOD OF THE HINDUS.

The Doctrine of Scientific Method.—A study of the Hindu Methodology of Science is absolutely essential to a right understanding of Hindu positive Science, its strength and its weakness, its range and its limitations. Apart from this rigorous scientific method, Hindu Chemistry, for example, would be all practical recipe, or all unverified speculation. This, however, would be a very inadequate and indeed erroneous view of this early achievement of the human mind. That the whole movement was genuinely and *positively* scientific, though arrested at an early stage, will appear from the following brief synopsis of the Hindu Methodology of Science.

Criterion or Test of Truth, after the Buddhists.— The ultimate criterion of Truth is found, not in mere cognitive presentation, but in the correspondence between the cognitive and the practical activity of the Self, which together are supposed to form the circuit of consciousness. That knowledge is valid which prompts an activity ending in fruition. (Cf. the distinction between सम्बादिज्ञान and विसम्बादिज्ञान । Also compare प्रमाणतोऽचेप्रतिपत्ती प्रवृत्तिसामध्यात् अर्थवत् प्रमाणम्.—Vátsyáyana.) Truth, the Buddhists contend, is not self-evidence, not

the agreement between ideas, nor the agreement of the idea with the reality beyond, if any, for this cannot be attained direct, but the harmony of experience (सम्बाद), which is implied when the volitional reaction, that is prompted by a cognition and that completes the circuit of consciousness, meets with fruition, *i.e.* realises its immediate end (with this compare Sríharsha, Khandana Khanda Khádya, on the relation of प्रमा to लोकव्यवहार). This is the material aspect of Truth. The formal aspect is given in a principle which governs all presentations in consciousness, and which combines the three moments of Identity, non-Contradiction, and Excluded Middle in every individual cognitive operation [तट्कं तत् परिच्छिनचि (identity) जन्यद व्यवच्छिनचि (non-contradiction) तृतीयप्रकाराभावं च सूचयति (excluded middle) इति एकप्रमाखव्यापार:-Jayanta, Nyáyamañjarí, प्रमाखडेविध्यखखनम्].

Perception.—The conditions of Perception, and its range and limits, were carefully studied. The minima sensibile (e.g. the minimum visibile, the Trasarenu, the just-perceptible mote in the slanting sunbeam), the infra-sensible (जनुद्द्तन्इप, सूस्म, sometimes termed जनतीन्द्रप), the obscured (जभिभूत, e.g. a meteor in the mid-day blaze), and the potential (जनुद्द्तन्यृत्त्र), are distinguished; but finer instruments of measurement were wanting, and this was a principal cause of arrested progress. It may be noted that the measurement of the relative pitch of musical tones was remarkably accurate (vide my Paper on Hindu Mechanics and Physics).

Observation (द्शेन—Váchaspati and Udayana).— The entire apparatus of scientific method proceeded on the basis of observed instances carefully analysed and sifted. This was the source of the physico-chemical theories and classifications, but in Anatomy the Hindus went one step farther ; they practised dissection on dead bodies for purposes of demonstration. Ingenious directions are given, e.g., the body must be first disembowelled and wrapped round with the kusa and other grasses, then kept immersed in still water for seven days, after which the medical student should proceed to remove the layers of the skin with a carefully prepared brush made of the fresh elastic fibres of green bamboos, which will enable the tissues, vessels and ducts to be observed. Post-mortem operations as well as major operations in obstetric surgery (the extraction of the foetus, etc.) were availed of for embryological observations (e.g., it is stated that the rudiments of the head and the limbs begin to appear in the foetus in the third month, and are developed in the fourth ; the bones, ligaments, nails, hair, etc., becoming distinct in the sixth), and also embryological theories, e.g., the indication of sexual character in the second month by the shape of the foctus, the shape of a round joint indicating the male sex, and an elongated shape the female sex (cf. Charaka, Sútrasthána, Chap. IV .- दितोये मासि घन: सम्पद्यते पिग्डः पेइयईदिं वा। तत्र यनः पिग्डः पुरूषः स्ती पेशी अर्हुदं न्युंसकन् । Chakrapáni notes : घनः कठिनः । पिग्डो ग्रन्थ्याकारः । पेशी दीर्घनांसपेश्याकारा। ऋष्टुंदं वर्चुऌोन्नतन् loc. cit.). In Phonetics (as in the Prátisákhyas, circa 600 B.C.), in Descriptive and Analytical Grammar (as in Pánini), and in some important respects in Comparative Grammar (as in Hemachandra's Grammar of the Prákrita

246

Dialects), the observation was precise, minute, and thoroughly scientific. This was also the case in Materia Medica and in Therapeutics, especially the symptomology of diseases. In Meteorology the Hindus used the rain-gauge in their weather forecasts for the year, made careful observations of the different kinds of clouds and other atmospheric phenomena (e.g., they estimate the heights of clouds, the distance from which lightning is ordinarily visible or the thunder is heard, the area of disturbance of different earthquakes, the height to which the terrestrial atmosphere extends, etc.; vide Varáhamihira, Srípati, and the authorities quoted by Utpala). In Astronomy the observation was, generally speaking, very defective, as in the determination of the solar and the planetary elements, and this was probably due to the lack of practical interest, but the determination of the lunar constants entering into the calculation of lunar periods and eclipses, matters in which the Hindus had a practical ceremonial interest, reached a remarkable degree of approximation (much above Græco-Arab computations) to the figures in Laplace's Tables, which can only be explained by the circumstance that in the case of these constants the Hindus carried out for more than a thousand years a systematic process of verification and correction by comparison of the computed with the observed results (like the navigator's correction of the course of the ship at sea), a process which was termed दूग्गणितैक. In Zoology the enumeration of the species of Vermes, Insecta, Reptilia, Batrachia, Aves, etc., makes a fair beginning, but the classification

proceeds on external characters and habits of life, and not on an anatomical basis. In Botany the observation was mainly in the interests of Materia Medica, and the classification was as superficial as possible. (*Vide* my Paper on the Hindu Classification of Plants and Animals.)

Experiments.--Experiments were of course conducted for purposes of chemical operations in relation to the arts and manufactures, e.g. Metallurgy, Pharmacy, Dyeing, Perfumery and Cosmetics, Horticulture, the making and polishing of glass (lenses and mirrors of various kinds are mentioned, the spherical and oval वृत्त and बहुल being well known-Pliny indeed mentions that the best glass ever made was Indian glass). And the results of such experiments were freely drawn upon for building up scientific hypotheses and generalisations. But of experiment as an independent method of proof or discovery the instances recorded in books are rare. I may note one interesting example in Udayana's Kiranávali, relating to the weight of air. Udayana argues that air must be a distinct and independent Bhúta, for if air were a form of the Earth-Bhúta, it would have weight, and it has none. To prove the absence of weight, he refers to an experiment. A small bladder made of a thin membrane, filled with air, will not cause a greater descent in the scale than the same bladder weighed empty. Hence the air possesses no weight. Then Udayana makes an interesting statement. It may be objected, he says, by one who accepts the weight of air, that this argument is inconclusive; for a counter-experiment may be suggested. The

248

balloon filled with smoke (or gas, un) rises in the air, whereas the air-filled balloon comes down. This would go to show that air has weight. Udayana replies that this would only show that both smoke (or gas, un) and air have no weight. The Hindus appear to have been ignorant of the principle of Archimedes. Vallabhácháryya, in the Lílávatí, it is true, speaks of a peculiar resistance to sinking (or gravity) exercised by water, which explains the tendency in certain objects to float or to come up to the surface of the water, but the description does not show that he had any clear ideas on the subject. Mathuránátha, again, states that the determination of the degree of purity (the carat) of gold by rubbing against the assaying-stone and observing the character of the yellowish streak against the black smooth background, is only an indirect means of ascertaining weight (गुरन्ववैलघ्राख, lit. specific gravity)which seems to suggest that there was a more direct means of arriving at the latter. Probably this refers to the common Indian method of comparing the lengths and weights of wires of uniform thickness that can be formed by drawing different pieces of gold through the same diamond bore. I think it may be regarded as fairly certain that the Hindus were ignorant of Archimedes' discovery, an ignorance which, at any rate, they could not have well borrowed from the Greeks, no more than they could have thus borrowed their knowledge of things unknown to the Greeks themselves.

[Cf. Udayana, Kiranávali :-- वायुनिरूपनम्, तिं च (वायोः) पृथिचीत्वे गुरुत्वमपि स्यात् । न च पवनापूरितस्य चर्म्मपुटकादेः अपूरणदशातः अधिकं अवनमनम् । उन्नीयमानस्य थूमापूरितेन इदम् अनैकानिकं इति चेत् न । अऌं धूमदशायां तत्रापि गरिमविशेषहेतोरवनतिविशेषस्य अप्रतोतेः । —ef. Vallabhácháryya, Lílávatí—गुरुत्वविशेषेऽपि पाषाणालावु-प्रभृतिषु मज्जनोन्मज्जननियमवत् अदृष्टहेतुकतासाम्य्येऽपि पतनोत्पतननियमो भविष्पतीति चेत् न । जलाधोगमनं जलेन धारणं पतनप्रतिवन्ध उन्मज्जनं एतच जलस्य योगस्य कस्यचिदेव पतनप्रतिवन्धसामय्थीत् । दृष्टहेतुकमेव मज्जनच उम्मज्जनच ।] Cf. Mathuránátha, सन्निकषैवादरहस्य on Gangesa's Tattvachintámani—तस्य (निकषोपलस्य) न सुवर्ण-पीताभिव्यच्नकत्वं किन्तु पीतद्वारा गुरुत्ववैलय्स्यय्यच्चकत्वमेव तस्य ।

Fallacies of Observation.—Mal-observation and Non-observation :—These were carefully studied in relation to errors of observation, and hallucination ($\underline{u}\overline{n}$, $\underline{u}\underline{u}\underline{n}\overline{n}$, $\underline{u}\overline{n}\overline{n}\overline{n}$), which were ascribed to three causes : (a) Dosha, $\overline{\epsilon}i\overline{u}$, defect of sense organ, as of the eye in jaundice, or of the skin in certain forms of leprosy (leading to tactile insensibility, cf. Susruta), or defect of necessary stimulus, e.g. too faint light, or undue distance or nearness, in vision; (b) Samprayoga, $\overline{u}\overline{u}\overline{u}\overline{i}\overline{n}$, presentation of a part or an aspect instead of the whole; and (c) Sanskára, $\overline{u}\overline{u}\overline{u}\overline{n}$, the disturbing influence of mental predisposition, e.g. expectation, memory, habit, prejudice, etc.

The Doctrine of Inference.—Anumána (Inference) is the process of ascertaining, not by perception or direct observation, but through the instrumentality or medium of a mark, that a thing possesses a certain character. Inference is therefore based on the establishment of an invariable concomitance (Vyápti, चाग्न) between the mark and the character inferred. The Hindu Inference (Anumána) is therefore neither merely formal nor merely material, but a combined FormalMaterial Deductive-Inductive process. It is neither the Aristotelian Syllogism (Formal-Deductive process), nor Mill's Induction (Material-Inductive process), but the real Inference which must combine formal validity with material truth, inductive generalisation with deductive particularisation.

An inference admits of a rigorous formal statement —in the shape of five propositions for dialectical purposes (*i.e.* in demonstrating to others)—or of three propositions when the inference is for oneself (खाधानुमान):—

(1) The probandum, the statement of the proposition to be established (प्रतिज्ञा, साध्यनिहेंश), e.g. yonder mountain is "fiery"; (2) the reason, the ascription of the mark (हेन्, लिज्ज्ञच्यपदेश), e.g. for it smokes; (3) the general proposition, stating the invariable concomitance which is the ground of the inference—clenched by an example, e.g. whatever smokes is "fiery," as an oven (उदाहरण); (4) next, the application, the ascertainment of the existence of the mark in the present case (उपनय), e.g. yonder mountain smokes; (5) finally, the conclusion, the probandum proved (निगमन), e.g. yonder mountain is "fiery."

- 1. Yonder mountain is "fiery."
- 2. For it smokes.
- 3. Whatever smokes is "fiery," as an oven.
- 4. Yonder mountain does smoke.
- 5. Therefore yonder mountain is "fiery."

For inference for oneself only the first three or the last three propositions are held to be sufficient.

The Hindu Anumána, it will be seen, anticipates J. S. Mill's analysis of the syllogism as a material inference, but is more comprehensive; for the Hindu Udáharana, the third or general proposition with an example, combines and harmonises Mill's view of the major premise as a brief memorandum of like instances already observed, fortified by a recommendation to extend its application to unobserved cases, with the Aristotelian view of it as a universal proposition which is the formal ground of the inference. This Formal-Material Deductive-Inductive process thus turns on one thing-the establishment of the invariable concomitance (a) between the mark and the character inferredin other words, an inductive generalisation. The question is—what is our warrant for taking the leap from the observed to unobserved cases ? Under what conditions are we justified to assert a Universal Real proposition on the basis of our necessarily limited observation ?

The Chárváka View.—Among the Chárvákas there were two classes, the cruder school of materialists who accepted perception (प्रायस्) as a valid source of knowledge, as well as the reality of natural law (स्वभाव), and the finer school of sceptics, who impugned all kinds of knowledge, immediate as well as mediate, and all evidence, Perception as well as Inference; vide Jayanta's reference in the Nyáyamañjarí to मुशिक्षितचाद्वीका:; also चाध्वाकधूत्तेस्तु अधानसत्त्वं व्यख्यास्याम इति प्रतिज्ञाय प्रमाखप्रमेयसंख्यालघ्य्यणनियमाश्वरूक्तरणीयत्वमेव व्याख्यानवान् — Áhnika 1, Mañjarí.

The Chárvákas hold that the principle of causality

which the Buddhists assume to be a ground of an induction (चात्रि), is itself an induction (a case of Vyápti), which amounts to reasoning in a circle (चन्नज); that every inference is based on an unconditional invariable concomitance, which itself must be inferred, as universal propositions cannot be established by our limited perceptions, and thus there is a *regressus ad infinitum* (जनादिपरम्परा), and that the *nexus* between cause and effect, or between the sign and the thing signified (*e.g.* smoke and fire), is only a mental step or subjective association based on former perception, a mental step which by accident is found justified by the result in a number of cases.

The Buddhists : their Analysis and Vindication of Inference.-The Buddhists, however, take their stand on the principle of the Uniformity of Nature (प्रतिवन्ध, स्रभावप्रतिवन्ध, Nyáya-Vindu). This uniformity, for scientific purposes, has to be divided into two different relations: (1) the uniformity of succession in the relation of cause and effect, e.g. of smoke to fire (काय्ये-कारसभाव, तदुत्पन्नि, Nyáya-Vindu); (2) the uniformity of co-existence (in the form of co-inherence in the same substrate) in the relation of genus and species, e.g. the relation of invariable concomitance expressed in the proposition "all Sinsapás are trees," which is not a relation of causality, but of co-existence or coinherence in the same substrate (i.e. the co-inherence of the generic qualities of a tree with the specific characters of a Sisu-tree in this particular individual before me, a Sisu-tree), a relation which may be termed essential identity (तादात्म, स्वभाव-Nyáya-Vindu). To

these two the Buddhists add a third ground of inference, non-perception of the perceptible (अनुपऌचिं, दूश्यानुपऌचिं), which is employed in inferring the absence (मनिपेथ) of a thing from the non-perception of the thing or of something else. In all cases of inference based on the Uniformity of Nature, the relation is that of inseparableness or non-disjunction between the mark and the character inferred. The question is: how is this inseparableness (अदिनाभाव) ascertained, and what is the warrant of our belief in it in these cases?

Ascertainment of Inseparableness or Non-disjunction: Buddhist Account.-First take the case of causation. The cause is the invariable antecedent of the effect. What is meant is that (a) a specific cause (or sum of causal conditions) is invariably followed by a specific effect, and (b) a specific effect (with all the distinctive and relevant accompaniments, जाय्येविशेष) is invariably preceded by a specific cause (कारएगविशेष). It is not that clouds always lead to rain, or that floods in the rivervalley always imply rain in the hills higher up. But this particular conjunction of antecedent circumstances (e.g. the appearance of a particular kind of clouds accompanied by flashes of lightning, the roll of thunder and flights of Valákás-driven by the wind from a particular quarter of the horizon, and ascending in black masses, etc.) is as a rule the precursor of a particular assemblage of rain effects (rain with particular accompaniments). Again, this particular kind of flood (overflowing of the river-banks accompanied by muddy discoloration of the water, rapid currents, the bearing

254

down of tree-trunks, etc.) is always preceded by rain in the hills higher up (though, no doubt, other cases of floods in a river may be due to a breach in an embankment or the melting of the snows). In other words, the Buddhists (and the earlier Nyáya schools) avoid the difficulty arising from the plurality of causes by taking into consideration the accompanying phenomena, which, if properly marked, would always point to a specific cause of a specific effect, and *vice versa*.

I quote Nyáya authorities, but this device to obviate the plurality of causes is common to the early Nyáya and the Buddhistic systems.

पूर्धवत् । यत्र कारखेन कार्य्यमनुमीयते । उदाहरखम् ---

मेघोनन्या भविष्यति वृष्टिरिति कारणेन कार्य्यानुमानम् । कर्षं पुनरस्य प्रयोगः । वृष्टिमन्तः एते मेघाः गम्भीरध्वनिवच्चे सति वहुवऌाकावच्चे सति छचिरप्रभावच्चे सति उन्नतिमच्चात् वृष्टिमन्मेघवत् ।

शेषवत् (यत्र कार्य्येन कारणमनुमीयते)-- उदाहरणम् ---

उपरिवृष्टिमहेशसम्बन्धि नदीसोतः शोभन्वे सति पूर्णेफल्काष्ठादिवहनवत्वे सति पूर्णेत्वात् पूर्णवृष्टिमन्नदोवदिति । (Udyotakara, Chap. I., Áhnika 1, Sútra 5.) Váchaspati puts this clearly :---यद्यपि कारणमात्र व्यभिचरति काय्योत्पादं तपापि यादृशं न व्यभिचरति तत्र निपुणेन प्रतिपत्रा भवितव्यम् । अन्यया धूममात्रमपि वहिमन्नां व्यभिचरतीति न धूमविशेषो गमको भवेत् । In other words, a single condition called a cause is not invariably succeeded by the effect, nor does the effect-phenomenon in general point to any particular cause as antecedent, for there may be a plurality of causes of a general effect. The skilful observer will therefore select the full complement of causal conditions which is invariably succeeded by the effect, and also the specific effect (*e.g.* धूमविशेष) which points to a specific causal antecedent. Compare also Jayanta : "We infer an effect from a specific assemblage of causes "—न च कारणमात्रस्य हेतुन्वं ब्रुमो येनास्य व्यभिचारः स्यात् । आप च विशिष्टमेव कारणं हेतुः । न च कारणविशेषो दुरवगमः । गम्भोर-गज्जितारम्भनिर्भित्नगिरिगद्धराः रोलम्बगवलव्यालतमालमलिनच्चिषः ... वृष्टिं व्यभिचरन्तीह नैव प्रायः पयोमुचः । जनभ्युपगने चैवमनुमानस्य जीवितम् । न स्याद्भमविशेषाणामपि वोद्धुमशक्तितः । Similarly, we infer a specific cause from a specific assemblage of effects :— शेषवदिति यत्र कार्य्येण कारणमनुमीयते यथा नदोपूरोण उपरितने देशे वृष्टिरिति । वृष्टिमतपृष्टदेशसंमृष्टा ईयं नदी फेनिलकलुपन्वादिविशिष्टपूरो-पेतत्वात् । यत्नु सेनुभङ्गहिमविलयनादिनापि नदोपूरोपपन्ति दूष्टा इति तत्रापि उच्यते —

प्रमातुरपराधोऽयं विशेषं यो न पश्यति । नानुमानस्य दोषोऽस्ति प्रमेयाव्यभिचारिराः ॥

(Nyáyamañjarí, Áhnika 2, on Gotama Sútra 5, Áhnika 1, Chap. I.)

A specific assemblage of causes, therefore, has only one specific assemblage of effects, and *vice versa*. Of course, the observer is to find out the essential or relevant features (as distinguished from the irrelevant ones) which, being included, will enable him to specify the particular cause of the particular effect.

Now, this being premised to be the exact meaning of the inseparableness or non-disjunction in the case of cause and effect, we come to the question with which we started—how is this relation to be ascertained or established between two phenomena or assemblages of phenomena? Obviously, mere observation of their agreement in presence (ज्ञन्वय) and their agreement in absence (ज्वतिरेक) is no help in the matter. Take a concrete example. The ass is customarily employed to bring the fuel with which fire is lighted. In a hundred

cases you have observed the ass among the antecedents of smoke. In a hundred cases you may have observed that when there is no ass there is no smoke. This is no warrant for concluding a relation of cause and effect between an ass and smoke. It may be that you happen to have never observed smoke without an antecedent ass, or an ass without smoke following. Even this is of no avail. It is not agreement (unbroken and uniform though it be) in presence or in absence, or in both, that can settle the matter. There is one and only one way of ascertaining the causal relation. Suppose A with certain accompaniments is found to precede B immediately. Now, if A disappearing B disappears, even though all other antecedents remain and there is no other change in the case, then and then only can the causal relation be ascertained. It is not a mere table of positive instances or negative instances (खद्शनान न द्शनात्); it is this Method, which we may term the Method of Subtraction (the Method of Difference in its negative aspect), that is the only exact and rigorous scientific Method. Such was the statement of the earlier Buddhists (cf. Udyotakara's and Váchaspati's report of the Buddhist doctrine of Inference- स हि प्रतिवन्धी न दर्शनमात्रावसेयः).

S

नान्यतः 1---Váchaspati, Tátparyyatiká, Chap. I., Áhnika 1, Sutra 5, जनुमानलञ्चराम् ---व्यान्निग्रहोपायः)

But the canon in this form is not sufficiently safeguarded against possible abuse. Two points have to be emphasised : (1) It must be carefully observed that no other condition is changed, (2) that the appearance and disappearance of A must immediately precede the appearance and disappearance of B. The definition of a cause is based on two fundamental characters : (1) the unconditional invariableness of the antecedence, and (2) the immediateness of the antecedence. The canon of the Method of Difference must therefore be stated in such a form as to emphasise each of these aspects. And one main difficulty in the practical application of the canon is that, along with the introduction or sublation of an antecedent, some other phenomenon may be introduced or sublated unobserved. As a safeguard against this radical vitiation of the Method, the later Buddhists formulated the canon of a modified Method, termed the Panchakáraní, a Joint Method of Difference, which combines the positive and the negative Methods of Difference (the Method of Addition and the Method of Subtraction) in a series of five steps, and which equally emphasises the unconditionality and the immediateness of the antecedence as essential moments of the causal relation. This is neither agreement in presence nor agreement in presence as well as absence (the foundation of J. S. Mill's Joint Method of Agreement), but the Joint Method of Difference. The Pañchakáraní runs thus :----

The following changes being observed, everything

258

else remaining constant, the relation of cause and effect is rigorously established :---

- First step—The "cause" and the "effect" phenomena are both unperceived.
- Second step—Then the "cause" phenomenon is perceived.
- Third step--Then, in immediate succession, the "effect" phenomenon is perceived.
- Fourth step—Then the "cause" phenomenon is sublated or disappears.
- Fifth step-Then, in immediate succession, the "effect" phenomenon disappears.

Throughout, of course, it is assumed that the other circumstances remain the same (at least the relevant or material circumstances).

This Pañchakáraní, the Joint Method of Difference, has some advantages over J. S. Mill's Method of Difference, or, what is identical therewith, the earlier Buddhist Method; and the form of the canon, bringing out in prominent relief the unconditionality and the immediateness of the antecedence, is as superior from a theoretical point of view to J. S. Mill's canon, and is as much more consonant than the latter to the practice of every experimenter, as the Hindu analysis of Anumána as a Formal-Material Deductive-Inductive Inference is more comprehensive and more scientific than Aristotle's or Mill's analysis of the Syllogism (or Mediate Inference).

But even the Pañchakaraní is no sufficient answer to the question with which we started. The Pañchakáraní

is only a method; it shows only how in a particular case the relation of cause and effect is to be established (प्रतिवन्धग्रहोपाय). But we want more than this-we require a warrant for the process. The Buddhists therefore supply the following proof of the Method: Doubt is legitimate, but there is a limit to doubt. When doubt lands you in an unsettlement of a fundamental ground of practice, and would thus annul all practical exercise of the will, the doubt must cease; else the doubt would be suicidal or sophistical. In this particular case, when the Pañchakáraní is satisfied, the antecedent in question must be the cause, for there is no other antecedent to serve as cause; the proof is indirect but rigid. If this be not the cause, there is no cause of the phenomenon. It was not, and it begins to be, without a cause; which would be a contradiction of the rational ground of all practice, for all volitional activity proceeds by implication on the principle of causality. If things could happen without a cause, all our motives to action would be baffled. The link between a presentation and the instinctive volitional reaction would snap, and the circuit of consciousness would be left incomplete. In fact, the Buddhists go farther; they hold causal efficiency (अपेक्रिया) to be of the essence of empirical (relative) Reality. The proof of the Joint Method of Difference, then, lies in a strict application of the principle of causality in its negative form (viz., there can be no phenomenon without a cause), and the truth of this last is guaranteed by the same ultimate criterion of empirical (relative) Reality as the truth of Perception itself, viz. the

260

correspondence between the rational and the practical activity of the self.

But invariable concomitance (or non-disjunction), the Buddhists argue, has another form, e.g. the relation of the genus to the species. We may have perceived a hundred instances of the association of certain characters with certain others; we may also have never perceived the former when the latter were absent; but this would not enable us to generalise and establish invariable and unconditional co-existence. We must be first satisfied that there is identity of essence (तादाल्य, खभाव). It is only when we perceive that the characters of a Sinsapá are co-inherent with the generic characters of a tree in the same individual object (a Sinsapá-tree before me), and when we further perceive that the characters are held together by the relation of identity of essence, that we can say that all Sinsapás are trees. For as there is identity of essence, a Sinsapá would not be a Sinsapá if it were not a tree; it would lose its self-identity, which is a contradiction. Hence the relation of identity of essence (तादात्म, खभाव), as in the relation of the species to the genus, is the sole ground for establishing uniformity of co-existence (प्रतिवन्ध, खभावप्रतिवन्ध).

For the Buddhist Method of Induction in its later form, the Pañchakáraní, vide Sarvadarsana Sangrahá— Buddhist reply to the Chárváka attack on Inference :—

यदभ्यथायि छविनाभावो दुवोंध इति तदसाधीयः । तादात्म्यतदुत्पत्तिभ्याम-विनाभावस्य सुज्ञानत्वात् । तदुक्तं कार्य्यकारणभावात् वा नियामकात् । छविनाभावनियमोब्द्शेनान्न न दर्शनात् । As for the Nyáya view, ज्ञन्वयव्यतिरेकौ छविनाभावनिष्ठायकौ इति ।—the Buddhist objects : ननु पश्चे साध्यसाथनयोरव्यभिचारो दुरवधारणः भवेत् । भूते, भविष्यति, वर्त्तमाने अनुपलभ्यमाने च व्यभिचारशङ्काया अनिवारणात् ।—the Nyáya retorts : ननु तयाविधस्यले तावकेऽपि मते व्यभिचारशङ्का दुष्यरिहरा इात ।—the Buddhist answers : मैवं वोच:, विनापि कारणं कार्य्यमुत्पद्यताम् इत्येपं विधायाः शङ्कायाः व्याधाता-वधिकतया निवृत्तत्वात् । तदेव हि आशङ्म्योत यस्मिन्नाशङ्म्यामाने व्याधातादयः नावतरेषु: । तदुक्तं व्याधातावधिराशङ्का इति । तस्मात् तदुत्पत्तिनिश्वयेन अविनाभावो निश्चीयते । तदुत्पत्तिनिश्चयश्च कार्य्यहेत्वोः प्रत्यक्षोपल्म्भानुपल्य्म्भ-पचकनिवन्धनः । कार्य्यस्य उत्पत्तिः प्रागनुपल्य्म्भः इति । तस्मात् तदुत्पत्तिनिश्चयेन अविनाभावो निश्चीयते । तदुत्पत्तिनिश्चयश्च कार्य्यहेत्वोः प्रत्यक्षोपल्य्म्भानुपल्य्म्भ-पचकनिवन्धनः । कार्य्यस्य उत्पत्तिः प्रागनुपल्य्म्भः इति पचकारात्या धूम-धूमध्व-जयो कार्य्यकारणभावः निश्चीयते । तथा तादात्यनिश्चयेन अपि अविनाभावः निश्चीयते । यदि शिंशपाः वृद्यत्वम् अतिपतेत् स्वात्मानमेव जसात् इति विषश्चे वाधकप्रवृत्तेः । अप्रवृत्ते तु वाधके भूयः सहभावोपल्यमेर्डपि व्यभिचारशङ्कायाः को निवारयिता । शिंशपावृक्षयोश्च तादात्म्यनिश्चयः वृष्ठोऽयं शिंशपा इति सामानाधिकरत्यवल्हात् उपपद्यते तस्मात् कार्य्यात्माननी कारत्यम् आत्मानम् अनुमापयत इति सिद्धम् । Sarvadarsana Sangrahá, Bauddha-Darsanam; vide also Śridhara, Kandalí.

The Nyáya Doctrine of Inference.—The Nyáya easily demolishes the Buddhist contention about identity of essence. The Nyáya writers, being realists, do not impugn the reality of the genus (जाति) like the nominalists or the nominalistic conceptualists; but they point out that the inseparableness (or non-disjunction) in such cases can only be established by the experience of unbroken uniformity (ज्ञचभिचारित, *i.e.* by ज्ञन्तपद्यतिरेजी, त्रीनादशेने). Uniform agreement in presence with uniform agreement in absence—not the mysterious identity of essence irresistibly perceived in any individual case or cases—is the only basis for constituting genera and species in natural classification. Indeed, some of the later Nyáya writers point out that individuals do not

262

always possess in nature all the characters that go to form the definition of the class to which they are referred.

Similarly, as regards the relation of cause and effect, a nexus is sometimes fancied to be perceived, a power in the cause to produce the effect (शक्त), or an ultimate form (जातिसीक्ष्म्य), which is supposed to be present whenever the effect (quality or substance) is produced (cf. Bacon's view of the "Forms" of Simple Qualities). All this is neither a matter of observation nor of legitimate hypothesis. There is nothing except the invariable time-relation (antecedence and sequence) between the cause and the effect. But the mere invariableness of an antecedent does not suffice to constitute it the cause of what succeeds; it must be an unconditional antecedent as well (जन्मयासिद्धिभन्मस्य नियतपूर्ववत्तिता, being the definition of जाय्येकारणभाव). For example, the essential or adventitious accompaniments of an invariable antecedent may also be invariable antecedents; but they are not unconditional, but only collateral and indirect. In other words, their antecedence is conditional on something else (न स्वातन्त्र्येण). The potter's stick is an unconditional invariable antecedent of the jar; but the colour of a stick, or its texture or size or any other accompaniment or accident which does not contribute to the work done (so far as we are considering it), is not an unconditional antecedent, and must not therefore be regarded as a cause. Similarly, the co-effects of the invariable antecedents, or what enters into the production of these co-effects, may themselves be invariable antecedents; but they are not unconditional, being themselves conditioned by those of the antecedents of which they are effects. For example, the sound produced by the stick or by the potter's wheel invariably precedes the jar, but it is a co-effect, and Ákása (ether) as the substrate and Vayu (air) as the vehicle of the sound enter into the production of this co-effect; but these are not "unconditional" antecedents, and must therefore be rejected in an enumeration of conditions or causes of the jar. Again, the conditions of the conditions, the invariable antecedents of the invariable antecedents, are not unconditional. The potter's father is an invariable antecedent of the potter who is an invariable antecedent of the jar, but the potter's father does not stand in a causal relation to the potter's handiwork. In fact, the antecedence must not only be unconditionally invariable, but must also be immediate (जव्यवहितपूर्वकालावच्छेरेन कार्य्यदेशे सह्तम्). Finally, all seemingly invariable antecedents which may be dispensed with or left out are ipso facto not unconditional, and cannot therefore be regarded as causal conditions. In short, nothing that is unnecessary is unconditional. For this class, vide Visva-सम्भवे । तद्भिन्नम् (ज्ञतिरिक्तं) ज्ञन्यथासिद्धं-Visvanátha, Siddhánta-Muktávali, on Śloka 20. For example, it is the custom to point to spatial position or direction with the fingers; but finger-pointing, though invariably present, is not causally related to the perception of direction or spatial position, because we can imagine such perception without finger-pointing (जन्मयासिद्धतमा अझ्युच्या निर्देशस्य दिक्प्रत्यखत्वेन न स्वाभाविकः सम्बन्धः, Váchaspati, Tátparyyatíká, Chap. I.,

Áhnika 1, Sútra 5. This shows that the doctrine of जन्ययासिद्धिशून्य was long anterior to Gangesa). [Visvanátha in the Bhásha-Parichchheda mentions

[Visvanátha in the Bhásha-Parichchheda mentions five kinds of जन्यपासिद्ध, conditional antecedents—(1) येन सहपूर्वभाव: ; (2) यस्य वा कारणमादाय (पूर्वभाव:) ; (3) जन्यंप्रति पूर्वभावे ज्ञाते यत्पूर्वभावविज्ञानम् ; (4) जनकं प्रति पूर्ववर्त्तिताम् ज्ञपरिज्ञाय यस्य (पूर्ववर्त्तिता) न गृद्धते ; and (5) ज्ञपापि यत् नियतावश्यकपूर्वभाविनः ज्ञातिरिक्तम् भवेत् (एतेषु पञ्चसु ज्ञावश्यकस्त्वसो—Slokas 19 and 20), यस्य स्वातन्त्रपेण जन्वयव्यतिरेकी न स्त: किनु सकारणमादायेव जन्वयव्यतिरेकी गृद्धेते तदन्यपासिद्धम्—Siddhánta-Muktávali, *loc. cit.*—The Dinakarí points out that the first two cases are comprehended under the formula इतरान्वयव्यतिरेकप्रयुक्तान्वयव्यतिरेक ज्ञात्हात्वम् । There are several classifications of these irrelevant antecedents (ज्ञन्यपासिद्ध) ; I quote one of the best known.]

The unconditional (ज्ञन्ययाग्निद्दिज्ञ्न्य), as interpreted in this comprehensive sense, is a far more fruitful conception than Mill's, and is well adapted to its work the elimination of the irrelevant factors in the situation. In the end, the discrimination of what is necessary to complete the sum of causes from what is dependent, collateral, secondary, superfluous, or inert (*i.e.* of the relevant from the irrelevant factors), must depend on the test of expenditure of energy. This test the Nyáya would accept only in the sense of an operation analysable into molar or molecular motion (परिस्यन्द स्व भौतिको व्यापार: करोत्यपे:—जतोन्द्रियसु व्यापारो नाम्ति—Jayanta, Mañjarí, Áhnika 1), but would emphatically reject if it is advanced in support of the notion of a mysterious causal power or efficiency (ज्ञाक्त). With the Nyáya all energy is necessarily kinetic. This is a peculiarity of the Nyáya—its insisting that the effect is only the sum or resultant of the operations of the different causal conditions-that these operations are kinetic, being of the nature of motion, in other words, holding firmly to the view that causation is a case of expenditure of energy, *i.e.* a re-distribution of motion, but at the same time absolutely repudiating the Sánkhya conception of power or productive efficiency as metaphysical or transcendental (जतीन्द्रिय), and finding nothing in the cause other than an unconditional invariable complement of operative conditions (कारणसामग्री), and nothing in the effect other than the consequent phenomenon which results from the joint operations of the antecedent conditions. (समुद्रितसकल्लारकनिकरपरिस्पन्द एव-Jayanta. सामग्री कार्यं-सामग्रीकार्यन्वे तु कार्य्यमस्तु किमनारालवर्त्तिन्या श्रात्त्या-Jayanta, ibid., Ahnika 1, शक्तिनिराकरणम्। It may be noted that the Nyáya, while repudiating transcendental power (Śakti) in the mechanism of Nature and natural causation, does not deny the existence of metaphysical conditions like merit (धर्म), which constitute a system of moral ends that fulfil themselves in and through the mechanical system and order of Nature-vide Jayanta, ज्ञतीन्द्रियं किमपि कारणं कल्पितमेव धर्म्मादि-Ahnika 1, शक्तिनिराकरणम् ।)

The causal relation, then, like the relation of genus to species, is a natural relation of concomitance (व्याग्नि: खाभाविक: सम्पन्ध:—Váchaspati) which can be ascertained only by the uniform and uninterrupted experience of agreement in presence and agreement in absence, and not by deduction from a certain *a priori* principle like that of Causality or Identity of Essence.

Nyáya objection to the Buddhist Method of Difference as a means of ascertaining Causality.—Take for example the Buddhist deduction of Causality in any particular conjunction by means of the negative Method of Difference, or of the Panchakáraní. The ascertainment of the causal relation by these Methods is open to the following objections: (1) The unconditionality of the antecedent cannot possibly be ascertained. As the Chárváka rightly points out, the Methods enable you to eliminate irrelevant antecedents that are or can be perceived; but the introduction or sublation of latent or undetected antecedents can be imagined, against which the Method of Difference is powerless. In the case of the production of smoke, for example, by firewhat if I say that an invisible demon intervenes in every case between the fire and the smoke, that this demon (fugura) is the immediate antecedent and real cause of the latter, and that the fire is an accident which in every such case is brought about by its own causal antecedents? In saying this I do not go counter to the principle of causality, and am landed in no contradiction (व्याधात) such as strikes at the very roots of all practice, or baffles the completed circuit of consciousness, however much I may violate probability.

(2) In the second place, even supposing that the fire in this particular case (which satisfies the Method of Difference rigidly) is ascertained to be the cause of the smoke, how can I know that fire is the cause in other cases, or that there is no other cause? You will perhaps argue that if there were an *indefinite* number of causes of the same specific phenomenal effect, it would violate the principle that phenomena are all conditioned, i.e. exist only under certain conditions (कादाचित्तकाव), which is more comprehensive than the principle of causality, and the contradiction of which equally overthrows all rational practical activity. Yes, I accept the conditionality of phenomena, but this is not violated by supposing that one specific assemblage of phenomena has more than one cause. It is true that if you suppose such plurality of causes you cannot establish the invariableness of the particular conjunction (green-wood fire and smoke) which your Method of Difference fixes upon as a case of cause and effect; in other words, with your special principle of Causality so restricted, and without any general principle of Uniformity of Nature to fall back upon, you cannot ascertain from the present case, or from any number of similar cases that you may have observed, that all green-wood fires are followed by smoke, or that in a given case smoke has been preceded by fire-*i.e.*, you are helpless in demonstrating (or ascertaining indubitably) the relation of cause and But this is an objection against your own effect. position, not mine. Why not admit at once that certain phenomena are naturally connected (as invariable concomitants or antecedents) with other phenomena, and take your stand on observed concomitance (uniform and uninterrupted experience of agreement in presence as well as absence) without assuming causality as an a priori principle and making deductions therefrom, and without the trouble of ascertaining the relation of cause and effect in every individual case? I am free to admit that theoretical objections of irresistible force

(like those of the Chárváka sceptics) can be urged against this ascertainment of universal invariable and unconditional concomitance (याग्नियहोषाय) on the basis of mere observation. Doubts of this kind can no more be laid by my view of the matter than by your canons of causality and essential identity (तद्त्पत्ति and तादास्य). Ultimately we all have to fall back on the rational practice of thinking persons (प्रेक्षायन्त:), and such persons are always content to act on practical certitude instead of hankering after an unattainable apodictic certainty in the affairs of life (प्रामाधिकऌोकयाचामनुपाऌयता यथाद्येन याद्वनोयम्). This same practical certitude is also the ultimate warrant of the Deductive-Inductive Inference by which we ascertain the characters of things without direct perception and through the medium or instrumentality of a mark.

[To the earlier Buddhist canon of the Method of Subtraction, *i.e.* the negative Method of Difference, Udyotakara and Váchaspati, of the Nyáya school, pertinently and acutely object as follows :—

सत्यं यत् किच्चित् क्वचित् दृष्टं तस्य यत्र प्रतिवन्धः, तडिदः तस्य तत् गमकं तत्र इति छनुजानोमः । स एव तु प्रतिवन्धो न तावत् तदुत्पत्त्या सम्भयति । का पुनरियं तदुत्पत्तिः । धूमस्य किं वह्रचननरं भावः । स तादृश्नः छस्ति रासभस्यापि । तत्प्रतिवद्वोऽपि धूमः स्यात् । छप तदननरमेव भावः, न च रासभाननरं भवच्चपि तदननरमेव भवति, तस्मिन् सति छपि छसति छग्नौ तदभावात् । छासति छपि तस्मिन् सत्याद्वेन्धनवति वह्री तदभावात् । छप यद्यपि धूमस्य वह्रिभावानुविधानं तत्रोपल्ठभं, तथापि देशानारादिषु तद्भावोऽस्य कुतस्यः । तथा हि भूयो भूयो रासभे दृष्टे धूमोदृष्टः, तदभावे च छादृष्टः, न स तत्कार्यः । तज्जातीयस्यैव धूमस्य रासभं विना सति वह्री भावात्, एवं सत्यपि छग्नौ पिशाचेन जनितो धूमः, क्वचिद्देशादी तज्जातीय एव रासभवदवह्वभावेऽपि पिशाचादेव भविष्यति, इति छवश्यं शक्क्या भार्य्यं नियामक छपश्यताम् । न च सति भावमार्च नियामकं, तस्य रासभादिषु अविशोषात् । तदननारमेव इति च

अवधारणस्य शङ्कायामभावात्। अवधारणेन तु शङ्कापनयने परस्पराश्रयप्रसङ्घात्। Buddhist reply:- स्यादेतत्। यो यो धूमोदृष्टः स सर्व्वस्तावत् आद्रेन्धनसहित वह्र्यननारमेव, न पिशाचाननारं। स च जादाचित्तकतया निमित्तमपेक्षमाणो यदननारमेव गम्यते तदेव अप्रतीतव्यभिचारं निमित्तं करोति, न तु प्रतीतव्यभिचारं रासभादि । नापि सर्वेयाञ्नुपल्रअपिशाचादिः । यदि न तन्निमित्तं कस्मात् विनाऽपि वहिं क्वचित् धूमा न उपलभ्यते। उप उसौ (पिशाचः) सव्वैया वह्रिसहितः तथा सति आद्रेन्धनवत् कर्थं वह्रिरपि न कारणं। कारणं चेत् कथं तमनारेण घमभावशङ्का। Now the phenomenon that is contingent (कादाचित्क) cannot be uncaused-अकारणस्य हि कार्य्यस्य नित्यं सत्त्वं असत्वं वा स्यात्, अनपेक्षत्वात् न कादाचित्कत्वम् । And with the limitation of a specific effect, there must be only one specific cause, for an unrestricted plurality of causes would amount to the denial of uniformity in causality (i.e. of the unconditional invariable antecedence)-

नापि अनेकं कारणं, अकारणत्वप्रसङ्घादेव । वहन्यननरमेव भाव इति हि धूमस्य वहिकार्य्यत्वम् । स चेत् अवहरेाप अननारं, न एवकारार्थः स्यात्, इति न वहेः कार्य्यम् । एवम् जन्यस्यापि न कार्य्यं । न हि जन्याननारमेव भवति, वहूरेपि चनारं भावात्, ततछ चहेतुको थूमः स्यात् । तथा च कादाचित्काच-व्याहतिः ।

Nyáya rejoinder :- सत्यम् । यद्यपि विना वहिं नोपलमो थूमः, यद्यपि च पिशाचानारं नोपलभ्यते तेषामनुपल्रे , तथाऽपि पिशाचकार्य्य एव धूमः । तत्र तत्र वहिः कुतश्रित् खहेतोः उपनिपतितः, रासभ इव, न धूमस्य जनकः । तेन तदभावेऽपि तज्जातीय एव कारणभेदजन्मा कदाचित्, कादा-चित्को थूमः स्यात्। इति अनिवृत्तिरेव शङ्कायाः। न च दृष्टसम्भवे नादृष्टं कत्यायतुं युक्तम् इति शक्यं भवद्विवेक्तुम् । अनुपलम्थिलध्यणप्राप्तस्य अशक्यनिरा-करणत्वात् (vide Nyáya-Vindu)। न च अनुपलब्ध्यनराणि अपि तन्निषेथे प्रभवन्ति । तस्मात् जननारमेव उति जवधारणाभावात् नैवं कार्य्यका-रणभावावधारणं युक्तम् । न च यदन्यसहिताननारं उपल्अं तत्, तदन्यरहितात् तस्मात् भवत् भिन्नजातीयं भवति । उक्तं हि रामभसहितात् वहेः यादृशो धूमः

तादृश एव तद्ररहितात् वहे रिति । तस्मादेवंविधा शङ्कापिशाची खनिवारकं तद्त्पत्तिनिष्ठ्ययमास्कन्द्ती न शक्या निवारयितुम् ।

The Nyáya proceeds to point out that what is contingent need not necessarily be produced by a cause. It is enough if there is natural connection with something else, a relation of antecedence and succession (or concomitance), without any element of productive efficiency or causation.

छपि चास्तु तदुत्पत्तिनिश्चयः तथाऽपि कस्मात् कारणमनरेण न कार्थ्यं भवति । तथा च सति छनपेछतया कादाचित्कत्वविहतिः इति चेत् । छस्तु तर्हि सम्वन्धः खाभाविकतया छन्यानपेछः छव्यभिचारी गमकत्वाङ्गम् । स च यो वा स वा भवतु । कृतं कार्य्यकारणभावावधारणायासेन । यथा चैतत् तथा छये दर्शीयण्यामः ।—Váchaspati, Tátparyyatíká, Chap. I., Áhnika 1, Sútra 5, व्याप्तिग्रहोपायविचारः ।]

The Nyáya Analysis of the Causal Relation continued: Co-effects .- In the enumeration of different varieties of irrelevant antecedents (जन्मयासिड), we have already noticed that co-effects of the same cause are apt to be confounded as cause and effect. In some cases the co-effects may be simultaneous, e.g. the case of the ascending and the descending scale in a balance, which are co-effects of gravity (vide Pártha-sárathi Misra on Kumárilá, Śloka-Vártika-तुलानमनोन्नमनयोस्तु न मियः कार्य्यकारणत्वम्, उभयोरेककारणत्वात्,-Sloka 157, Súnya-Váda). In other cases the co-effects may be successive effects of the same cause, and here the risk is great of mistaking the antecedent co-effect to be the cause of the succeeding co-effect, e.g. the case of ants moving in a line to carry their eggs upward, which is observed before the summer rains, where the movement of ants and the rains are not cause and effect, but successive

effects of the same cause, viz. the heat (उम्मा), which disturbs the elements, viz. the earth and the atmosphere (महामृतद्योभ); the ants being affected by this heat earlier than the atmospheric movements which bring the clouds and the rain.

Synchronousness of Cause and Effect.-This is resolved into a case of simultaneous co-effects of the same ultimate cause, e.g. the ascent of one scale and the descent of the other in the balance, which are not related as cause and effect, but are simultaneous effects of gravity. In other cases the synchronousness is only apparent, the interval between the antecedent and the consequent being too small (मुझ्लकाल) to be apprehended (यैगपद्याभिमान), e.g. in the case of the needle piercing a hundred soft lotus-petals laid one upon another, where the steps are really successive; or the illumination of the whole room by the light of a lamp, where the succession is unperceived owing to the inconceivable velocity of light (cf. Kumárilá, Śloka-Vártika-हेतुहेतुमतां सिद्वं योगपद्यनिद्शीनम्, -- यत् प्रदोपप्रभाद्युत्तं, मूक्सकालोगीस तत्र नः । दुल्लेघस्तु यथा वेथः परापत्रकाते तथा । Sunya-váda, Sloka 156-157.-I quote Mimánsá authorities, but the view is common to the Mimánsá and the Nyáya-Vaiseshika).

The Time-relation in a Chain of Causes and Effects.— A careful study of the time-relation in a chain of causes

272
and effects is a peculiarity of the Vaiseshika system (and the later Nyáya). A moment (ultimate unit of time, Kshana, av) is defined to be the time-interval between the completion of the sum of conditions and the appearance of the effect. The Vaiseshika conceives the unit to be determined by reference to the division of one atom from another (famingaugfamin ginarauraganingagi: and: av:—Śapta-Padárthí, Śiváditya *i.e.* the ultimate unit of time is the time during which motion exists in an atom prior to its division from another atom, in a case of division due to motion). The Sáňkhya, we have already seen, determines this ultimate unit by reference to the motion of a Tanmátra.

The number of such units will determine the timeinterval between a given set of physical conditions and a particular effect, for between a so-called sum of causes and a so-called sum of effects there intervenes a series of atomic (or molecular) motions, with conjunctions and disjunctions which form the causal chain. However crude in the practical application, the fundamental idea is, in connection with the principle of work and energy (for which both the Sáňkhya and the Nyáya-Vaiseshika furnish a rudimentary basis), immensely suggestive of a possible Time Calculus.

Plurality of Causes.—This will be discussed when we consider the relation of Vyápti to the principle of Causality.

The Nyáya Ground of Inference— Vyápti fनयतसाहचर्य. —Inference, then, in the Nyáya, depends on the ascertainment, not of the causal relation, nor of

т

the relation of genus to species, but of a natural relation, between two phenomena, of invariable and unconditional concomitance (उपाधिविधुर: स्वाभाविक: सम्बन्ध: वाग्नि:--Udyotakara and Váchaspati). Of the two phenomena so connected, one is called the Vyápya or Gamaka (the sign, mark, or indicator), and the other Vyápaka or Gamya (the thing signified, marked, or indicated). In the relation of fire and smoke, for example, smoke is the Vyápya or Gamaka (sign or mark); and fire, the Vyápaka or Gamya (the thing signified or marked). Now the relation of Vyápti between A and B may be either unequal or equipollent (faunality or समयाप्रि). When A is the sign of B, but B is not the sign of A, the Vyápti is one-sided or unequal, and here a Vyápti is said to exist between A and B, but not between B and A. For example, smoke is a sign of fire, but fire is not universally a sign of smoke. When, therefore, the relation of Vyápti is an unequal one, as between smoke and fire, it is expressed in the proposition-Wherever the Vyápya (sign or mark, e.g. smoke) exists, the Vyápaka (the thing signified or marked, e.g. fire) also exists. From this it follows by necessary implication (a sort of अधीपत्रि) that whenever the Vyápaka (e.g. fire) is absent, the Vyápya (e.g. smoke) is also absent (व्यापकाभावे व्याप्याभावः). Again, the Vyápti may be a mutual or equipollent one, i.e. A and B may be signs of each other, *e.g.* green-wood fire and smoke. Here each in turn is Vyápya and Vyápaka, and this is expressed in the two propositions :--(1) Wherever there is smoke there is green-wood fire, and (2) wherever

there is green-wood fire there is smoke. By necessary implication it follows-(1) Where there is no greenwood fire there is no smoke; (2) where there is no smoke there is no green-wood fire. We have seen that a Vyápti exists between smoke and fire, for wherever there is smoke there is fire, but we cannot say that a Vyápti exists between fire and smoke, for we cannot say that wherever there is a fire there is smoke. The combustion of an iron ball (जयोगोलक), for example, is a case of fire without smoke. But it would be correct to say that a Vyápti exists between green-wood fire and smoke, as well as between smoke and green-wood fire. The question, therefore, is: what is the relation between fire and smoke? The relation between fire and smoke is a conditional relation; *i.e.* on condition that the fire is green-wood fire, it would be a sign of smoke. In other words, a Vyápti implies unconditional invariable concomitance, and the relation between fire and smoke is not therefore a Vyápti (natural unconditional concomitance), for fire requires a "condition," Upádhi, viz. green-wood, to be followed by smoke. Smoke, on the other hand, requires no "condition" to indicate fire. For the purposes of Inference, therefore, relations between phenomena may be considered as of two kinds: (1) Contingent conditional relations, holding good on the fulfilment of a certain condition or Upádhi, and (2) Vyápti, or unconditional invariable relation, between a mark and that which it marks, a relation without any Upádhi or determining condition (उपाधिविधर: सम्बन्ध:). It is this latter kind of relation that serves as the ground of inference. If we can ascertain that a Vyápti exists between A and B, then A is a sign of B, and an inference of the presence of B from the presence of A, and of the absence of A from the absence of B, would be warranted. The question, therefore, is, how to ascertain the relation of Vyápti between two phenomena.

Ascertainment of Vyápti according to the early Nyáya (चान्निग्रहोपाय).-Briefly speaking, the observation of agreement in presence (जन्त्रय) as well as agreement in absence (चातिरेक) between two phenomena, with the non-observation of the contrary (वाभिचाराद्शीनम्), is the (स्याभाविकसम्बन्ध-Váchaspati) of invariable concomitance (नियतसाहचय्ये-Váchaspati) between the phenomena, which is fortified by our non-observation (सद्शेन) of the contrary (व्यभिचार). But this does not establish the unconditionality of the concomitance (उपाधिविषुरसम्बन्ध), which is essential to a Vyápti. We have therefore to examine the cases carefully to see if there is any determining condition (Upádhi-i.e. some hidden or undetected but really operative or indispensable accompaniment) which conditions the relation between the supposed sign or mark (Gamaka) and the supposed signate (thing signified, Gamya). Now let us consider what constitutes an Upádhi. It is a circumstance which always accompanies, and is always accompanied by, the supposed signate (the thing signified, Gamya), but does not invariably accompany the supposed sign or mark (Gamaka). If, therefore, in the set of positive instances where both the sign and

the signate are present, nothing else is constantly present, there can be no Upádhi. Or, again, if in the set of negative instances where both the sign and the signate are absent, no other material circumstance is constantly absent, there is no Upádhi. This follows from the very definition of an Upádhi. It is impracticable to fulfil these requirements rigorously. Still, every one of the accompanying circumstances (of course the likely ones) may be taken successively, and it may be shown that the comcomitance continues even when the suspected Upádhi (शाङ्कितोपाधि) is absent, and therefore it cannot be the Upádhi. And this is to be fortified by the observation of uniform and uninterrupted agreement in absence (Vyatireka) between the two concomitant phenomena. In this way, when we have disproved all suspected Upádhis, we conclude by establishing the Vyápti. It is true that we may still go on doubting; but doubt has a certain limit for the "experimenter" and the thinking person (परोद्यक, प्रेद्यावान्). When doubt overthrows the foundation of all rational practice (प्रामाणिकलोकयाचा), or leads to a stoppage or arrest of all practical activity (लोजव्यवहार), it stands ipso facto condemned, and must be abandoned (व्याधातावधिराझङ्खा----Śríharsha and Udayana; व्याघात is mentioned by Váchaspati). Thus it is that Vyápti is ascertained. In this way we observe innumerable instances of Vyápti. Now, by means of repeated observations of this kind (भूयोद्श्रीन) we have established the principle of the Uniformity of Nature (स्वभावप्रतिवन्ध), and also of Causality ; and these two principles thus ascertained may be made use of in their turn as the basis of an argumentation or deduction (Tarka, Úha, नके) to confirm a particular Vyápti in a particular case. Tarka or Úha, then, is the verification and vindication of particular inductions by the application of the general principles of Uniformity of Nature and of Causality, principles which are themselves based on repeated observation (भूयोद्शेन) and the ascertainment of innumerable particular inductions of uniformity or causality (भूयोद्शेनजनितसंस्तारसहितम् इन्द्रियमेव स्वाभाविकसम्बन्धग्राह्-Váchaspati). Thus Tarka also helps in dispelling doubt (सन्देह). Śríharsha, however, questions the validity of this verification-*cf.* the well-known couplet ending नके: शङ्गावधिः कृत: ।

It will be seen that the process of disproving all suspected Upádhis (उपाधिशङ्गानिरास), in the early Nyáya, answers exactly as a process to Mill's Method of Agreement. In fact, the disproof of a suspected Upádhi by pointing to instances of agreement in presence (जन्वय), even in the absence of the Upádhi, fortified as this is by the instances of agreement in absence (व्यतिरेक), virtually amounts to Mill's Joint Method of Agreement. But the fundamental difference is this: Mill's Method of Agreement is formulated in view of the phenomena of causation (including co-effects, etc.), and, as usually enunciated, confessedly breaks down in dealing with cases of Uniformities of Co-existence unconnected with Causation; the Nyáya Method, based on the disproof of suspected Upádhis, is a more daring and original attempt, and is far more comprehensive in scope, being applicable to all Uniformities of Co-existence and of Causation alike. And this the Nyáya successively accomplished by introducing the mark of unconditionality (उपाधिविध्रता)

into the relation of Vyápti (Concomitance), even as the same mark of unconditionality (अन्ययासिद्धिभूत्यता) had been previously introduced into the definition of Causality (काय्येकारएभाव). The difference between the early Nyáya and the Buddhist systems may be briefly put thus :--- The former relied on empirical induction based on uniform and uninterrupted agreement in Nature, and accordingly regarded the Method of Agreement as the fundamental Method of Scientific Induction, founding Inference on Vyápti, to which they subordinated Causality in the doctrine of Method ; the latter assumed two a priori principles, viz. causality and identity of essence, deduced the canon of the Method of Difference by an indirect proof from the principle of Causality, and made this Method the foundation of all scientific Induction of Causality, just as they based all natural classification of genera and species on their a priori principle of Identity of Essence.

Texts from the early Nyáya :---

(a) Method of Agreement and the Joint Method without the device of the Upádhi :---

विना न भवनम् इत्यस्य अर्थस्य अपरिच्छेदात् इति-Jayanta, Ahnika 2, व्यतिरेकव्याभिनिश्वयावश्यकालम् ।

(b) Doctrine of the Upádhi : unconditional concomitance distinguished from conditional :---

यो वा स वा छस्तु सभ्वन्धः यस्य छसौ स्वाभाविको नियतः स एव गमकः, गम्यख्र इतरः सम्बन्धीति युज्यते । तथा हि धूमादीनां वह्न्यादिसम्बन्धः स्वाभा-विकः । नतु वह्त्यादीनां धूमादिभिः । उपलभ्यने ते हि विनापि धूमादिभिः । यदातु छाट्रेन्धनादिसम्बन्धम् छनुभवन्ति तदा धूमादिभिः सह संवध्धने । तस्मात् यह्त्यादीनाम् छाट्रेन्धनाद्युपाधिकृतः सम्बन्धो न स्वाभाविकः । ततो न नियतः । साभाविकस्तु धूमादीनां वह्त्यादिसम्बन्ध उपाधेः छनुपलभ्यमानात् क्वचित् व्यभि-चारस्य छदर्शनात् छनुपलभ्यमानस्यापि कत्यनानुपपत्तेः । छतो नियतः सम्बन्धः छनुमानाङ्गम् ।

(c) Concomitance sufficient without causal nexus :-

The Buddhist objects: In Nature everything is connected with everything else. Hence, if there were no *nexus* of causality between antecedent and consequent, everything might follow from everything else. The Nyáya replies: You admit uniformities (of coexistence, etc.) other than causal; so you confess that a natural fixed order can exist without the causal *nexus*....

(d) An Upádhi, how established and how disproved :—

The Nyáya then proceeds to show how an Upádhi is established, or how disproved by observation :--तत्र वहे: जनाद्रेन्धनस्य विना धूमम् जयोगोलनादौ दर्शनात् जाद्रेन्धनोपाधिः ज्ञस्य धूमेन सम्बन्धः न तु खाभाविक इति निश्वीयते । धूमविशेषस्य तु विना वहिम् जनुपलम्भात्, उपाधिभेदस्य च जदृश्यमानस्य कल्पनायां प्रमाणाभावात्, विशेषस्मृत्यपेक्षस्य च संशयस्य जनुपलम्भपूर्वे जनुत्पादात्, उत्पादे च ज्ञति-प्रमङ्गात् प्रेद्यावत् प्रवृत्युच्छेदात्, खाभाविकः सम्वन्धः ज्ञवधाय्यते ।

(e) General Method of Induction by exhaustion

of the Upádhi, more comprehensive than Mill's Joint Method :---

For the definition of an Upádhi, vide Śríharsha and Udayana: ज्रव्याभसाधनो य: साध्यसमव्याभि: उच्यते स उपाधिरिति। Hence, to avoid an Upádhi (which is साध्यसमव्याभिः), the constant presence of anything relevant other than the sign and the signate in the positive instances (of agreement in presence, जन्वय), and the constant absence of any such thing in the negative instances (of agreement in absence, व्यतिरेक) must be safeguarded against. This amounts to Mill's Joint Method.

(f) Suspicion of non-perceptible Upádhi—Limits of legitimate doubt :—

न च छदृ इयमानोऽपि दर्शनान हैतया साथकवाधकप्रमाणाभावेन संदिद्यमान उपाधिः खाभाविकान्वं प्रतिवधाति इति साम्प्रतम् । छवश्यं शङ्कया भवितव्यं नियामकाभावादिति दत्तावकाशा खलु ईयम् प्रमाणमय्यीदातिक्रमेन शङ्का पिशाची लभ्यप्रसरा न क्वचित् नास्तोति नेपं क्वचित् प्रवर्त्ते । सर्ड्वचैव कस्यचित् कर्याखत् छनर्षस्य शङ्कास्पदन्वात् । छनर्षशङ्कायाश्व प्रेष्तावर्ता निवृत्त्वक्कत्वात् । छन्यया स्निग्धान्नपानोपयोगेषु छपि मरणादिदर्शनात् । तस्मात् प्रामाणिकलोकयाचाम् छनुपालयता यथादर्शनं शङ्कतीयम् । ... तम्भादुपाधिं प्रयान्नेन छन्विष्यनो-ऽनुपलभाना नास्तीति छवगम्य खाभाविकात्वं सम्वन्धस्य निश्चिनुमः ।--

(g) Tarka, Úha : Deductive verification of particular inductions by applying the two fundamental inductions of Uniformity of Nature and Causality :—Doubt finally dispelled :—

Now innumerable particular uniformities of this kind (Vyáptis) are observed, and as a result of this repeated observation a belief in the Uniformity of Nature (खभावप्रतिवन्ध), as well as in the principle of Causality (जाय्येकारणभाव), is generated in the mind, a belief which has evidential value and validity. It is not

intuition (न मानसम्) but a mental pre-disposition based on uniform and uninterrupted experience (भ्योद्रज्ञैनजानत-संस्तारसहितम् इन्द्रियमेव स्ताभाविकसम्बन्धग्राहि—यत्र भ्योदर्शनन् खसाधारणम् इति प्रमाखान्तरं जातम्). Then, armed with these new resources, the belief in uniformity and in causality as general principles, we proceed to fortify our particular inductions (Vyáptis), whether of Uniformity of Nature or of Causality, by indirect deduction from these general principles :- We argue : If, under these observed circumstances, A were not the mark of B, the principle of Uniformity of Nature would be violated-Nature would not be uniform-or, if under these observed circumstances A were not the cause of B, the principle of Causality would be violated, the phenomenon B would be without a cause ;- and such indirect proof (तर्क, जह) gives us the overwhelming probability which we call practical certitude, and on which every reasonable man (every thinking and judging person) proceeds to act in due natural course.- खभावतन्त्र प्रतिवडा हेतवः खसाध्येन, यदि साध्यमनारेख भवेयुः, खभावादेव प्रच्यवेराविति तर्कसहायः ज्ञनिरस्तसाध्यव्यति-रेकवृत्तिसंदेहा यत्र दृष्टाः, तत्र स्वसाध्यम् उपस्थापयन्येव ।-- Váchaspati, I., 1, Sútra 5; cf. also I., 1, Sútra 40: जह: कारणस्पोपपत्त्वा संभवेन ज्ञायते । कारणासम्भवे कार्य्यस्य जसस्वात् ।

Instances of Vyápti (uniformity) not comprehended under Causality, or the relation of genus and species :— The Nyáya points out that the relations of cause and effect and of genus and species do not exhaust the grounds of Inference. There are cases of Inference based on Vyápti (*i.e.* on invariable and unconditional concomitance) which come neither under Causality (तन्तपन्ति) nor under Identity of Essence (तादाल्प).

Váchaspati notes that to-day's sunrise and yesterday's sunrise, the rise of the moon and the tide in the ocean, the relative positions of the stellar constellations, are instances of Vyápti (invariable concomitance) between phenomena which are neither related as cause and effect nor as genus and species. Jayanta adds the conjunction of sunset with the appearance of the stars; of ants moving in procession (with their eggs) with the approach of the rains; of the rising of the constellation Agastya (Canopus) above the horizon with the dryingup of rivers; of the spring-tide with the full moon; and dismisses as sophistical and far-fetched the Buddhist attempt to explain all these cases by means of causality We have seen that the Nyáya and the Mimánsá reduce most of these conjunctions to cases of co-effects of the same cause, co-effects which may be either simultaneous or successive.

अपि च अद्यतनस्य सवितुरुदयस्य द्यस्तनेन सवितुरुदयेन, चन्द्रोदयस्य च समानकालेन समुद्रवृद्या ... न कार्य्यकारणभावः तादात्म्यं वा । अथच दृष्टो-गम्यगमकभावः ।— Váchaspati, Tátparyyatíká, I., 1, Sútra 5; cf. also Jayanta, Nyáyamañjarí : अन्येषामपि हेतूनां भूम्नां जगति दर्शनात् । सूय्यीस्तमयमालोक्य कल्प्यते तारकोदयः । पूर्णचन्द्रोदयाड्ट्दिरम्बुधेर-यगम्यते । उद्तितेनानुमीयने सरितः कुम्भयोगिनः । शुष्यत्पुलिनपर्प्यन-विष्ठानखगपंक्तयः । पिपोलिकाग्राडसच्चारचेष्टानुमितवृष्टयः । भवन्ति पधिकाः पर्थेकुटीरकरणोद्यताः ।

Vyápti between Cause and Effect—Relation of Causality to Vyápti :—

On the Buddhist (and early Nyáya) view that one specific assemblage of "effect" phenomena has one specific assemblage of causal conditions, there would be two aggregates—the sum of causal conditions (कारणसामग्री) and the sum of effects (कार्य्यसामग्री). For example, fire requires green-wood to complete the sum of causal conditions to give rise to smoke with some particular marks (धुमविशोध- वहुलपागुहुतादिधर्म्म विशिष्ट, Jayanta, II. ; com-pare Gangesa, कृष्णागुरुप्रभववहिमानयं कटुकासुरभिषागुरुप्रभवल्लात् । -Chintámani, Anumana, Viruddha-Siddhánta). Here, between an effect and a single condition (termed a cause) there is a relation of Vyápti. The effect is Vyápya or Gamaka (the sign or mark); the cause (or condition) is Vyápaka or Gamya (the thing signified). In other words, the presence of the effect indicates the presence of the causal condition, and the absence of the causal condition will by implication indicate the absence of the effect. Smoke of this particular kind is supposed to be an effect of which there is one and only one assemblage of causal conditions (fire and green-wood); hence, where there is smoke there is fire, and when there is no fire there is no smoke.

Now introduce the complication of the plurality of causes :—Fire, for example, is the effect of several assemblages, e.g. (1) blowing on heated grass; (2) focussing, rays through a lens on a combustible like paper or straw; (3) friction with the fire-drill, etc. Here each assemblage is regarded as a sum of causes. But in this case there is no Vyápti between the effect "fire" and any particular assemblage of causal conditions, say of the lens or the fire-drill; for the presence of fire does not indicate the presence of the lens or the fire-drill assemblage, nor does the absence of either of the latter in particular indicate the absence of fire. Indeed, in such a case the effect "fire" is not a mark or sign (Gamaka or Vyápya) of any one in particular of the different possible causal assemblages, though each of these particular assemblages of causal conditions is a mark or sign (Gamaka or Vyápya) of fire.

The plurality of causes requires a further consideration in the light of the definition of the causal relation. A cause is defined to be the unconditional invariable antecedent. From the unconditionality it follows that the entire sum of conditions, and not one single condition, is, properly speaking, the cause. In view of the plurality of causes, an invariable antecedent must be taken to mean that any particular cause (*i.e.* assemblage of causal conditions) is invariably followed by the effect—not that the effect is invariably preceded by any particular cause.

Popularly, a single condition, say the lens or the fire-drill, is said to be a cause of fire; but, in view of the plurality of causes, this is apt to be misleading, as there is no Vyápti in this case; the lens or the fire-drill is no more a mark of fire than fire is a mark of the lens or the fire-drill.

The plurality of causes strains the definition of a cause, and undermines the relation of Vyápti between an effect and a cause. Any particular cause (causal aggregate) still indicates the effect, but not vice versa. The earlier Nyáya (down to Váchaspati and Jayanta) obviated the plurality, as we have seen, by introducing distinctive marks in the effect such as would indicate a single specific cause (जाय्येपेल्खाप्य or जाय्येभेद indicating जारणपेल्खाप्य or जारणभद). Some, indeed, went farther and held that when the antecedent causal assemblages differ in kind, the effect-phenomena, though apparently the same, do really differ specifically (or in kind)-कारणवेनात्मात् काय्येवेनात्मन् । But the Nyáya discards this hypothesis; the fire is the same, though the possible causes (or causal aggregates) differ, e.g. the lens, the drill, etc. But the effect-phenomenon to which we attend is not the only effect; in the case of plurality of causes we must carefully examine the accompaniments of the effect, *i.e.* the sum of effects, and the examination will show some distinctive or specific circumstance or accompaniment which will enable us to definitely determine the particular assemblage of causal conditions that must have preceded in the case under examination. This is the device of the earlier Nyáya as well as of the Buddhists, as we have seen; but the later Nyáya doubts the practicability as well as the theoretical validity of such a step on an unrestricted assumption of the plurality of causes, and feels troubled by the circumstance that no effect for which more than one cause (or causal aggregate) can be assigned, can be regarded as a mark or sign (Gamaka or Vyápya) of any one of the causes in particular. Accordingly, some adherents of the later Nyáya advanced the proposition that when more than one causal aggregate can be supposed for any effect, the latter is a mark or sign (Gamaka or Vyápya) not of any one of the causal aggregates in particular, but of one or other of them; and the absence not of one such cause, but of each and every one of them, alone indicates the absence of the effect. A cause therefore should be defined to mean one or other of the possible alternative aggregates

286

which, being given, the effect follows invariably and unconditionally. If we ask what is the defining mark (or quiddity) of the cause (कारएगतावच्छेदक), we are told that it is one-or-otherness (ज्ञानावच्छेदक), we are told that it is one-or-otherness (ज्ञानावच्छेदक), we are told that it is one-or-otherness (ज्ञानानाव), and nothing else; others cut the Gordian knot by assuming that the different possible causes of the same effect possess a common power or efficiency (ज्ञातिरिक्तशक्ति), or a common "form" (ज्ञातिसौहम्य), which accounts for the production of a common effect. The latter is therefore a sign or mark of this power (शक्ति) or this form (ज्ञातिसौहम्या), which is manifested by each of the causal aggregates. This hypothesis (कल्पना), they hold, is simpler and more plausible than the hypothesis of specific differences latent in the apparently identical effect of a plurality of causes (कारएयवैज्ञातयात् कार्य्यवेज्ञात्यम्).

Cf. Dinakari on the Siddhánta-Muktavali : केचिच्च वहिं प्रति तृष्णुमुत्कारसंयोगादीनां तृष्णुमुत्कारसंयोगत्वादिरुपेण कारणतया व्यभिचारेण असम्भवात् अतिरिक्तशक्तिसिडिः । न च तृष्णुमुत्कारयोः अरणिनिर्मन्योः मणितरणिकिरणयोश्च सम्बन्धस्य जन्यतावच्छेदकं वहिवृत्ति-वैज्ञात्यत्रयं कच्प्यमिति न व्यभिचार इति वाच्यम् । तज्जन्यतावच्छेदकवैज्ञात्यत्रय-कत्यनामपेक्ष्य तत्तत् सम्बन्धानां एकशक्तिमत्वेन कारणत्वकत्यनाया एव ऌघुत्वेन न्यायत्वात् इत्याहुः । तन्न । ... अन्यतमत्वेन कारणतासम्भवात् ... परे तु तृष्णादिसम्बन्धकालीनवायुसंयोगादीनां एकशक्तिमत्वेन हेतुतामादाय विनिगमना-विरहात् न शक्तिसिद्धिः इत्याहुः । र्ऽloka 2; cf. also, तृष्णारणिमण्यन्यतमत्वं कारणस्य विनिगमकम् ।

Cf. Udayana, Kusumáñjali, Stavaka 1: कर्थ तर्हि तृष्णारणिमणिभ्यो भवन्नाशुशुस्तिरेकजातीयः । एकशक्तिमत्वात् इति चेत् न । यदि हि विजातीयेषु छपि एकजातीयकार्य्यकारण-शक्तिः समवेयात्, न कार्य्यात् कारणविशेष छचित् छनुमीयेत । कारणव्यावृत्त्या च न तज्जातीयस्यैव कार्य्यस्य व्यावृत्तिरवसीयेत । . . . एतेन सूध्सजातीयमिति निरस्तम् । छवह्रेरपि तत्सीक्षम्यात् धूमोत्एन्सापन्नेः ।

The scientific Methods already noticed-the Joint Method of Difference (the Panchakáraní) and the Joint Method of Agreement (Vpáptigraha with Upádhisankánirasa and Tarka)-are not the only methods of ascertaining causality or concomitance, or establishing a theory (fasim); nor are these Methods always practicable. Very often we reach the explanation of a fact (उपपत्ति) by means of a hypothesis (जल्पना) properly tested and verified (नियाति). A legitimate hypothesis must satisfy the following conditions :--(1) the hypothesis must explain the facts (दूष्टसिद्धि or उपपन्ति); (2) the hypothesis must not be in conflict with any observed facts or established generalisations (दृष्टांसद्वये हि अदृष्टं जल्पाते, न दृष्टवियाताय—Jayanta, Nyáya-mañjarí, Áhnika 1); (3) no unobserved agent must be assumed where it is possible to explain the facts satisfactorily by observed agencies (यदि छदृष्टमनारेण दृष्टं न सिद्धति काममदूष्टं कल्पाताम्, छन्ययाऽपि तदुपपत्तौ किं तदुपकल्पनेन ibid.); (4) when two rival hypotheses are in the field, a crucial fact or test (विनिगमन, ratio sufficiens) is necessary; the absence of such a test (विनिगमनाविरह) is fatal to the establishment of either; (5) of two rival hypotheses, the simpler, *i.e.* that which assumes less, is to be preferred, ceteris paribus (जन्मनालाघन versus कल्पनागीरव); (6) of two rival hypotheses, that which is immediate or relevant to the subject-matter is to be preferred to that which is alien or remote (प्रयमोपस्थितन्व); (7) a hypothesis that satisfies the above conditions must be capable of verification (निर्णेय) before it can be established as a theory (fasim). The process of verification of a hypothesis consists in showing that

it can be deduced as a corollary from (or is involved by implication in) some more general proposition which is already well established (*cf.* Vatsyayana's exposition and illustration of Verification, निर्णय—including both the Deductive Method and Colligation).

This doctrine of Scientific Method, in Hindu Logic, is only a subsidiary discipline, being comprehended under the wider conception of Methodology, which aims at the ascertainment of Truth, whether scientific (Vijñána) or philosophical (Jñána) (मोछे धोज्ञानम् स्यात् विज्ञानं शिल्पशास्त्रयोः, Amara-Kosha); the latter being the ulterior aim. In the investigation of any subject, Hindu Methodology adopts the following procedure: (1) the proposition (or enumeration) of the subjectmatter (Uddesa), (2) the ascertainment of the essential characters or marks, by Perception, Inference, the Inductive Methods, etc.-resulting in definitions (by ल्खण) or descriptions (by उपलखण); and (3) examination and verification (परोक्षा and निर्णेय). Ordinarily the first step, Uddesa, is held to include not mere Enumeration of topics, but Classification or Division proper (चिभाग: उद्देशरूपानपायाचु उद्देश ख्वासी । सामान्यसंज्ञया कार्त्तनमुद्देशः प्रकारभेदसंज्ञया कोत्तेनं चिभाग इति-Jayanta, Mañjarí); but a few recognise the latter as a separate procedure coming after Definition or Description. Any truth established by this three-fold (or four-fold) procedure is called a Siddhánta (an established theory). Now the various Pramánas, Proofs, i.e. sources of valid knowledge, in Hindu Logic, viz. Perception, Inference, Testimonty, Mathematical Reasoning (सम्भन, including Probability in one view), are only operations subsidiary to the

ascertainment of Truth (तच्चनिर्णेय). And the Scientific Methods are merely ancillary to these Pramánas themselves.

I have explained the principles of the Hindu doctrine of Scientific Method, avoiding the technicalities of Logic as far as possible; and I cannot here enter upon the logical terminology or the logical apparatus and machinery, which would require a separate volume to themselves. For these I would refer the reader to my Paper on Hindu Logic as also for an account of the later Nyáya (नचन्याय), which, in spite of its arid dialectics, possesses a three-fold significance in the history of thought: (1) logical, in its conceptions of Avachchhedaka and Pratiyogi, being an attempt to introduce quantification on a connotative basis, in other words, to introduce quantitative notions of Universal and Particular, in both an affirmative and a negative aspect, into the Hindu theory of Inference and Proposition regarded connotatively as the establishment of relations among attributes or marks; (2) scientific, in its investigation of the varieties of Vyápti and Upádhi (and of जन्यपासिद्ध), being an elaboration of Scientific Method, in the attempt to eliminate the irrelevant; and (3) ontological and epistemological, in its classification and precise determinations of the various relations of Knowledge and Being, with even greater rigidity and minuteness than in Hegel's Logic of Being and Essence. I will conclude with a few observations on Applied Logic, i.e. the logic of the special sciences, which is such a characteristic feature of Hindu scientific investigation. What is characteristic

290

of the Hindu scientific mind is that, without being content with the general concepts of Science and a general Methodology, it elaborated the fundamental categories and concepts of such of the special sciences as it cultivated with assiduity, and systematically adapted the general principles of Scientific Method to the requirements of the subject-matter in each case. The most signal example of applied logic (or Scientific Method) worked out with systematic carefulness is the Logic of Therapeutics in Charaka, a Logic which adapts the general concepts of cause, effect, energy, operation, etc., and the general methodology of science, to the special problems presented in the study of diseases, their causes, symptoms, and remedies (vide Charaka, Vimánasthána, Chap. IV.; also Sutrasthána; vide my Paper on Hindu Logic). Here I will give an illustration of Applied Methodology from the science of Analytical and Descriptive Grammar. Patañjali, in the Mahábháshya (circa 150 B.C.), is very careful as regards Methodology. I take no note now of the philosophical presuppositions of his philology (vide my Paper on the Hindu Science of Language), but will confine myself to his presentation of the Applied Logic of Descriptive and Analytical Grammar. The sentence is the unit of speech, as every Hindu philologer contends, but the first business of Analytical Grammar is to analyse the sentence into its significant parts and their coherent relations to one another. Assuming that articulate sounds are significant, the question is : how is the sentence, which is the unit of articulate speech, broken up into significant words and their mutual

relations? Patañjali answers that this is done by an instinctive use (cf. Váchaspati's भ्योद्शनजनितसंस्कारम् इन्द्रियम्) of the Joint Method of Difference (combined Addition and Subtraction), fortified by the Joint Method of Agreement (खन्वयव्यतिरेको). Patañjali starts with a simple case. Take the two sentence-units : Pathati (he reads) and Pachati (he cooks). Suppose you start with the assumption that these sounds are significant, and that separate elements have separate meanings. Then you hear Pathati, and, at the same time, a man reading is pointed at. Then the assemblage of sounds Pathati (*i.e.* Path+ati) stands for the assemblage "one reads" (*i.e.* the action reading + an individual agent). Similarly, by finger-pointing or other indication, you find that the assemblage Pachati (i.e. Pach+ati) stands for the assemblage "one cooks" (*i.e.* the action cooking + anindividual agent, say the same agent as before).

Now look at the groupings :---

Path + ati = reading + one agent.Pach + ati = cooking + one agent.

From repeated observation of similar groupings, one is led to conclude that Path is the invariable concomitant of the action "reading," because the disappearance of the former (the other element remaining) leads to the disappearance of the latter (a rough Method of Difference by subtraction— $\overline{\epsilon}i\overline{v}\overline{n}$); that Pach is the invariable concomitant of the action "cooking," because the introduction of Pach (with nothing else added) leads to the introduction of the action "cooking" (a rough Method of Difference by addition— $\overline{s}\overline{v}\overline{n}\overline{v}\overline{n}$); and

that Ati, which is the only "common antecedent" (जन्वयो), is the invariable concomitant of "one agent," which is the only common "consequent" (जन्वयो). In In fact, the last should come first by the Method of Agreement, then the other two, either by Residue or by Joint Agreement in presence and absence (अन्वयवातिरेको). So far all is plain sailing, though only very simple and very rough applications of the Methods are given. But-and this is the point-throughout the argument it is assumed that one sound is the concomitant of one idea; in other words, there is no plurality of causes to vitiate the application of the Method of Agreement in the above example. And now the objection is advanced that this basal assumption is untrue. The same sound is not the concomitant of the same idea; different words (sounds) may have the same meaning, and the same word (sound) may have different meanings. We cannot therefore by the Joint Method of Agreement (जन्वयव्यतिरेकी) determine the meanings of words or the separate functions of roots (stems) and inflections-at least, not so simply as is pretended above. Patañjali states this difficulty in the way of applying the Scientific Methods to the problems presented by Analytical Grammar, a difficulty arising from the plurality of causes, but does not state the solution. The solution, however, depends on the Method of Subtraction and Addition (Patañjali's होयते and उपजायते); for it will be found by extensive observation that the number of meanings of which a word (sound) may be capable is limited. So also is the number of words (sounds) expressive of a given meaning. Hence, by

the Method of Difference, etc., the causal relations of words and meanings may be determined. Patañjali thus establishes the doctrine of Prak*ri*ti and Pratyaya (roots and inflections), with their separate significance, which is of course a necessary postulate in the case of an inflectional language like Sanskrit. Isolating and agglutinative languages offer less difficulty, whereas the difficulties are in some respects enhanced in the case of languages with a polysynthetic or incorporating (incapsulating) morphological structure.

कर्ष पुनर्ज्ञीयते अयं प्रकृत्यर्थः अयं प्रत्ययार्थ ईति । अन्वयव्यतिरेकाभ्याम् । कोऽसौ अन्वयो व्यतिरेको वा । इह पचतीत्पुक्ते कश्चित् झन्दः श्रूयते, पठतीत्पुक्ते कश्चित् इान्टो हीयते (पच्), — कश्चित् उपजायते (पठ, — कश्चित् अन्वयी (अति); — अर्थोऽपि कश्चित् हीयते (विक्तित्तिः:), — कश्चित् उपजायते (पठिक्रिया), — कश्चित् अन्वयी (कर्त्तृत्वं चैकत्वंच)। तेन मन्यामहे यः इान्टो हीयते, तस्य असौ अर्थः योऽर्थो हीयते । यः इान्ट उपजायते तस्य असौ अर्थः योऽर्थ उपजायते । यः इान्टोऽन्वयी तस्य असौ अर्थः योऽर्थोऽन्वयी ।

विषम उपन्यासः — वहवी हि इाव्टा एकापी भवनि । तदयथा इन्द्रः इाक्रः पुरन्दरः । एकछ इाव्टी वह्नपैः । तदयथा यद्याः पादा माषा इति । छप किं न साथीयोर्थ्यवत्ता सिद्धा भवति । नापि व्रूमोर्थ्यवत्ता न सिध्यतीति । वर्णिता हि छर्थवत्ता छन्वयव्यतिरेकाभ्यामेव । तत्र कुत एतदयं प्रकृत्यर्थः छयं प्रत्ययार्थ इति । (Mahábháshya, 1-3, 9.)

Patañjali not only applies the Scientific Methods to the foundations of Grammatical Analysis, but also by their means establishes and elaborates the fundamental categories and concepts of Grammatical (and Philological) Science, e.g. the concepts of action (किया), agent (कर्सा), instrumental cause (करणं साधकतमम्), end (निनिन्न), origin (हेनु), limit (ज्ञवाध), substance (द्रव्य), quality (गुण), and genus (जाति); also of the fundamental relations (at the bottom of all thought and speech)—the relations

294

of time (काल्ठिकसम्बन्ध), of space (दिग्देशसम्बन्ध), of causality (कार्य्यकारणभाव), of inherence (समवाय), of co-inherence (सामानाधिकरण्य), of substance and attribute (चिशेषणविशेष्यभाव), of the sign and the signate (संज्ञासंज्ञिभाव), of mutual dependence (इतरेतराग्रय)—an entire grammatical (and philological) apparatus, which will serve as a *point* d'appui for generations of philologists and grammarians to come. LONDON: PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND SONS, LIMITED, DUKE STREET, STAMFORD STREET, S.E., AND GREAT WINDMILL STREET, W

-







